FOURTH PROGRESS REPORT ON THE COMPENSATED WORK THERAPY (CWT) / VETERANS INDUSTRIES (VI)

FISCAL YEAR 2000

August 2000

Catherine Leda Seibyl, MSN MPH
Project Director

Rick Baldino
Program Analyst

Linda Corwel
Program Analyst

Sharon Medak
Assistant Project Director

Robert Rosenheck, MD
Director

Department of Veterans Affairs
Northeast Program Evaluation Center/182
VA Connecticut Healthcare System
West Haven, Connecticut 06516
(203) 937-3850

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The Department of Veteran Affairs' (VA) Compensated Work Therapy (CWT) / Veterans Industries (VI) Program is a therapeutic work-for-pay program authorized in 1976 by Public Law 94-581. The major goals of the program are: 1) to use remunerative work to maximize a veteran's level of functioning; 2) to prepare veterans for successful re-entry into the community as productive citizens, and; 3) to provide a structured daily activity to those veterans with severe and chronic disabling physical and/or mental conditions. The program has grown substantially over the years from 71 programs in FY 1994 to 99 programs in FY 2000. During FY 2000, nearly 13,600 veterans participated in the program and they earned a total of $33.3 million dollars.

This report, the fourth in a series of progress reports, offers information for program managers at both the national level and VISN level as well as the local medical center level.

Monitoring data indicate that the CWT/VI program provides treatment to veterans with significant health care problems and social-vocational deficits. During FY 2000, veterans in the CWT/VI program had a mean age of 46 years and 4.3% were female. The majority of participants are minorities; 48.5% are African American; 4.6% are Hispanic; 2.6% are of other ethnic backgrounds, and only 44.4% are White. Only 7.5% are married. Over 90%had completed 12 years of education and 41.3 had at least some college. While 43.2% of veterans reported that their usual employment pattern in the past three years was full-time competitive employment, almost nine out of ten veterans reported not working at all in the month prior to admission. Veterans admitted to the program continue to be virtually without resources as mean monthly incomes of veterans have dropped from $347 in FY 1993 to $229 in FY 2000 and six out of ten veterans are homeless. Substance abuse is the most prevalent illness as 86.5% of veterans have an alcohol and/or drug problem and over half reported to have lost at least one job in the past due to their substance use. In addition, 45.1% veterans were diagnosed with a serious mental illness and 36.3% were dually diagnosed.

Veterans discharged during FY 2000 worked an average of 26.1 hours per week, had an average hourly wage of $5.56 (41 cents above minimum wage) and 79% had a supported employment/transitional work experience placement while in CWT/VI. Upon completion of their participation, nearly four out of ten veterans (41.4%) had arrangements to be in competitive employment (full- or part-time) while an additional 5% had arrangements to be in some type of constructive activity (e.g. VA's Incentive Therapy, student, trainee or unpaid volunteer). During the past 6 fiscal years, there has been an increase in the percentage of veterans showing improvement in virtually all clinical areas (e.g. alcohol problems, drug problems, mental health problems and medical problems) and work performance areas (e.g. attendance and punctuality, acceptance of supervision, relationship with co-workers, productivity and quality of production).

Performance as measured by 12 critical monitors was used to compare the operation of individual sites and to identify performance outliers. The norm used to evaluate the

performance of individual sites on each critical monitor was either the national program mean, or in the case of outcome measures, the national median. Outcome measures were risk adjusted for differences in baseline veteran characteristics that are related to the outcomes. Fourteen of the 99 sites were outliers on 5 of the 12 critical monitors

As an addendum to this year's progress report, comprehensive workload data summaries are presented for VA's entire Psychosocial Rehabilitation Service (PSR) using data from the outpatient care file in Austin, Texas. Altogether 31,753 individual veterans received PSR services during FY 2000 and 19,551 of them (61.6%) received services from the CWT/VI program.

As VA continues to shift its emphasis of care from costly hospital-based treatment to community care, participation in CWT/VI will be an important element in fostering community adjustment and functional rehabilitation of veterans disabled by psychiatric or addictive disorders.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

TABLE OF CONTENTS

CHAPTER I
INTRODUCTION

A. The Compensated Work Therapy / Veterans Industries Program

The Department of Veteran Affairs' (VA) Compensated Work Therapy (CWT) / Veterans Industries (VI) Program is a therapeutic work-for-pay program in which private sector businesses, or Federal Agencies, contract with VA for work to be performed by veterans. Authorized in 1976 by Public Law 94-581, the major goals of the program are: 1) to use remunerative work to maximize a veteran's level of functioning; 2) to prepare veterans for successful re-entry into the community as productive citizens, and; 3) to provide a structured daily activity to those veterans with severe and chronic disabling physical and/or mental conditions (Fountaine & Howard, 1987).

The CWT/VI Program has grown substantially over the years from 71 programs in FY 1994 to 99 programs in FY 2000. During FY 2000, VHA Headquarters reported that nearly 13,600 veterans had been served in the program and these veterans had earned a total of $33.3 million dollars through their participation in CWT/VI. As VA continues to shift its emphasis of care from costly hospital-based treatment to community care, participation in CWT/VI continues to be an important element in the veteran's overall rehabilitation treatment plan which may also include residential treatment and medical, psychiatric and/or substance abuse outpatient services.

VA medical centers sponsoring CWT/VI programs have two basic types of work therapy models. The first is a traditional sheltered workshop model where subcontracted work is brought to the workshop (located on VA medical center grounds and/or in the community) for completion. Work performed in the workshop most often involves assembly, packaging, collating and/or fabrication, and veterans are paid on a piece rate basis. The second work therapy model is the supported employment/transitional work experience. Supported employment/transitional work experience sites are located in the community and/or a government setting - primarily the VA medical center (e.g. Environmental Management Service). Veterans participating in supported employment/transitional work experience placements receive direct supervision from the customer/contractee. CWT/VI clinicians visit the placement site regularly and provide additional supervision as needed. Remuneration for both the workshop and supported employment/transitional work experience models are commensurate with wages paid in the community for essentially the same quality and quantity of work. Although the majority of CWT/VI program sites operate both work therapy models, the trend has been towards the utilization of the supported employment model as the increasingly favored evidence-based practice (Bond, Becker, Drake, et al, 2001). Several of the more recently established CWT/VI programs have instituted the supported employment/transitional work experience model alone.

B. Organization of the Veterans Health Administration

The Veterans Health Administration (VHA) is organized into 22 semi-autonomous Veterans Integrated Service Networks (VISNs). Each VISN is charged with developing cost-

effective health care programs that are responsive both to the national mission of VA, and to local circumstances and trends in health care delivery. Although autonomous, the VISNs are also accountable through centralized monitoring of performance and health care outcomes. This report will offer information for program managers at both the national level and VISN level, as well as at the level of the local medical center.

C. Evaluation and Monitoring Efforts

Since 1993 the Northeast Program Evaluation Center (NEPEC) in West Haven, CT has monitored the CWT/VI Program. The goals of the monitoring are to 1) provide a description of the status and needs of veterans currently in CWT/VI, 2) assure program accountability, and 3) identify ways to refine or change the program, nationally and at specific sites. Key findings from previous reports (Seibyl, Rosenheck, Corwel and Medak, 2000; Seibyl, Rosenheck, Corwel and Medak, 1999; Seibyl, Rosenheck, Corwel and Medak, 1997; Leda, Rosenheck, Corwel and Medak, 1995) have concluded that:

- The program is providing treatment to veterans with significant health care problems, social-vocational deficits and without basic resources. Substance abuse is the most prevalent illness as nearly 86% of veterans have an alcohol and/or drug problem and over half reported to have lost at least one job in the past due to their substance use.

- Upon completion of their participation, nearly 4 out of 10 veterans discharged had arrangements to be in competitive employment.

Tracking the ongoing performance of CWT/VI program is accomplished through collecting information on every veteran participating in CWT/VI treatment. The Veteran Industries Monitoring Data Sheet (see Appendix A) is completed on every veteran admitted to the CWT/VI program. Implemented on June 1, 1993 and revised to a shorter optional version in April 1996 (see Appendix B), the form consists of two sections. The first section is completed on or as near to the day of admission to CWT/VI as possible. In a face-to-face interview with the veteran, the CWT/VI clinician documents veteran demographic and military service characteristics as well as residential, vocational and income status. At the end of the first section, clinicians record the avenue of entry into the CWT/VI program and pertinent diagnostic information on the veterans' psychiatric and medical status. The second section is completed at the conclusion of CWT/VI treatment. The clinician records the veteran's length of participation, mode of discharge, total earnings and hours worked and, the veterans' arrangements for employment and housing after discharge. In addition, for six work performance areas and four clinical areas, CWT/VI clinicians note whether the veteran demonstrated any clinical change (deteriorated, unchanged or improved) during his/her CWT/VI treatment.

1. Data Used to Assess CWT/VI Program Performance

The performance of each CWT/VI program is assessed with three types of measures: 1) a program monitoring compliance measure, 2) descriptive measures, and; 3) critical monitor measures. The program monitoring compliance measure assesses compliance of individual CWT/VI programs with the collection of monitoring data. Descriptive measures are those data that provide basic information on the characteristics of the veterans being served by the program (e.g. age, marital status, race, etc). Critical monitor measures evaluate how successful programs are at meeting the goals and objectives of the CWT/VI Program as set forth by programmatic guidelines.

2. Selection of Critical Monitors

Outlined below are two objectives that reflect the goals of the CWT/VI Program. For each objective, the associated critical monitors are noted. The critical monitors cover two principal areas: 1) program participation (i.e. mode of discharge, hours worked, hourly wage), and; 2) outcomes (i.e. employment arrangements at the time of discharge, percent clinically improved).

Objective 1: The CWT/VI Program provides psychosocial (or physical) rehabilitation through remunerative work to veterans in order to encourage the development of good work habits, emphasizing attendance, reliability, punctuality, productivity, craftsmanship, creativity, personal responsibilities and acceptance of supervision.
Critical monitors selected to assess this objective are:
- Percent of veterans who had a mutually agreed/planned discharge from CWT/VI
- Percent of veterans who failed to comply with CWT/VI program requirements
- Average hours worked per week
- Average hourly wage
- Average work improvement score

Objective 2: The CWT/VI Program provides treatment directed towards increasing the veteran's chances for adjustment and reentry into the community, including returning to the workforce and, preventing deterioration of medical, psychiatric and substance abuse problems.
Critical monitors selected to assess this objective are:
- Among veterans with alcohol problems, percent improved
- Among veterans with drug problems, percent improved
- Among veterans with mental health problems, percent improved
- Among veterans with medical problems, percent improved
- Percent competitively employed (part- or full-time) after discharge
- Percent unemployed after discharge
- Percent employment status unknown after discharge

3. Determining Outliers on Critical Monitors

Generally, the average (or median) of all CWT/VI sites is used as the norm for evaluating the performance of each individual site. Those sites that are one standard deviation above or below the mean in the undesirable direction are considered outliers. Data from outcome measures have been risk adjusted for baseline characteristics. Selection of these baseline characteristics differs depending on the outcome measure, but they include age, race, marital status, education, previous employment history, receipt of disability benefits, history of psychiatric hospitalizations, and clinical psychiatric diagnoses, including serious psychiatric illnesses and substance abuse problems. Sites who are statistically different from the median site in the undesirable direction after adjusting for baseline measures are considered outliers.

The identification of a site as an outlier on a critical monitor is intended to inform the program director, medical center leadership, network leadership and VHA Headquarters that the site is divergent from other sites with respect to the critical monitor. Each site is asked to carefully consider the measures on which they are outliers. In some instances this information is used to take corrective action in order to align the site more closely with the mission and goals of the program. In other instances sites have been identified as outliers because of legitimate idiosyncrasies in the operation of the program, which do not warrant corrective action. It must be emphasized that these monitors should not be considered, by themselves, to be indicators of the quality of care delivered at particular sites. They can be used only to identify statistical outliers, the importance of which must be determined by further discussion.

4. Overview of the Monitoring Process

Forms are completed on each veteran discharged from the CWT/VI program and are submitted monthly to NEPEC by program sites. These data are aggregated and reported back to sites on a quarterly basis. Before this progress report was issued, preliminary tables were distributed to CWT/VI program sites. CWT/VI program coordinators and staff reviewed the tables for errors. Data presented in this report have been reviewed by CWT/VI staff at each program and by VHA Headquarters. Data have been corrected or amended where appropriate.

5. CWT/VI as one Component of the Larger VA Psychosocial Rehabilitation Service

This year the CWT/VI program is examined within the context of the larger VA Psychosocial Rehabilitation Service (PSR), a component of the Mental Health Strategic Health Group. Other programs within PSR include Compensated Work Therapy / Transitional Residence (CWT/TR)[1], Incentive Therapy (IT)[2] and Vocational Assistance /

---

[1] The CWT/TR program is a work-based Psychosocial Residential Rehabilitation Treatment Program (PRRTP) offering a 24-hour setting for veterans involved in CWT/VI. The program utilizes a residential community, peer and professional support, with a strong emphasis on increasing personal responsibility. Veterans

Counseling.

Using FY 2000 data from VA's outpatient care file in Austin Texas, the following 6 stop codes were examined: 574 (CWT), 532 (psychosocial rehab-individual; used in the CWT/TR program), 559 (psychosocial rehab-group; used in the CWT/TR program), 573 (Incentive Therapy – group), 535 (mental health - vocational assistance) and 575 (mental health – vocational assistance group).

D. Organization of This Report

This report is divided into two sections. The first section examines changes in the program, over time, from FY 1993 when the monitoring first began through to FY 2000. In addition, data on critical monitors are presented by VISN, and finally, site data is presented on the descriptive characteristics of veterans admitted to the program, the extent to which veterans participated in the program and veteran outcomes at the time of discharge.

The second section of this report contains four appendices. Appendices A and B are copies of the monitoring data collection forms (original and short versions). Appendix C contains 36 data tables derived from the monitoring data collection system. And finally, Appendix D contains summary data of the psychosocial rehabilitation services received by veterans as documented by stop codes recorded in VA's outpatient treatment file in Austin Texas during FY 2000.

---

contribute (using their CWT/VI earnings) to the cost of operating ad maintaining the residences and are responsible for planning purchasing and preparing their own meals. For more information on the CWT/TR program see the Fourth Progress Report on the Compensated Work Therapy Transitional Residence Program, Seibyl, Sieffert, Medak and Rosenheck, 2000).

[2] Incentive Therapy program provides pre-vocational activity combined with intensive case management. This program is ideal for veterans requiring a long term highly structured pre-vocational environment.

CHAPTER II
THE CLINICAL OPERATION

A. National Performance

Tables 1 - 6 in Appendix C present summary national data on number of veterans served for whom monitoring data were collected, veteran characteristics, program participation, and discharge outcomes for fiscal years 1993 - 2000. Highlighted below are key findings:

Number of Veterans Served

- During FY 2000, data were collected on 7,414 veterans discharged from the CWT/VI Program (Table 1). These 7,414 veterans for whom monitoring data were collected represented 81.7% of all discharges reported to VHA Headquarters (Table 10).

Veteran Characteristics

- Referrals from VA inpatient units have dropped over the years from 33.6% in FY 1993 to 11.7% in FY 2000 and, the majority of veterans admitted to the CWT/VI Program are being referred by either VA outpatient programs or domiciliary care programs (40.3% and 32.5% respectively) (Table 2).

- During FY 2000, veterans in the CWT/VI Program had a mean age of 46 years and 4.3% were female. The majority of participants are minorities; 48.5% are African American; 4.6% are Hispanic; 2.6% are of other ethnic backgrounds, and only 44.4% are White. Only 7.5% are married; 63.4% are separated, widowed or divorced, and 29.1% have never married. Over 90% completed 12 years of education, and 41.3% had at least some college (Table 2).

- Veterans admitted to the CWT/VI continue to be without resources. Mean monthly incomes in the month prior to admission have dropped from $347 in FY 1993 to $229 in FY 2000 and six out of ten veterans (60.7%) are homeless (Table 3).

- The program is admitting a greater proportion of veterans who have had full-time competitive employment experience. In FY 1993, 31.1% of veterans reported that their usual employment pattern in the past three years was full-time competitive employment as compared to 43.2% in FY 2000 (Table 3).

- Substance abuse is the most prevalent illness in this population as 86.5% of veterans were diagnosed with either an alcohol problem (74.7%) and/or a drug problem (61.7%) (Table 4). In addition, over half of veterans (58.7%) reported that they had lost at least one job due to their abuse of substances (Table 3).

- During FY 2000, nearly half of the veterans (45.1%) were diagnosed with a serious mental illness and over one-third (36.3%) were dually diagnosed with both a serious psychiatric illness and substance abuse problem (Table 4).

Program Participation

- The proportion of veterans who successfully completed the program during FY 2000 was 51.4% (Table 5).

- On average, during FY 2000, veterans worked 26.1 hours per week in CWT/VI and had an average hourly wage of $5.56, 41 cents above the current minimum wage of $5.15/hour (Table 5).

- The proportion of veterans who had any supported employment/transitional work experience while in CWT/VI has more than doubled from FY 1993 (30.1%) to FY 2000 (79.2%) (Table 5). Two out of 10 veterans (20.3%) had only a workshop experience in the CWT/VI program.

Outcomes

- During FY 2000, 41.4% of veterans were discharged from CWT/VI with arrangements to be in competitive employment (full- or part-time) (Table 6). An additional 5% had arrangements at the time of discharge to be in some type of constructive activity (e.g. VA's Incentive Therapy, student, trainee or unpaid volunteer) (Table 6).

- During the past 6 fiscal years, there has been an increase in the percentage of veterans showing improvement in virtually all clinical areas (e.g. alcohol problems, drug problems, mental health problems and medical problems) and work performance areas (e.g. attendance and punctuality, acceptance of supervision, relationship with co-workers, productivity and quality of production). (Table 6).

B. VISN Performance

CWT/VI Programs are located within every VISN. Tables 7, 8a and 8b in Appendix C report the 12 critical monitor measures by VISN for FY 2000. VISNs whose results are considered "outliers" are identified in Tables 7 and 8b with a darkened box. The performance of all VISNs is used as the norm for evaluating the performance of each individual VISN. Those VISNs that are one standard deviation above or below the mean in the undesirable direction are considered outliers in Table 7. Outcome measures are presented in Tables 8a and 8b. Table 8a reports the raw outcome data by VISN and Table 8b report the same outcome measures, however, they have been risk adjusted using the same baseline characteristics as described earlier for CWT/VI sites (see Chapter I - determining outliers on critical monitors). VISNs who were statistically different from the median VISN, after risk

adjustment, in the undesirable direction on outcome measures are considered outliers.

Table 9 of Appendix C provides a summary of the outlier status of each VISN. A total of 46 outliers out of a total of 264 measurements were identified for the 12 critical monitors across all 22 reporting VISNs. VISNs 1 and 9 had the greatest number of outliers (7 and 9 outliers respectively).

C. Site Performance

1. Compliance with Program Monitoring

During FY 2000, 99 VA medical center facilities had CWT/VI programs and reported discharges to VHA Headquarters and/or NEPEC[3]. As a matter of policy, CWT/VI sites are required to submit an Annual Report by the tenth day of the completion of each fiscal year. Completed Annual Reports are sent to the attention of the Associate Chief for Psychosocial Rehabilitation in Hampton, Virginia. One component of the Annual Report to VHA Headquarters requires CWT/VI sites to record the number of patients discharged from CWT/VI for the fiscal year just completed. Table 10 of Appendix C compares the number of discharges reported in the FY 2000 Annual Report to VHA Headquarters with the number of monitoring forms NEPEC received where the last date worked in CWT/VI occurred during FY 2000. Of these 99 CWT/VI programs:

- One site submitted monitoring data to NEPEC on veterans who last date worked in CWT/VI occurred during FY 2000 and did not report any discharges to VHA Headquarters[4], and; 5 sites reported discharges in the Annual Report, however, did not submit any monitoring data to NEPEC where the veteran's last date worked occurred during FY 2000[5] thus no data will be presented on these programs in this report.

- On average, sites submitted monitoring data on 84.1% of the discharges they reported in their FY 2000 Annual Reports to the Associate Chief for Psychosocial Rehabilitation. Fifteen sites were one standard deviation below the mean on proportion of discharges during FY 2000 for which monitoring data were collected (Table 10).

---

[3] In the past several years, a number of VA medical centers have integrated. As a result, their data were combined in their Annual Report to VHA Headquarters: East Orange and Lyons reported data as the New Jersey Health Care System; Baltimore, Fort Howard and Perry Point reported data as the Maryland Health Care System; Gainesville and Lake City reported data as the North Florida /South Georgia Health Care System; Des Moines and Knoxville reported data as the Central Iowa Health Care System; Temple and Waco reported data as the Central Texas Health Care System; and; American Lake and Seattle reported data as the Puget Sound Health Care System. NEPEC, however, has, where possible, has presented data for each CWT/VI program site location. Thus, for medical center facilities that have consolidated, data is presented for each site location and not aggregated for the entire facility. There are only two exceptions to this and that is the New Jersey Health Care System and the North Florida/South Georgia Health Care System. Data for these facilities were aggregated for all their CWT site locations.

[4] Richmond submitted 2 monitoring forms on veterans whose last date worked occurred during FY00.

[5] The 5 sites are White River Junction (VISN 1), Cincinnati (VISN 10), Iron Mountain (VISN 12), St. Louis (VISN 15) and Sepulveda (VISN 22).

2. Descriptive Program Measures and Critical Monitor Measures

Tables 11 - 34 of Appendix C report site-specific data for FY 2000. Data are presented for 90 operational program site locations[6]. Nine CWT site locations (2 of the 9 sites are part of consolidated medical center facilities) were excluded from these tables because they submitted data on fewer than 11 veterans during FY 2000[7]. Critical monitors have been identified in these tables by shaded column titles (e.g. see Table 27 the column labeled "Mutually Agreed/Planned Discharge") and sites whose results are considered "outliers" are identified with a darkened box.

Table 35 of Appendix C provides a summary of the outlier status of each site location. A total of 184 outliers out of 1,080 measurements were identified for the 12 critical monitors across all 90 reporting sites. Fifty-two of the 90 reporting sites (57.8%) were found to be outliers on one or none of the critical monitors, although 14 of the 99 sites were outliers on five or more of the 12 critical monitors.

3. Trend Data on Risk Adjusted Outcome Critical Monitors

The last set of tables in Appendix C, Tables 36a – 36h provide trend data on outcome measures. For each of the eight risk adjusted critical outcome monitors, comparative data from the previous three progress reports, fiscal years 1996, 1998, 1999 and 2000 are presented by site so that trends in program operation can be evaluated.

D. The CWT/VI Program as One Component of the Larger VA Psychosocial Rehabilitation Service

Appendix D contains 10 tables summarizing stop code data (see Chapter I) for Psychosocial Rehabilitation Services (PSR), as documented in VA's outpatient care file. Appendix D1 – Appendix D.5 present summary VISN data, while Appendix D.6 – Appendix D.10 present summary site data.

Altogether, 31,753 individual veterans received PSR services during FY 2000 and these veterans, on average, received 35.8 PSR visits. The overall duration of veteran participation in PSR was approximately 3 months as determined by dates of their first recorded and last recorded stop codes (mean = 92.6 days). Of the 31,753 veterans, 61.6% (n=19,551) received CWT and/or CWT/TR services; 49.7% (n=15,772) received vocational assistance services and 25% (n=15,772) received IT services.

---

[6] NEPEC has presented data for each CWT/VI program site location. Thus, for medical center facilities that have consolidated, data is presented for each site location and not aggregated for the entire facility. There are only two exceptions to this and that is the New Jersey Health Care System and the North Florida/South Georgia Health Care System. Data for these facilities were aggregated.

[7] The 9 CWT site locations excluded from the data tables include: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor, VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco (part of Central Texas Health Care System); VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso, and; VISN 20, Seattle (part of Puget Sound Health Care System).

Since veterans may receive treatment from a number of programs within the PSR continuum of care, Appendices D5 and D10 report the type service the veterans first received in the PSR continuum of care. Overall, 49% of veterans received CWT and/or CWT/TR services first, 38.5% of veterans received vocational assistance services first and 15.3% of veterans received IT services first.

E. Summary

As VA continues to shift its emphasis of care from costly hospital-based treatment to community care, participation in CWT/VI will be an important element in fostering community adjustment and functional rehabilitation of veterans disabled by psychiatric or addictive disorders.

REFERENCES

Fountaine and Howard. Program Evaluation of the Veterans Administrations
Therapeutic Work Programs. Office of Program Analysis and Evaluation Studies and
Evaluation Service, Veterans Administration, December 1987.

Bond, G., Becker, D., Drake, R., et al: Implementing Supported Employment as an
Evidence-Based Practice. Psychiatric Services 52: 313-322, 2001.

Leda, C., Rosenheck, R., Corwel, L. and Medak, S. Preliminary Information on the
Monitoring Efforts of the Compensated Work Therapy Program. West Haven, CT;
Northeast Program Evaluation Center, 1995.

Seibyl, C.L., Rosenheck, R., Corwel, L. And Medak, S. Compensated Work Therapy (CWT)/
Veterans Industries (VI) Fiscal Year 1996. West Haven, CT; Northeast Program
Evaluation Center, 1997.

Seibyl, C.L., Rosenheck, R., Corwel, L. And Medak, S. Second Progress Report on the
Compensated Work Therapy (CWT)/Veterans Industries (VI) Fiscal Year 1998. West
Haven, CT; Northeast Program Evaluation Center, 1999.

Seibyl, C.L., Rosenheck, R., Corwel, L. And Medak, S. Third Progress Report on the
Compensated Work Therapy (CWT)/Veterans Industries (VI) Fiscal Year 1999. West
Haven, CT; Northeast Program Evaluation Center, 2000.

Seibyl, C.L., Sieffert, D., Medak, S. and Rosenheck, R. Fourth Progress Report on the
Compensated Work Therapy / Transitional Residence Program. West Haven, CT;
Northeast Program Evaluation Center, 2000.

APPENDICES

Contents of the Appendices:

Appendix A. Veterans Industries Monitoring Data Sheet

Appendix B. Veterans Industries Monitoring Data Sheet (Short Version)

Appendix C. CWT/VI Monitoring Data Tables

Appendix D. Psychosocial Rehabilitation Services Data Tables

Appendix A
Veterans Industries Monitoring Data Sheet

Veterans Industries

Monitoring Data Sheet

FORM __VI__   (2)

COMPLETE THIS SECTION AT ADMISSION

Staff Member's Name _____

Admission Date to VI (mm,dd,yy) . . . . ☐☐/☐☐/☐☐ (8)

VA Facility Code . . . . ☐☐☐ (11)

PART I INTERVIEW (to be administered to veteran by a clinician)

A. VETERAN DESCRIPTION

1. Veteran's Name (last name, first initial) ☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐☐ (31)

2. Social Security Number . . . . ☐☐☐–☐☐–☐☐☐☐ (40)

3. Date of Birth (mm,dd,yy) . . . . ☐☐/☐☐/☐☐ (46)

4. Sex   (47)
   ☐ 1. Male   ☐ 2. Female

5. Ethnicity (check only one)   (48)
   ☐ 1. Hispanic, white   ☐ 4. Black, not Hispanic   ☐ 6. White
   ☐ 2. Hispanic, black   ☐ 5. Asian   ☐ 7. Other
   ☐ 3. American Indian or Alaskan

6. Marital status (check only one)   (49)
   ☐ 1. married   ☐ 3. widowed   ☐ 5. divorced
   ☐ 2. remarried   ☐ 4. separated   ☐ 6. never married

7. How many children do you have under the age of 18? . . . . # ☐☐ (51)

8. How many people depend on you for the majority of their financial support (exclude veteran)? # ☐☐

9. Have you ever worked in VI (or CWT) before this admission? . . . . ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes (54)

10. What was the highest level you completed in school (e.g. GED = 12; 1 yr of college = 13)? ☐☐ (56)

11. How many months of training or technical education have you completed
    (exclude training in the military)? . . . . (mos) ☐☐

B. EMPLOYMENT AND INCOME HISTORY

12. In your lifetime, what was the year you had your greatest employment earnings? . . . . (year) 19 ☐☐ (60)

13. Approximately, **how much** were your employment earnings in that year ($/year)?
    (dollar amount only — no cents) . . . . $ ☐☐☐,☐☐☐ (66)

14. In the past 3 years, what would you say was your highest paying job (exclude VI/IT)?
    (type of job _____)

    DO NOT CODE   ☐☐ (68)

15. What was your maximum rate of pay ($/hr) on that job? . . . . $ ☐☐.☐☐ (72)

16. What has been your **usual** employment pattern during the **past three years** ? (check only one)   (73)
    ☐ 1. Full time (regular; ≥ 35 hrs/wk)   ☐ 5. Service/Volunteer
    ☐ 2. Regular part time (< 35 hrs/wk)   ☐ 6. Retired/disabled
    ☐ 3. Irregular part time (day jobs)   ☐ 7. Unemployed
    ☐ 4. Student/training program   ☐ 8. Other

For office use only

17. What year was the last time you held a job in the community for a month or more? .... (year) 19 ☐☐ **(75)**

18. And, what was the rate of pay for that job? ($/hr) .... $ ☐☐.☐☐

19. In the last 30 days, how many days did you work for pay? (exclude VI and IT) .... (days) ☐☐ **(81)**

20. In the last 30 days, how much money did you receive from employment? (exclude VI and IT)
(dollar amount only — no cents) .... $ ☐☐☐☐ **(85)**

21. Do you currently receive any of the following kinds of financial support?
(check one box for each question)

1. Service Connected Psychiatry (include 0%)	☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes	**(86)**
2. Service Connected Other (include 0%)	☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes	
3. NSC Pension	☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes	
4. SSDI (Social Security Disability Insurance)	☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes	
5. SSI (Supplemental Security Income)	☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes	
6. Social Security Retirement	☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes	
7. Other disability (e.g. workmen's compensation)	☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes	
8. Other public support (e.g. food stamps, general relief)	☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes	**(93)**

22. In the past 30 days, how much money did you receive from these sources (dollar amount —
no cents) (code 0000 if veteran does not receive financial support from any of the above)? . $ ☐☐☐☐ **(97)**

C MILITARY HISTORY

23. Period of Service (check **longest** one) **(98)**

☐ 1. Pre-WWII (11/18–11/41)   ☐ 5. Between Korean and   ☐ 7. Post-Vietnam Era (5/75–7/90)
☐ 2. World War II (12/41–12/46)   Vietnam Eras (2/55–7/64)   ☐ 8. Persian Gulf (8/90–   )
☐ 3. Pre-Korean War (1/47–6/50) ☐ 6. Vietnam Era (8/64–4/75)   ☐ 9. Post-Persian Gulf
☐ 4. Korean War (7/50–1/55)

24. Did you ever receive hostile or friendly fire in combat zone? .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes **(99)**

D. LIVING SITUATION

25. Where did you usually sleep during the month before you were admitted to the VI (CWT) program? (select one) **(100)**

☐ 1. own apartment, room or house   ☐ 5. halfway house, transitional living facility
     (include boarding home)   ☐ 6. hotel, Single Room Occupancy (SRO)
☐ 2. someone else's apartment, room or house ☐ 7. shelter for the homeless
☐ 3. hospital or nursing home   ☐ 8. outdoors, abandoned building, car
☐ 4. domiciliary   ☐ 9. other (specify _____)

DO NOT CODE ☐☐ **(102)**

26. On the last date you were living in the community (e.g. not in a hospital or a health care
type institution) were you homeless **(lacking a fixed, regular and adequate night-time
residence)** .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes **(103)**

26a. If yes, where were you staying? (select one) **(104)**

☐ 1. someone else's apartment, room or house   ☐ 4. outdoors, abandoned building, car
☐ 2. hotel, Single Room Occupancy (SRO)   ☐ 5. other (specify _____)
☐ 3. shelter for the homeless

DO NOT CODE ☐☐ **(106)**

26b. How long was that episode of homelessness (select one)?
**[Note: Length of time homeless is determined by figuring the number of months or
years since the veteran last had a fixed, regular and adequate night-time residence,
<u>minus the time spent in any institution (hospital, halfway house, jail etc.)</u>]** **(107)**

☐ 1. less than 1 month   ☐ 4. at least 1 year but less than 2 years
☐ 2. at least 1 month but less than 6 months ☐ 5. two years or more
☐ 3. at least 6 months but less than 1 year   ☐ 9. unknown

For office
use only

E. HEALTH STATUS

27. How would you compare your **physical** health with others your age? (check one)　　　**(108)**
　　　☐ 1. excellent　　　☐ 3. good　　　☐ 5. poor
　　　☐ 2. very good　　　☐ 4. fair　　　☐ 9. don't know, no response

28. How would you compare your **emotional** health with others your age? (check one)　　　**(109)**
　　　☐ 1. excellent　　　☐ 3. good　　　☐ 5. poor
　　　☐ 2. very good　　　☐ 4. fair　　　☐ 9. don't know, no response

29. Have you **ever** been hospitalized for: (check one box for each question)
　　1. treatment of alcoholism? . . . . ☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes **(110)**
　　2. treatment of drug problems? . . . . ☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes
　　3. a psychiatric problem (include PTSD)? . . . . ☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes **(112)**

30. Adding up all the time you have been treated as an inpatient for psychiatric problems
　　or substance abuse problems, how much time in total have you spent in hospitals or other
　　treatment facilities? Would you say: (select one)　　　**(113)**
　　　☐ 0. none　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　☐ 3. between 2 years and 5 years
　　　☐ 1. less than 6 months (< 6 months)　　　　($\geq$ 2 yrs and < 5 yrs)
　　　☐ 2. between 6 months and 2 years　　　☐ 4. between 5 years and 10 years
　　　　　($\geq$ 6 mos and < 2 yrs)　　　　　　　($\geq$ 5 yrs and < 10 yrs)
　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　☐ 5. more than 10 years ($\geq$ 10 yrs)

31. Which of the following health problems do you currently have: (check one box for each question)
　　1. medical problem? . . . . ☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes **(114)**
　　2. problem with alcohol? . . . . ☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes
　　3. problem with drugs? . . . . ☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes
　　4. psychiatric or emotional problem? . . . . ☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes

32. Have you ever lost a job because of alcohol and/or drug problems? . . . . ☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes **(118)**

F. LEGAL HISTORY

33. Have you ever been arrested? (check one)　　　**(119)**
　　　☐ 0. no　　　　　　☐ 2. yes, 2 – 5 times　　　☐ 4. yes, more than 10 times
　　　☐ 1. yes, once　　　☐ 3. yes, 6 – 10 times

34. Have you ever been incarcerated (i.e. been in jail or prison) in your life? (check one)　　　**(120)**
　　　☐ 0. no　　　　　　☐ 1. yes, less than two weeks　　　☐ 3. yes, two weeks or more

PART II CLINICIAN'S OBSERVATIONS AND IMPRESSIONS
(to be completed by a clinician)

35. How was contact with the VI Program initiated? (select one)　　　**(121)**
　　　☐ 1. referral from VA inpatient unit　　　　　　☐ 4. referral from a non-VA health
　　　☐ 2. referral from a VA outpatient program　　　　　care provider/agency
　　　　　(specify outpt program type _____)　☐ 5. self-referred
　　　☐ 3. referral from a VA domiciliary　　　　　　☐ 6. Vet Center referral
　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　☐ 7. other (specify _____)

　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　DO NOT CODE　　☐ ☐ **(123)**

36. Is the veteran currently in a VA domiciliary or inpatient unit? (if veteran is in a VA-sponsored
　　residential treatment program, code NO and go to question 37) . . . . ☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes **(124)**
　　36a. If yes, what type of VA bed section? (select one)　　　**(125)**
　　　☐ 1. VA medical or surgical unit　　　　　☐ 6. VA Rehabilitation Medicine/
　　　☐ 2. VA general psychiatric unit　　　　　　　Intermediate Care unit
　　　☐ 3. VA spinal cord injury unit　　　　　　☐ 7. VA nursing home
　　　☐ 4. VA substance abuse unit　　　　　　　　　(include contract nursing home)
　　　☐ 5. Domiciliary　　　　　　　　　　　　☐ 8. Other VA inpatient unit
　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　(specify _____)

　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　　DO NOT CODE　　☐ ☐ **(127)**

For office
use only

37. Is the veteran currently in a VA-sponsored residential treatment program? .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes (128)

    37a. If yes, what type of VA-sponsored residential treatment program? (select one) (129)

        ☐ 1. Substance abuse contract halfway house   ☐ 6. PRRTP (Psychiatric Residential

        ☐ 2. HCMI contract halfway house   Rehabilitation Treatment Program)

        ☐ 3. Section 8 through the HUD-VASH program   ☐ 7. Social Work Services' residential

        ☐ 4. VI/TR (CWT/TR) program   care program (CRC)

        ☐ 5. VA Supported Housing (component of HCHV)   ☐ 8. other (specify _____)

                                           DO NOT CODE   ☐ ☐ (131)

38. At this time are you planning to refer this veteran to the VI/TR program? (select one) (132)

        ☐ 0. no   ☐ 2. yes, admission to the TR is planned

        ☐ 1. yes, already admitted to the   in the near future

            Therapeutic Residence (TR)

39. Does the veteran have any ambulatory aids? (check one box for each question)

        1.   cane or quad cane .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes (133)

        2.   walker .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

        3.   crutches .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

        4.   wheelchair .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

        5.   prosthesis .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

        6.   other .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes (138)

40. Please indicate below the veteran's DSM-III-R diagnosis as determined by professionals
in this program or from the medical record. (check one box for each question)

        1. PTSD .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes (139)

        2. Anxiety Disorder (other than PTSD) .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

        3. Affective Disorder (other than Bipolar Disorder) .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

        4. Bipolar Disorder .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes (142)

        5. Schizophrenia .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

        6. Psychosis (other than schizophrenia) .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

        7. Adjustment Disorder .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes (145)

        8. Alcohol Abuse/Dependence .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

        9. Drug Abuse/Dependence .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

        10. Personality Disorder .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

        11. Other (specify _____) ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes (149)

41. Please indicate below any disabling medical conditions that apply to this veteran as
determined by professionals in this program or from the medical record. (check one box
for each question)

        1. Head Injury .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes (150)

        2. Cerebro-Vascular Accident (e.g. Stroke) .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

        3. Spinal Cord Injury (Paraplegia/Quadriplegia) .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

        4. Arthritis .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

        5. Multiple Sclerosis .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes (154)

        6. Liver Disease (e.g. Cirrhosis) .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

        7. Pulmonary Disease (e.g. COPD) .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

        8. Dementia .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

        9. Orthopedic Problems (e.g. Back Injuries) .... ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

        10. Other (specify _____) ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes (159)

                                          DO NOT CODE   ☐ ☐ (161)

COMPLETE THIS SECTION AT DISCHARGE

Staff Member's Name _____

Discharge Date from VI (mm,dd,yy) .... ☐☐/☐☐/☐☐ **(167)**

Last date worked in VI (mm,dd,yy) .... ☐☐/☐☐/☐☐ **(173)**

I. PARTICIPATION IN VETERANS INDUSTRIES

1. Hours worked in VI:

    1. Total hours worked .... (hrs) ☐☐☐,☐☐☐ **(179)**

    2. Total hours worked in the past 30 days .... (hrs) ☐☐☐ **(182)**

2. Earnings in VI:

    1. Total gross earnings (dollar amount — no cents) .... $ ☐☐,☐☐☐ **(187)**

    2. Total gross earnings in the past 30 days (dollar amount — no cents) .... $ ☐,☐☐☐ **(191)**

3. While in VI, did the veteran spend any time in the following job locations?
   (check one box for each question)

    1. Workshop on VA grounds .... ☐ 0 = No   ☐ 1 = Yes **(192)**

    2. Supported employment on VA grounds .... ☐ 0 = No   ☐ 1 = Yes

    3. Workshop in the community .... ☐ 0 = No   ☐ 1 = Yes

    4. Supported employment in the community .... ☐ 0 = No   ☐ 1 = Yes **(195)**

4. Consider the following work performance and clinical areas and select the description that best
   reflects changes that occurred during the veteran's VI admission (check one box for each question).

A. Work Performance Areas	9. not applicable	0. deteriorated	1. unchanged	2. improved	
1. Personal hygiene/appearance	☐	☐	☐	☐	**(196)**
2. Attendance and punctuality	☐	☐	☐	☐	
3. Acceptance of supervision	☐	☐	☐	☐	
4. Ability to get along with co-workers	☐	☐	☐	☐	
5. Productivity (output volume)	☐	☐	☐	☐	
6. Quality of production	☐	☐	☐	☐	**(201)**
B. Clinical Areas					
7. Alcohol problems	☐	☐	☐	☐	**(202)**
8. Drug problems	☐	☐	☐	☐	
9. Mental health problems other than substance abuse	☐	☐	☐	☐	
10. Medical problems	☐	☐	☐	☐	**(205)**

5. In your opinion, is this veteran ready for working in full-time competitive employment? ...... ☐ 0 = No   ☐ 1 = Yes **(206)**

For office
use only

II. DISCHARGE STATUS

6. The veteran's mode of discharge was: (check only one)   **(207)**
   - ☐ 1. Mutually agreed upon planned discharge
   - ☐ 2. Involuntary discharge because of failure to comply with program requirements
     (specify _____)
   - ☐ 3. Veteran left the program before planned discharge date and informed staff of his/her departure
   - ☐ 4. Veteran left the program before planned discharge date and **did not** inform staff (AWOL)
   - ☐ 5. Veteran became ill (physically or mentally) and was not able to work in VI
   - ☐ 6. Other (specify _____)

   DO NOT CODE   ☐ ☐ **(209)**

7. Veteran's employment situation after discharge from VI will be: (check only one)   **(210)**
   - ☐ 1. Full-time paid competitive employment in the community
   - ☐ 2. Part-time paid competitive employment in the community
   - ☐ 3. VA's Incentive Therapy (IT)
   - ☐ 4. Student/trainee
   - ☐ 5. Unpaid volunteer
   - ☐ 6. Unemployed
   - ☐ 7. Retired/disabled/too ill
   - ☐ 8. Veteran left program without giving indication of his/her employment situation
   - ☐ 9. Other (specify _____)

   DO NOT CODE   ☐ ☐ **(212)**

8. If the veteran will be in competitive employment in the job community, what will his/her job be?
   (if more than one job, indicate the most important job)
   (type of job _____)

   DO NOT CODE   ☐ ☐ **(214)**

9. If the veteran will be employed, what will his/her approximate rate of pay be? ($/hr) . . . . $ ☐ ☐ . ☐ ☐ **(218)**

10. Veteran's housing situation after discharge from VI will be: (check only one)   **(219)**
    - ☐ 1. Institution (e.g. hospital, nursing home, domicilary)
    - ☐ 2. Halfway house/transitional living program
    - ☐ 3. Own apartment, room or house (include boarding home)
    - ☐ 4. Apartment, room or house of friend or family member
    - ☐ 5. No available residence other than homeless shelters, outdoors etc.
    - ☐ 6. Veteran left program without giving indication of his/her housing situation
    - ☐ 7. Other (specify _____)

    DO NOT CODE   ☐ ☐ **(221)**

Appendix B
Veterans Industries Monitoring Data Sheet
(Short Version)

Veterans Industries

Monitoring Data Sheet

(Short Version)
Page 1 of 5

For office
use only

FORM **VI**   (2)

COMPLETE THIS SECTION AT ADMISSION

Staff Member's Name _____

Admission Date to VI (mm,dd,yy) . . . . □□/□□/□□   (8)

VA Facility Code . . . . □□□   (11)

PART I INTERVIEW (to be administered to veteran by a clinician)

A. VETERAN DESCRIPTION

1. Veteran's Name (last name, first initial)   □□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□□ □   (31)

2. Social Security Number . . . . □□□–□□–□□□□   (40)

3. Date of Birth (mm,dd,yy) . . . . □□/□□/□□   (46)

4. Sex   (47)
   □ 1. Male   □ 2. Female

5. Ethnicity (check only one)   (48)
   □ 1. Hispanic, white   □ 4. Black, not Hispanic   □ 6. White
   □ 2. Hispanic, black   □ 5. Asian   □ 7. Other
   □ 3. American Indian or Alaskan

6. Marital status (check only one)   (49)
   □ 1. married   □ 3. widowed   □ 5. divorced
   □ 2. remarried   □ 4. separated   □ 6. never married

   50–53
   BLANK

9. Have you ever worked in VI (or CWT) before this admission? . . . . □ 0 = No   □ 1 = Yes   (54)

10. What was the highest level you completed in school (**e.g. GED = 12; 1 yr of college = 13**)?   □□   (56)

   57–72
   BLANK

B. EMPLOYMENT AND INCOME HISTORY

16. What has been your **usual** employment pattern during the **past three years** ? (check only one)   (73)
    □ 1. Full time (regular; ≥ 35 hrs/wk)   □ 5. Service/Volunteer
    □ 2. Regular part time (< 35 hrs/wk)   □ 6. Retired/disabled
    □ 3. Irregular part time (day jobs)   □ 7. Unemployed
    □ 4. Student/training program   □ 8. Other

17. What year was the last time you held a job in the community for a month or more?   . . . . . .   (year) 19 □□   (75)

   76–79
   BLANK

19. In the last 30 days, how many days did you work for pay? (exclude VI and IT) . . . .   (days) □□   (81)

20. In the last 30 days, how much money did you receive from employment? (exclude VI and IT)
    (dollar amount only — no cents) . . . . $ □□□□   (85)

For office use only

21. Do you currently receive any of the following kinds of financial support?
(check one box for each question)

    1. Service Connected Psychiatry (include 0%) . . . . 　☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes　**(86)**
    2. Service Connected Other (include 0%). . . . . 　☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes
    3. NSC Pension. . . . . 　☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes
    4. SSDI (Social Security Disability Insurance) . . . . 　☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes
    5. SSI (Supplemental Security Income) . . . . 　☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes
    6. Social Security Retirement . . . . 　☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes
    7. Other disability (e.g. workmen's compensation) . . . . 　☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes
    8. Other public support (e.g. food stamps, general relief). . . . . 　☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes　**(93)**

22. In the past 30 days, how much money did you receive from these sources (dollar amount — no cents) (code 0000 if veteran does not receive financial support from any of the above)? . 　$ ☐☐☐☐　**(97)**

C. MILITARY HISTORY

23. Period of Service (check **longest** one)　**(98)**

☐ 1. Pre-WWII (11/18–11/41)　☐ 5. Between Korean and　☐ 7. Post-Vietnam Era (5/75–7/90)
☐ 2. World War II (12/41–12/46)　 Vietnam Eras (2/55–7/64)　☐ 8. Persian Gulf (8/90–　　)
☐ 3. Pre-Korean War (1/47–6/50)　☐ 6. Vietnam Era (8/64–4/75)　☐ 9. Post-Persian Gulf
☐ 4. Korean War (7/50–1/55)

24. Did you ever receive hostile or friendly fire in combat zone? . . . . 　☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes　**(99)**

D. LIVING SITUATION

25. Where did you usually sleep during the month before you were admitted to the (CWT) program? (select one)　**(100)**

☐ 1. own apartment, room or house　☐ 5. halfway house, transitional living facility
    (include boarding home)　☐ 6. hotel, Single Room Occupancy (SRO)
☐ 2. someone else's apartment, room or house　☐ 7. shelter for the homeless
☐ 3. hospital or nursing home　☐ 8. outdoors, abandoned building, car
☐ 4. domiciliary　☐ 9. other (specify _____)

                           DO NOT CODE　☐☐　**(102)**

26. On the last date you were living in the community (e.g. not in a hospital or a health care type institution) were you homeless **(lacking a fixed, regular and adequate night-time residence)** . . . . 　☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes　**(103)**

26b. How long was that episode of homelessness (select one)?　**104–106 BLANK**
    [Note: Length of time homeless is determined by figuring the number of months or years since the veteran last had a fixed, regular and adequate night-time residence, minus the time spent in any institution (hospital, halfway house, jail etc.)]　**(107)**

☐ 1. less than 1 month　☐ 4. at least 1 year but less than 2 years　**108–109 BLANK**
☐ 2. at least 1 month but less than 6 months　☐ 5. two years or more
☐ 3. at least 6 months but less than 1 year　☐ 9. unknown

E. HEALTH STATUS

29. Have you **ever** been hospitalized for: (check one box for each question)

    1. treatment of alcoholism? . . . . 　☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes　**(110)**
    2. treatment of drug problems? . . . . 　☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes
    3. a psychiatric problem (include PTSD)? . . . . 　☐ 0 = No　☐ 1 = Yes　**(112)**

113 BLANK

For office use only

31. Which of the following health problems do you currently have: (check one box for each question)

    1. medical problem? . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes   **(114)**

    2. problem with alcohol? . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

    3. problem with drugs? . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

    4. psychiatric or emotional problem?. . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

32. Have you ever lost a job because of alcohol and/or drug problems? . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes   **(118)**

                  119–120 BLANK

PART II CLINICIAN'S OBSERVATIONS AND IMPRESSIONS
(to be completed by a clinician)

35. How was contact with the VI Program initiated? (select one)   **(121)**

    ☐ 1. referral from VA inpatient unit   ☐ 4. referral from a non-VA health
    ☐ 2. referral from a VA outpatient program   care provider/agency
        (specify outpt program type _____)   ☐ 5. self-referred
    ☐ 3. referral from a VA domiciliary   ☐ 6. Vet Center referral
                                 ☐ 7. other (specify _____)

                                DO NOT CODE   ☐ ☐   **(123)**

36. Is the veteran currently in a VA domiciliary or inpatient unit? . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes   **(124)**

                  125–138 BLANK

40. Please indicate below the veteran's DSM-III-R diagnosis as determined by professionals in this program or from the medical record. (check one box for each question)

    1. PTSD . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes   **(139)**

    2. Anxiety Disorder (other than PTSD) . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

    3. Affective Disorder (other than Bipolar Disorder) . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

    4. Bipolar Disorder . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes   **(142)**

    5. Schizophrenia . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

    6. Psychosis (other than schizophrenia) . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

    7. Adjustment Disorder . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes   **(145)**

    8. Alcohol Abuse/Dependence . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

    9. Drug Abuse/Dependence . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

    10. Personality Disorder . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

    11. Other (specify _____)   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes   **(149)**

41. Please indicate below any disabling medical conditions that apply to this veteran as determined by professionals in this program or from the medical record. (check one box for each question)

    1. Head Injury . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes   **(150)**

    2. Cerebro-Vascular Accident (e.g. Stroke) . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

    3. Spinal Cord Injury (Paraplegia/Quadriplegia) . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

    4. Arthritis . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

    5. Multiple Sclerosis . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes   **(154)**

    6. Liver Disease (e.g. Cirrhosis) . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

    7. Pulmonary Disease (e.g. COPD) . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

    8. Dementia . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

    9. Orthopedic Problems (e.g. Back Injuries) . . . .   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

    10. Other (specify _____)   ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes   **(159)**

                                     DO NOT CODE   ☐ ☐   **(161)**

COMPLETE THIS SECTION AT DISCHARGE

162–167
BLANK

Staff Member's Name _____

Last date worked in VI (mm,dd,yy). . . . . ☐☐ / ☐☐ / ☐☐ **(173)**

I. PARTICIPATION IN VETERANS INDUSTRIES

1. Hours worked in VI:

   1. Total hours worked . . . . (hrs) ☐☐☐,☐☐☐ **(179)**

180–182
BLANK

2. Earnings in VI:

   1. Total gross earnings (dollar amount — no cents) . . . . $ ☐☐,☐☐☐ **(187)**

188–191
BLANK

3. While in VI, did the veteran spend any time in the following job locations?
   (check one box for each question)

   1. Workshop on VA grounds . . . . ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes **(192)**

   2. Supported employment on VA grounds . . . . ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

   3. Workshop in the community . . . . ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes

   4. Supported employment in the community . . . . ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes **(195)**

4. Consider the following work performance and clinical areas and select the description that best
   reflects changes that occurred during the veteran's VI admission (check one box for each question).

	9. not applicable	0. deteriorated	1. unchanged	2. improved	
A. Work Performance Areas					
1. Personal hygiene/appearance	☐	☐	☐	☐	**(196)**
2. Attendance and punctuality	☐	☐	☐	☐	
3. Acceptance of supervision	☐	☐	☐	☐	
4. Ability to get along with co-workers	☐	☐	☐	☐	
5. Productivity (output volume)	☐	☐	☐	☐	
6. Quality of production	☐	☐	☐	☐	**(201)**
B. Clinical Areas					
7. Alcohol problems	☐	☐	☐	☐	**(202)**
8. Drug problems	☐	☐	☐	☐	
9. Mental health problems other than substance abuse . .	☐	☐	☐	☐	
10. Medical problems	☐	☐	☐	☐	**(205)**

5. In your opinion, is this veteran ready for working in full-time competitive employment? . . . . . ☐ 0 = No ☐ 1 = Yes **(206)**

For office
use only

II. DISCHARGE STATUS

6. The veteran's mode of discharge was: (check only one)   **(207)**

    ❑ 1. Mutually agreed upon planned discharge

    ❑ 2. Involuntary discharge because of failure to comply with program requirements
       (specify _____)

    ❑ 3. Veteran left the program before planned discharge date and informed staff of his/her departure

    ❑ 4. Veteran left the program before planned discharge date and **did not** inform staff (AWOL)

    ❑ 5. Veteran became ill (physically or mentally) and was not able to work in VI

    ❑ 6. Other (specify _____)

                             DO NOT CODE   ☐ ☐ **(209)**

7. Veteran's employment situation after discharge from VI will be: (check only one)   **(210)**

    ❑ 1. Full-time paid competitive employment   ❑ 6. Unemployed
        in the community   ❑ 7. Retired/disabled/too ill

    ❑ 2. Part-time paid competitive employment   ❑ 8. Veteran left program without giving
        in the community   indication of his/her employment situation

    ❑ 3. VA's Incentive Therapy (IT)   ❑ 9. Other (specify _____)

    ❑ 4. Student/trainee

    ❑ 5. Unpaid volunteer

                             DO NOT CODE   ☐ ☐ **(212)**

                                           213–218
                                         BLANK

10. Veteran's housing situation after discharge from VI will be: (check only one)   **(219)**

    ❑ 1. Institution (e.g. hospital, nursing home, domicilary) ❑ 5. No available residence other than
                                         homeless shelters, outdoors etc.

    ❑ 2. Halfway house/transitional living program

    ❑ 3. Own apartment, room or house   ❑ 6. Veteran left program without giving
        (include boarding home)   indication of his/her housing situation

    ❑ 4. Apartment, room or house of friend   ❑ 7. Other (specify _____)
        or family member

                             DO NOT CODE   ☐ ☐ **(221)**

Appendix C
CWT/VI Monitoring Data Tables

Table 1. CWT/VI Discharges Documented by Monitoring Data by Site and by Fiscal Year.

VISN		SITE	FY93	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00	FY93-FY00
1	405	White River Junction, VT					7	10	1		18
1	518	Bedford, MA	64	385	477	504	535	488	480	319	3252
1	523	Boston, MA		15	4	0	0	0	0	30	49
1	523A5	Brockton, MA	2	56	124	73	80	154	126	65	680
1	608	Manchester, NH			21	18	22	10			71
1	631	Northampton, MA	3	35	72	143	123	144	214	231	965
1	650	Providence, RI	2	7	24	49	65	59	36	33	275
1	689	West Haven, CT		37	69	60	92	69	39	92	458
2	528	Buffalo, NY		2	41	58	72	77	70	44	364
2	528A5	Canandaigua, NY	4	24	11	26	1	46	77	38	227
2	528A6	Bath, NY		17	23	31	46	17	39	67	240
2	528A7	Syracuse, NY	4	25	32	51	40	47	43	64	306
2	528A8	Albany, NY	11	40	23	69	35	62	43	119	402
3	526	Bronx, NY				11	50	67	77	93	298
3	561	E. Orange, NJ			5	26	166	203	222	160	782
3	561A4	Lyons, NJ	20	109	94	226	121	57	0†	0†	627
3	620	Montrose, NY			2	37	108	201	182	174	704
3	630A4	Brooklyn, NY				6	55	62	45	49	217
3	632	Northport, NY	2	7	30	66	117	152	77	13	464
4	529	Butler, PA				8	6	24	49	49	136
4	542	Coatesville, PA	43	211	194	282	229	252	289	265	1765
4	595	Lebanon, PA		50	85	96	90	105	102	100	628
4	642	Philadelphia, PA		1	2	3	29	14	67	46	162
4	646A5	Pittsburgh (HD),PA	9	58	105	157	172	210	153	168	1032
5	512	Baltimore, MD				8	58	41	27	21	155
5	512A4	Ft. Howard, MD			1	5	10	10	25	15	66
5	512A5	Perry Point, MD	5	0	10	26	24	23	33	13	134
5	613	Martinsburg, WV					26	0	217	206	449
5	688	Washington, DC	4	16	58	52	57	75	40	93	395
6	558	Durham, NC	0	4	17	19	22	18	19	12	111
6	590	Hampton, VA	20	124	116	118	113	124	72	75	762
6	637	Asheville, NC		1	6	4	0	0	9	17	37
6	652	Richmond, VA		6	71	41	42	24	31	2	217
6	658	Salem, VA	4	29	34	27	52	25	68	42	281
7	508	Atlanta, GA		13	228	170	112	139	179	307	1148
7	509	Augusta, GA	4	19	11	30	44	54	60	31	253
7	521	Birmingham, AL						2	58	47	107
7	534	Charleston, SC	5	20	51	47	36	52	64	53	328
7	557	Dublin, GA							4	15	19
7	679	Tuscaloosa, AL	17	86	69	72	61	88	82	76	551
8	516	Bay Pines, FL	2	20	67	71	73	133	165	165	696
8	546	Miami, FL			15	20	20	30	44	56	185
8	548	West Palm Beach, FL							19	25	44
8	573	Gainesville, FL			2	9	42	79	85	75	292
8	573A4	Lake City, FL				1	1	14	0	0†	16
8	673	Tampa, FL	1	43	64	66	59	57	87	117	494
9	596	Lexington, KY	22	104	101	87	74	62	50	51	551
9	614	Memphis, TN			1	4	32	25	33	39	134
9	621	Mountain Home, TN	5	2	104	88	127	143	139	106	714
9	626A4	Murfreesboro, TN	15	82	106	101	122	126	146	156	854
10	538	Chillicothe, OH	11	116	4	54	87	92	68	85	517
10	541	Cleveland, OH	5	85	124	109	120	154	132	145	874
10	552	Dayton, OH			1	11	13	9	41	20	95

Table 1 cont. CWT/VI Discharges Documented by Monitoring Data by Site and by Fiscal Year.

VISN	SITE		FY93	FY94	FY95	FY96	FY97	FY98	FY99	FY00	FY93-FY00
11	506	Ann Arbor, MI						5	6	3	14
11	515	Battle Creek, MI		18	78	127	101	83	86	61	554
11	550	Danville, IL	9	13	25	69	1	0	34	34	185
11	583	Indianapolis, IN					16	46	45	39	146
12	556	North Chicago, IL	16	69	55	76	0	0	111	211	538
12	578	Hines, IL		51	52	97	121	140	128	160	749
12	676	Tomah, WI		17	48	65	51	33	53	50	317
12	695	Milwaukee, WI		17	72	55	53	66	86	82	431
13	568	Ft. Meade, SD	3	37	88	82	91	66	58	55	480
13	568A4	Hot Springs, SD	15	70	96	86	98	66	83	75	589
13	618	Minneapolis, MN								15	15
13	656	St. Cloud, MN	10	62	109	172	178	223	221	181	1156
14	636A6	Des Moines, IA						4	18	26	48
14	636A7	Knoxville, IA	3	29	52	103	44	92	71	44	438
15	543	Columbia, MO			6	9	12	0	15	5	47
15	589	Kansas City, MO	4	96	189	159	105	118	129	110	910
15	647	Poplar Bluff, MO				9	11	11	5	6	42
15	677	Topeka, KS	1	55	35	84	64	38	26	7	310
15	677A4	Leavenworth, KS	3	5	8	2	1	9	46	62	136
16	520	Biloxi, MS		6	16	54	114	112	122	158	582
16	580	Houston, TX	2	20	52	81	113	87	114	132	601
16	586	Jackson, MS				1	11	21	25	44	102
16	598	Little Rock, AR		26	4	22	169	186	205	250	862
16	635	Oklahoma City, OK		22	32	29	81	48	16	51	279
17	549	Dallas, TX	43	152	231	293	344	278	286	331	1958
17	549A4	Bonham, TX			17	2	36	39	83	65	242
17	671	San Antonio, TX			6	18	28	30	19	25	126
17	674	Temple, TX		9	19	46	82	45	33	39	273
17	674A4	Waco, TX	13	61	76	13	5	41	0	2	211
18	501	Albuquerque, NM								2	2
18	644	Phoenix, AZ					15	56	21	23	115
18	649	Prescott, AZ	7	14	28	29	76	72	87	86	399
18	678	Tucson, AZ			16	41	51	67	51	62	288
18	756	El Paso, TX					2	2	4	2	10
19	660	Salt Lake City, UT	1	14	29	16	24	23	18	22	147
19	666	Sheridan, WY						12	11	11	34
20	463	Anchorage, AK		14	17	45	75	40	21	22	234
20	648	Portland, OR			21	34	19	29	45	80	228
20	653	Roseburg, OR		9	18	15	22	14	30	32	140
20	663	Seattle, WA			5	12	22	14	3	6	62
20	663A4	American Lake, WA	5	29	139	89	113	69	68	56	568
20	692	White City, OR	3	44	44	115	147	147	168	87	755
21	459	Honolulu, HI		2	6	8	8	9	9	18	60
21	640	Palo Alto, CA	45	191	59	1	10	52	36	42	436
21	654	Reno, NV		1	3	5	1	12	9	12	43
21	662	San Francisco, CA	8	49	62	86	79	98	88	42	512
22	600	Long Beach, CA		6	4	46	35	59	60	55	265
22	605	Loma Linda, CA		3	44	76	69	73	84	78	427
22	664	San Diego, CA					3	10	21	23	57
22	691	West LA, CA				10	22	0	22	46	100
22	691A4	Sepulveda, CA	10	20	1	0	0	0	0	0	31
TOTAL			**485**	**3,080**	**4,561**	**5,622**	**6,411**	**6,874**	**7,408**	**7,414**	**41,855**
SITE AVERAGE			**11**	**45**	**55**	**62**	**66**	**68**	**73**	**72**	**399**
SITE S.D.			**13**	**60**	**69**	**74**	**74**	**74**	**75**	**73**	**448**

† Discharges are combined for the E. Orange and Lyons campuses of the New Jersey Health Care System.

Table 2. Sociodemographic, Military Service History and Referral Source by Fiscal Year.

VETERAN CHARACTERISTICS	FY93 n=485	FY94 n=3080	FY95 n=4561	FY96 n=5622	FY97 n=6411	FY98 n=6874	FY99 n=7408	FY00 n=7414
SOCIODEMOGRAPHIC								
Age (mean years)	43.1	43.0	43.1	43.8	44.4	44.9	45.4	46.0
Female	3.1%	3.2%	3.4%	4.1%	4.0%	4.5%	4.5%	4.3%
Ethnicity								
White	57.1%	55.1%	50.2%	49.5%	50.4%	49.2%	47.0%	44.4%
African American	36.0%	39.1%	43.7%	43.2%	41.7%	43.3%	45.9%	48.5%
Hispanic	4.4%	3.5%	2.4%	3.6%	4.4%	4.4%	4.8%	4.6%
Other	2.5%	2.3%	3.7%	3.7%	3.5%	3.1%	2.3%	2.6%
Marital status								
married	1.7%	9.6%	9.1%	9.3%	9.2%	8.9%	7.8%	7.5%
separated/widowed/divorced	58.8%	61.1%	62.1%	61.0%	61.7%	63.1%	63.2%	63.4%
never married	31.6%	29.3%	28.9%	29.7%	29.1%	28.1%	29.0%	29.1%
Education								
< 12 years	14.2%	12.1%	10.2%	10.0%	11.4%	10.1%	9.8%	8.7%
12 years	47.4%	50.5%	50.8%	49.9%	49.5%	49.5%	49.6%	50.0%
> 12 years	38.4%	37.5%	39.1%	40.1%	39.1%	40.5%	40.7%	41.3%
MILITARY SERVICE HISTORY								
Service Era								
Persian Gulf era	1.7%	2.3%	2.7%	3.1%	3.0%	4.0%	4.4%	4.6%
Post-Vietnam era	26.5%	29.7%	32.5%	34.4%	35.0%	35.7%	37.7%	40.1%
Vietnam era	56.5%	54.8%	53.7%	51.9%	52.9%	52.3%	50.8%	48.9%
Between Korean and Vietnam eras	8.1%	8.8%	7.7%	7.7%	6.7%	6.3%	5.8%	5.2%
Korean era	4.1%	2.9%	2.2%	2.0%	1.9%	1.3%	0.9%	1.0%
All other service eras	3.1%	1.5%	1.2%	0.9%	0.5%	0.4%	0.3%	0.3%
HOW CONTACT WAS INITIATED								
Referral Source								
VA inpatient unit	33.5%	32.6%	27.6%	24.7%	17.9%	13.9%	13.3%	11.7%
VA outpatient program	25.5%	25.4%	27.5%	30.8%	36.1%	38.5%	38.1%	40.3%
VA domiciliary	26.2%	26.1%	26.6%	28.5%	31.0%	33.1%	34.5%	32.5%
Non-VA health care provider or agency	1.3%	2.7%	2.3%	1.8%	1.7%	2.2%	2.7%	3.5%
Self-referred	4.6%	6.9%	10.1%	9.7%	8.4%	7.6%	7.4%	7.8%
Vet Center	2.1%	1.1%	1.5%	1.1%	1.4%	1.0%	0.9%	1.1%
Other	6.9%	5.2%	4.4%	3.4%	3.6%	3.8%	3.2%	3.2%

Table 3. Employment, Benefit, Income and Residential Histories by Fiscal Year.

VETERAN CHARACTERISTICS	FY93 n=485	FY94 n=3080	FY95 n=4561	FY96 n=5622	FY97 n=6411	FY98 n=6874	FY99 n=7408	FY00 n=7414
EMPLOYMENT HISTORY								
Worked previously in CWT	33.1%	27.6%	24.1%	26.8%	26.1%	27.7%	27.7%	29.5%
Usual employment pattern past 3 years								
Competitively employed full-time	31.1%	36.1%	37.6%	38.7%	40.1%	40.6%	42.5%	43.2%
Competitively emp regular part-time	7.1%	7.3%	9.6%	8.7%	8.4%	7.9%	8.6%	8.5%
Competitively emp irregular part-time	21.7%	20.5%	20.7%	19.3%	19.5%	20.6%	20.7%	20.5%
Student/trainee	0.9%	0.9%	0.8%	1.0%	0.8%	0.8%	0.9%	0.6%
Unpaid volunteer	0.4%	0.3%	0.4%	0.3%	0.5%	0.3%	0.3%	0.2%
Retired/disabled	10.5%	9.7%	7.8%	8.2%	7.9%	7.2%	5.9%	6.9%
Unemployed	26.2%	23.0%	21.1%	21.2%	20.8%	20.2%	18.6%	17.7%
Other	2.2%	2.2%	2.0%	2.5%	2.1%	2.4%	2.6%	2.4%
Usually employed or involved in constructive activity past 3 years	61.2%	65.1%	69.0%	68.0%	69.2%	70.2%	72.9%	73.0%
Days worked for pay past 30 days								
none	87.5%	89.5%	88.8%	88.1%	88.2%	88.2%	88.8%	89.6%
1-19 days	8.6%	7.4%	7.4%	8.4%	8.6%	8.0%	8.1%	8.2%
> 19 days	4.0%	3.1%	3.8%	3.6%	3.2%	3.8%	3.1%	2.3%
Mean # of days worked for pay past 30	1.7	1.3	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.5	1.4	1.2
Ever lost a job due to substance abuse	47.6%	52.9%	55.0%	55.4%	55.9%	55.9%	57.7%	58.7%
BENEFIT HISTORY								
Benefits currently receiving								
SC psychiatry	10.2%	9.5%	6.7%	6.3%	6.1%	5.4%	5.3%	4.9%
SC medical	14.9%	12.4%	11.8%	13.4%	13.4%	12.4%	12.9%	13.4%
NSC pension	2.9%	3.9%	3.4%	3.6%	3.6%	3.0%	3.2%	4.8%
Receives any VA benefits	25.6%	23.2%	20.3%	21.5%	21.3%	19.1%	19.8%	21.6%
Social Security benefits (SSI, SSDI)	22.3%	16.1%	13.1%	15.2%	12.7%	9.1%	9.4%	9.4%
Any disability (VA and/or SS)	38.1%	31.9%	27.4%	30.3%	28.2%	24.3%	24.7%	25.1%
INCOME HISTORY								
Mean employment income past 30 days	$51.68	$35.64	$46.36	$45.41	$42.02	$46.77	$45.48	$40.81
Mean public support income past 30 days	$302.76	$238.01	$206.57	$231.19	$214.00	$196.64	$193.57	$191.41
Total income received past 30 days	$346.80	$270.80	$250.34	$271.02	$247.89	$235.87	$231.78	$228.54
RESIDENTIAL HISTORY								
Usual residence past 30 days								
Own apartment, room or house	26.1%	23.4%	20.4%	21.5%	20.8%	18.6%	17.5%	16.8%
Apartment, room or house of family or friend	18.5%	15.8%	16.6%	15.6%	13.3%	14.8%	13.6%	14.9%
Hospital or nursing home	11.0%	13.1%	13.4%	12.3%	10.7%	9.3%	9.8%	8.6%
Domiciliary	19.7%	21.1%	22.4%	23.8%	26.8%	27.5%	27.8%	25.0%
Halfway house, transitional living program	5.6%	7.3%	8.3%	9.4%	10.6%	12.0%	14.1%	16.6%
Hotel or SRO	3.1%	1.5%	1.2%	1.1%	1.1%	0.9%	1.1%	1.1%
Shelter	10.8%	12.6%	13.2%	11.6%	12.6%	12.5%	12.0%	12.2%
Outdoors, abandoned building etc.	3.9%	4.0%	3.8%	3.9%	3.5%	3.1%	3.3%	3.7%
Other	1.2%	1.2%	0.8%	0.9%	0.6%	1.2%	0.9%	1.2%
Homeless when last living in community	53.5%	56.9%	59.9%	58.3%	57.9%	59.0%	61.7%	60.7%
Currently in Domiciliary or Inpatient Unit	55.3%	49.7%	40.5%	40.1%	38.3%	38.5%	39.3%	38.0%

Table 4. Health Status and Hospitalization History by Fiscal Year.

VETERAN CHARACTERISTICS	FY93 n=485	FY94 n=3080	FY95 n=4561	FY96 n=5622	FY97 n=6411	FY98 n=6874	FY99 n=7408	FY00 n=7414
CLINICAL ASSESSMENTS								
Psychiatric Diagnoses								
Alcohol abuse/dependency	62.7%	69.3%	72.2%	72.2%	73.6%	74.7%	74.3%	74.7%
Drug abuse/dependency	43.7%	50.6%	54.4%	55.2%	55.5%	57.7%	59.7%	61.7%
Any substance abuse/dependency	72.6%	78.8%	82.3%	82.5%	84.3%	85.7%	85.5%	86.5%
Serious mental illness†	46.5%	43.3%	40.8%	43.1%	43.0%	43.3%	45.7%	45.1%
Dual diagnosis††	25.7%	29.5%	29.3%	31.1%	32.6%	34.2%	36.4%	36.3%
Any psychiatric disorder	94.8%	95.4%	95.7%	96.4%	96.5%	96.7%	96.9%	97.0%
Any disabling medical condition	42.8%	44.8%	46.1%	45.2%	44.2%	45.6%	47.2%	48.7%
Any psychiatric disorder or disabling medical condition	98.8%	98.6%	98.9%	99.2%	99.2%	99.5%	99.6%	99.6%
HOSPITALIZATION HISTORY								
Ever hospitalized for alcohol problems	64.3%	70.4%	71.7%	71.2%	70.3%	70.8%	70.0%	69.4%
Ever hospitalized for drug problems	42.5%	51.1%	53.9%	54.3%	52.8%	53.4%	55.4%	56.5%
Ever hospitalized for psychiatric problems	50.8%	49.2%	43.3%	44.9%	42.9%	41.9%	42.9%	42.5%
Ever hospitalized for any mental health problem	88.8%	91.6%	90.5%	89.6%	88.8%	87.8%	87.4%	86.8%

† Serious mental illness is defined as having a psychiatric diagnosis that falls into one of the following categories: schizophrenia, psychotic disorder (other than schizophrenia), mood disorder and PTSD.

†† Dual Diagnosis is defined as having both a substance abuse disorder and a serious psychiatric disorder.

Table 5. Program Participation by Fiscal Year.

VETERAN CHARACTERISTICS	FY93 n=485	FY94 n=3080	FY95 n=4561	FY96 n=5622	FY97 n=6411	FY98 n=6874	FY99 n=7408	FY00 n=7414
MODE OF DISCHARGE								
Mutually agreed upon/planned discharge	41.9%	41.4%	39.1%	43.1%	44.6%	46.9%	51.2%	51.4%
Failure to comply with program requirements	9.2%	12.7%	14.0%	15.6%	16.0%	17.0%	16.1%	15.4%
Left before planned discharge (informed staff)	14.5%	14.0%	14.0%	12.1%	14.2%	13.9%	11.7%	12.3%
Left before planned discharge (did not inform staff)	23.8%	24.9%	26.7%	21.6%	19.1%	16.7%	15.8%	14.9%
Veteran became to ill to participate	9.4%	5.6%	4.7%	5.5%	4.7%	4.4%	4.0%	4.2%
Other	1.3%	1.4%	1.5%	2.2%	1.4%	1.1%	1.2%	1.9%
HOURS AND EARNINGS IN CWT/VI								
Total mean hours worked in CWT/VI	77.0	224.2	374.7	461.9	510.8	515.6	556.9	534.9
Total mean earnings in CWT/VI	$307.93	$1,025.07	$1,835.94	$2,276.98	$2,573.43	$2,819.27	$3,236.83	$3,070.87
Average hourly wage in CWT/VI	$3.72	$4.17	$4.58	$4.44	$4.84	$5.29	$5.49	$5.56
Average hours worked weekly in CWT/VI	20.3	22.3	24.8	26.0	25.2	25.4	25.7	26.1
Average weekly earnings in CWT/VI	$83.28	$99.02	$118.95	$113.51	$131.14	$142.29	$147.80	$152.68
LOCATION OF CWT PARTICIPATION								
VA and/or community workshop only	67.2%	55.8%	40.4%	36.2%	29.7%	24.5%	19.0%	20.3%
VA and/or community supported employment or transitional work experience only	14.2%	21.1%	31.3%	32.0%	41.3%	48.1%	57.3%	58.2%
Veteran had any workshop placement	84.1%	78.1%	68.0%	66.8%	58.2%	51.4%	42.2%	41.3%
Veteran had any supported employment or transitional work experience placement	30.1%	43.3%	58.8%	62.6%	69.7%	75.2%	80.6%	79.2%

40

Table 6. Discharge Status and Improvement Noted in Clinical and Work Performance Areas Among Veterans with a Problem in the Area by Fiscal Year.

VETERAN CHARACTERISTICS	FY93 n=485	FY94 n=3080	FY95 n=4561	FY96 n=5622	FY97 n=6411	FY98 n=6874	FY99 n=7408	FY00 n=7414
IMPROVEMENT IN WORK PERFORMANCE AREAS†								
Personal hygiene/appearance	18.8%	23.9%	29.0%	34.3%	45.8%	55.9%	62.1%	66.3%
Attendance and punctuality	22.0%	27.2%	31.5%	36.7%	48.4%	56.2%	61.0%	61.4%
Acceptance of Supervision	23.7%	31.4%	34.6%	39.8%	49.6%	58.3%	62.9%	64.4%
Relationship with co-workers	23.3%	32.9%	35.0%	40.1%	50.1%	57.6%	62.9%	64.9%
Productivity	40.4%	41.7%	39.2%	44.6%	55.1%	62.1%	64.8%	66.6%
Quality of production	35.4%	40.2%	38.9%	43.9%	54.0%	61.2%	64.9%	66.8%
Average work improvement score††	1.20	1.20	1.20	1.29	1.41	1.50	1.55	1.57
VETERAN IS READY FOR COMPETITIVE EMPLOYMENT	38.4%	42.7%	42.9%	45.9%	51.4%	54.8%	59.8%	60.4%
IMPROVEMENT IN CLINICAL AREAS†								
Alcohol problems	26.3%	28.6%	31.8%	34.3%	45.5%	55.3%	61.2%	63.6%
Drug problems	19.4%	25.0%	26.4%	31.0%	42.5%	53.8%	59.9%	63.1%
Mental health problems	21.4%	22.1%	20.0%	22.7%	32.8%	41.2%	45.5%	47.3%
Medical problems	10.6%	12.1%	14.2%	12.9%	23.8%	31.1%	34.9%	35.2%
ARRANGEMENTS FOR EMPLOYMENT AT DISCHARGE								
Competitively employed full-time	15.5%	17.1%	22.5%	24.3%	28.5%	32.4%	34.1%	36.6%
Competitively employed part-time	5.8%	3.8%	2.9%	4.0%	4.6%	4.7%	4.9%	4.8%
Incentive Therapy (IT)	2.1%	2.3%	2.8%	1.7%	1.4%	1.3%	1.5%	1.5%
Student/trainee	2.9%	3.1%	2.6%	3.3%	3.2%	2.5%	3.1%	3.2%
Unpaid volunteer	0.2%	0.3%	0.3%	0.3%	0.4%	0.5%	0.4%	0.3%
Unemployed	20.3%	26.1%	27.8%	29.2%	29.5%	27.7%	27.6%	24.8%
Retired/disabled	16.4%	13.6%	8.9%	10.9%	10.7%	10.2%	9.4%	10.5%
Unknown	30.2%	28.1%	28.1%	23.3%	19.3%	18.8%	15.8%	14.6%
Other	6.6%	5.8%	4.0%	3.0%	2.4%	2.0%	3.3%	3.9%
ARRANGEMENTS FOR HOUSING AT DISCHARGE								
Hospital, nursing home or domiciliary	26.8%	21.6%	16.3%	14.4%	13.4%	13.9%	13.2%	13.6%
Halfway house/transitional living program	3.5%	5.0%	4.6%	6.7%	7.2%	8.5%	9.3%	10.9%
Own apartment, room or house	23.5%	27.6%	29.5%	34.9%	37.2%	36.4%	38.9%	35.4%
Apartment, room, house of family/friend	11.5%	12.0%	14.8%	13.5%	15.8%	16.8%	15.8%	16.7%
No available residence/homeless	3.7%	2.8%	4.8%	5.9%	5.4%	4.7%	4.7%	5.2%
Unknown	30.2%	29.9%	28.6%	23.1%	20.0%	18.6%	16.9%	16.7%
Other	0.9%	1.2%	1.5%	1.6%	1.1%	1.2%	1.3%	1.5%

† Improvement is noted only among those veterans who have a problem in that area.

†† Average Work Improvement score is the mean of five work performance areas where the range 0-2 (0 = deteriorated, 1 = unchanged and 2 = improved).

Table 7. Program Participation by VISN for FY00.†

VISN†			PROGRAM PARTICIPATION			
			Mutually Agreed/Planned Discharge	Failure to Comply with Program Requirements	Average Number of Hours Worked Per Week	Average Mean Hourly Wage in CWT/VI
VISN	#SITES	N	%	%	%	%
1	6	770	**40.5%**	13.6%	**18.9**	$6.07
2	5	332	58.1%	10.2%	27.9	**$5.04**
3	5	489	56.4%	18.6%	29.7	$5.73
4	5	628	62.1%	13.5%	29.1	$5.38
5	5	348	65.2%	17.2%	29.9	$5.40
6	4	148	58.8%	19.6%	29.0	$5.36
7	6	529	47.8%	18.0%	29.4	$5.28
8	5	438	53.0%	10.0%	29.0	$5.41
9	4	352	**29.5%**	**20.5%**	27.1	$5.43
10	3	250	52.4%	18.0%	25.5	$5.51
11	3	137	**35.8%**	16.8%	26.9	$6.43
12	4	503	60.0%	16.7%	**19.7**	$6.10
13	4	326	50.9%	12.6%	22.8	$5.07
14	2	70	81.4%	8.6%	**20.5**	**$5.05**
15	2	190	48.4%	**27.4%**	28.1	$5.62
16	5	635	43.4%	16.6%	29.1	$5.46
17	4	462	44.8%	13.2%	23.4	$4.78
18	3	175	60.0%	13.1%	29.1	$5.66
19	2	33	54.5%	**21.2%**	24.3	$5.25
20	5	283	57.2%	14.8%	26.1	$6.23
21	4	114	45.6%	7.0%	**21.6**	$7.10
22	4	202	58.4%	14.4%	25.8	$5.74
VISN Avg			**52.9%**	**15.5%**	**26.0**	**$5.59**
VISN S.D.			**10.7%**	**4.5%**	**3.4**	**$0.52**
VETERAN Avg			**51.4%**	**15.4%**	**26.1**	**$5.56**

† Includes all sites for whom NEPEC received monitoring forms on veterans discharged during FY00.

Table 8a. Unadjusted Critical Outcome Monitor Measures by VISN for FY00.†

VISN	#SITES	# VETS	AVERAGE WORK IMPROVEMENT	ALCOHOL PROBLEMS IMPROVED†† %	DRUG PROBLEMS IMPROVED†† %	MENTAL HEALTH PROBLEMS IMPROVED†† %	MEDICAL PROBLEMS IMPROVED†† %	COMPETITIVELY EMPLOYED %	UNEMPLOYED AT DISCHARGE %	EMPLOYMENT STATUS UNKNOWN AT DISCHARGE %
1	6	770	1.4	47.2%	47.8%	29.5%	23.7%	33.8%	25.7%	22.0%
2	5	332	1.6	66.8%	63.6%	55.2%	43.1%	45.5%	15.1%	16.3%
3	5	489	1.5	67.6%	66.3%	34.7%	28.8%	44.1%	27.7%	13.5%
4	5	628	1.8	87.0%	86.5%	56.1%	41.4%	36.1%	23.1%	15.4%
5	5	348	1.5	63.3%	62.3%	53.7%	42.1%	47.7%	26.4%	2.3%
6	4	148	1.7	65.9%	63.2%	50.0%	30.3%	42.6%	35.1%	11.5%
7	6	529	1.5	68.7%	69.9%	62.6%	40.9%	47.6%	7.4%	31.0%
8	5	438	1.6	60.4%	62.2%	51.1%	30.6%	49.1%	18.5%	15.8%
9	4	352	1.3	41.3%	34.0%	19.5%	7.7%	29.3%	33.0%	12.2%
10	3	250	1.6	60.2%	58.4%	56.5%	22.0%	43.2%	35.6%	5.6%
11	3	137	1.4	40.8%	34.6%	31.4%	19.2%	33.6%	29.9%	10.9%
12	4	503	1.5	61.7%	65.4%	51.9%	36.0%	49.1%	26.4%	6.0%
13	4	326	1.7	70.5%	66.7%	63.1%	47.0%	37.4%	24.2%	10.7%
14	2	70	1.8	80.0%	75.8%	74.2%	67.8%	51.4%	11.4%	11.4%
15	2	190	1.9	74.5%	74.5%	66.1%	61.1%	37.9%	33.7%	18.4%
16	5	635	1.5	69.8%	72.0%	47.5%	32.6%	44.3%	29.0%	9.8%
17	4	462	1.6	54.9%	52.8%	43.0%	29.2%	28.1%	35.9%	17.7%
18	3	175	1.6	68.9%	68.5%	57.1%	71.7%	48.6%	29.1%	14.9%
19	2	33	1.7	65.2%	53.3%	82.1%	38.5%	57.6%	0.0%	30.3%
20	5	283	1.7	72.8%	72.7%	64.3%	64.1%	48.1%	23.0%	8.5%
21	4	114	1.4	52.9%	58.0%	42.0%	17.4%	39.5%	14.9%	20.2%
22	4	202	1.7	67.8%	67.6%	54.0%	44.4%	41.6%	14.4%	14.4%
VISN Average			**1.6**	**64.0%**	**62.6%**	**52.1%**	**38.2%**	**42.6%**	**23.6%**	**14.5%**
VISN SD			**0.2**	**11.4%**	**12.5%**	**14.7%**	**16.7%**	**7.4%**	**9.7%**	**7.1%**
Veteran Average			**1.6**	**63.6%**	**63.1%**	**47.3%**	**35.2%**	**41.4%**	**24.8%**	**14.6%**

† Includes all sites for whom NEPEC received monitoring forms on veterans discharged during FY00.

†† Only veterans with a problem in the clinical area were included in this analysis.

Table 8b. Percent and Direction From Median Performance of VISN's: Critical Outcome Monitor Measures for FY00.†

			AVERAGE WORK IMPROVEMENT	ALCOHOL PROBLEMS IMPROVED††† %	DRUG PROBLEMS IMPROVED††† %	MENTAL HEALTH PROBLEMS IMPROVED††† %	MEDICAL PROBLEMS IMPROVED††† %	COMPETITIVELY EMPLOYED %	UNEMPLOYED AT DISCHARGE %	EMPLOYMENT STATUS UNKNOWN AT DISCHARGE %
VISN Median Value			1.60	69.8%	69.9%	51.9%	36.0%	43.2%	25.7%	16.3%
Veteran Average			1.60	63.6%	63.1%	47.3%	35.2%	41.4%	24.8%	14.6%
VISN	**#SITES**	**# VETS**								
1	6	770	-0.14	-16.4%	-11.8%	-19.4%	-7.8%	-4.0%	0.0%	6.9%
2	5	332	0.01	2.3%	3.1%	9.8%	9.5%	3.0%	-10.8%	0.0%
3	5	489	-0.03	1.2%	-4.5%	-17.3%	-2.0%	1.3%	1.0%	-1.2%
4	5	628	0.24	24.4%	23.5%	11.3%	5.8%	-8.8%	-3.0%	0.5%
5	5	348	-0.06	-5.9%	-11.5%	1.7%	5.8%	4.4%	0.7%	-11.5%
6	4	148	0.15	5.8%	-0.1%	-0.5%	-2.0%	-0.1%	9.1%	-1.9%
7	6	529	-0.10	-2.4%	0.0%	17.7%	12.2%	1.3%	-18.5%	16.3%
8	5	438	0.00	-18.0%	-15.4%	-2.9%	-12.8%	2.5%	-7.4%	1.5%
9	4	352	-0.24	-26.8%	-31.6%	-35.7%	-25.1%	-13.4%	7.4%	-1.1%
10	3	250	0.02	-5.8%	-5.1%	9.8%	-19.7%	0.0%	9.9%	-9.1%
11	3	137	-0.20	-30.1%	-33.9%	-15.4%	-12.1%	-8.9%	6.4%	-2.5%
12	4	503	-0.02	-7.8%	0.4%	0.0%	0.0%	7.3%	0.6%	-8.1%
13	4	326	0.14	9.9%	8.5%	20.4%	24.3%	-0.7%	-2.2%	-4.3%
14	2	70	0.27	30.4%	25.2%	38.2%	43.0%	9.1%	-14.1%	-0.9%
15	2	190	0.31	2.6%	1.2%	8.6%	27.2%	-7.0%	6.2%	3.7%
16	5	635	-0.10	0.0%	-1.8%	-4.9%	-6.8%	-2.3%	0.9%	-4.1%
17	4	462	-0.01	-6.9%	-11.2%	-2.0%	-1.9%	-16.2%	9.4%	4.0%
18	3	175	0.01	0.0%	3.1%	4.3%	38.1%	4.1%	1.2%	2.4%
19	2	33	0.21	3.1%	-0.4%	52.5%	8.3%	21.6%	-26.6%	18.8%
20	5	283	0.15	9.7%	8.5%	18.6%	29.5%	3.1%	-1.6%	-5.5%
21	4	114	-0.13	-17.3%	-9.0%	-15.7%	-21.7%	0.1%	-10.0%	6.6%
22	4	202	0.15	3.6%	7.7%	7.8%	18.2%	0.3%	-10.2%	1.2%

† Outcomes have been adjusted for the follow

benefits, history of psychiatric hospitalizations, and clinical psychiatric diagnoses; including serious psychiatric illness and substance abuse problems.

†† Includes all sites for whom NEPEC received monitoring forms on veterans discharged during FY00.

††† Only veterans with a problem in the clinical area were included in this analysis.

Table 9. Summary of Outliers by VISN for FY00.†

VISN	Number of Sites in VISN†, ††	Number of Veterans in VISN	PROGRAM PARTICIPATION CRITICAL MONITORS	ADJUSTED OUTCOME MONITORS	TOTAL NUMBER OF OUTLIERS
1	6	770	**2**	**5**	**7**
2	5	332	1	0	1
3	5	489	0	1	1
4	5	628	0	1	1
5	5	348	0	1	1
6	4	148	0	1	1
7	6	529	0	2	2
8	5	438	0	3	3
9	4	352	**2**	**7**	**9**
10	3	250	0	2	2
11	3	137	1	**4**	**5**
12	4	503	1	0	1
13	4	326	0	0	0
14	2	70	**2**	0	2
15	2	190	1	0	1
16	5	635	0	1	1
17	4	462	0	3	3
18	3	175	0	0	0
19	2	33	1	1	2
20	5	283	0	0	0
21	4	114	1	2	3
22	4	202	0	0	0
VISN AVG			**0.5**	**1.5**	**2.1**
VISN SD			**0.7**	**1.8**	**2.2**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; VISN 20, Seattle; and VISN 22, Sepulveda.

†† Includes all sites for whom NEPEC received monitoring forms on veterans discharged during FY00.

Table 10. Summary of Data Collection by Site for FY00.

VISN		SITE†	# of NEPEC Monitoring Forms for Veterans Discharged During FY00 N	VAHQ Annual Report of Discharges during FY00 N	Difference Between VAHQ Annual Report and NEPEC Monitoring Forms N	Percent of Discharges During FY00 for which Monitoring Data were Collected †† %
1	405	**White River Junction**	**0**	**2**	**-2**	**0.0%**
1	518	**Bedford, MA**	**319**	**351**	**-32**	**90.9%**
1	523	**Boston, MA**	**30**	**44**	**-14**	**68.2%**
1	523A5	**Brockton, MA**	**65**	**213**	**-148**	**30.5%**
1	631	**Northampton, MA**	**231**	**213**	**18**	**108.5%**
1	650	**Providence, RI**	**33**	**22**	**11**	**150.0%**
1	689	**West Haven, CT**	**92**	**75**	**17**	**122.7%**
2	528	**Buffalo, NY**	**44**	**54**	**-10**	**81.5%**
2	528A5	**Canandaigua, NY**	**38**	**67**	**-29**	**56.7%**
2	528A6	**Bath, NY**	**67**	**58**	**9**	**115.5%**
2	528A7	**Syracuse, NY**	**64**	**68**	**-4**	**94.1%**
2	528A8	**Albany, NY**	**119**	**125**	**-6**	**95.2%**
3	526	**Bronx, NY**	**93**	**91**	**2**	**102.2%**
3	561	**New Jersey HCS**	**160**	**253**	**-93**	**63.2%**
3	561	**East Orange, NJ**	**see above**	**see above**	**see above**	**see above**
3	561A4	**Lyons, NJ**	**see above**	**see above**	**see above**	**see above**
3	620	**Montrose, NY**	**174**	**188**	**-14**	**92.6%**
3	630A4	**Brooklyn, NY**	**49**	**29**	**20**	**169.0%**
3	632	**Northport, NY**	**13**	**249**	**-236**	**5.2%**
4	529	**Butler, PA**	**49**	**33**	**16**	**148.5%**
4	542	**Coatesville, PA**	**265**	**342**	**-77**	**77.5%**
4	595	**Lebanon, PA**	**100**	**99**	**1**	**101.0%**
4	642	**Philadelphia, PA**	**46**	**41**	**5**	**112.2%**
4	646A5	**Pittsburgh (HD),PA**	**168**	**170**	**-2**	**98.8%**
5	512	**Maryland HCS**	**49**	**81**	**-32**	**60.5%**
5	512	**Baltimore, MD**	**see above**	**see above**	**see above**	**see above**
5	512A4	**Ft. Howard, MD**	**see above**	**see above**	**see above**	**see above**
5	512A5	**Perry Point, MD**	**see above**	**see above**	**see above**	**see above**
5	613	**Martinsburg, WV**	**206**	**198**	**8**	**104.0%**
5	688	**Washington, DC**	**93**	**84**	**9**	**110.7%**
6	558	**Durham, NC**	**12**	**12**	**0**	**100.0%**
6	590	**Hampton, VA**	**75**	**122**	**-47**	**61.5%**
6	637	**Asheville, NC**	**17**	**22**	**-5**	**77.3%**
6	652	**Richmond, VA**	**2**	**0**	**2**	
6	658	**Salem, VA**	**42**	**49**	**-7**	**85.7%**
7	508	**Atlanta, GA**	**307**	**319**	**-12**	**96.2%**
7	509	**Augusta, GA**	**31**	**50**	**-19**	**62.0%**
7	521	**Birmingham, AL**	**47**	**54**	**-7**	**87.0%**
7	534	**Charleston, SC**	**53**	**80**	**-27**	**66.3%**
7	557	**Dublin, GA**	**15**	**11**	**4**	**136.4%**
7	679	**Tuscaloosa, AL**	**76**	**81**	**-5**	**93.8%**
8	516	**Bay Pines, FL**	**165**	**176**	**-11**	**93.8%**
8	546	**Miami, FL**	**56**	**59**	**-3**	**94.9%**
8	548	**West Palm Beach, FL**	**25**	**17**	**8**	**147.1%**
8	573	**N. Florida/S.Georgia HCS**	**75**	**74**	**1**	**101.4%**
8	573	**Gainesville, FL**	**see above**	**see above**	**see above**	**see above**
8	573A4	**Lake City, FL**	**see above**	**see above**	**see above**	**see above**
8	673	**Tampa, FL**	**117**	**120**	**-3**	**97.5%**
9	596	**Lexington, KY**	**51**	**66**	**-15**	**77.3%**
9	614	**Memphis, TN**	**39**	**37**	**2**	**105.4%**
9	621	**Mountain Home, TN**	**106**	**105**	**1**	**101.0%**
9	626A4	**Murfreesboro, TN**	**156**	**132**	**24**	**118.2%**
10	538	**Chillicothe, OH**	**85**	**87**	**-2**	**97.7%**
10	539	**Cinninati, OH**	**0**	**86**	**-86**	**0.0%**
10	541	**Cleveland, OH**	**145**	**148**	**-3**	**98.0%**
10	552	**Dayton, OH**	**20**	**20**	**0**	**100.0%**
11	506	**Ann Arbor, MI**	**3**	**3**	**0**	**100.0%**
11	515	**Battle Creek, MI**	**61**	**64**	**-3**	**95.3%**
11	550	**Danville, IL**	**34**	**54**	**-20**	**63.0%**
11	583	**Indianapolis, IN**	**39**	**42**	**-3**	**92.9%**

Table 10 cont. Summary of Data Collection by Site for FY00.

VISN	SITE†	# of NEPEC Monitoring Forms for Veterans Discharged During FY00 N	VAHQ Report of Discharges during FY00 N	Difference Between VAHQ Report and NEPEC Monitoring Forms N	Percent of Discharges During FY00 for which Monitoring Data were Collected †† %
12	556	North Chicago, IL	211	226	-15	93.4%
12	578	Hines, IL	160	172	-12	93.0%
12	676	Tomah, WI	50	68	-18	73.5%
12	695	Milwaukee, WI	82	86	-4	95.3%
12	585	Iron Mountain, MI	0	7	-7	0.0%
13	568	Ft. Meade, SD	55	65	-10	84.6%
13	568A4	Hot Springs, SD	75	84	-9	89.3%
13	618	Minneapolis, MN	15	39	-24	38.5%
13	656	St. Cloud, MN	181	181	0	100.0%
14	636	Central Iowa HCS	70	91	-21	76.9%
14	636A6	Des Moines, IA	see above	see above	see above	see above
14	636A7	Knoxville, IA	see above	see above	see above	see above
15	543	Columbia, MO	5	8	-3	62.5%
15	589	Kansas City, MO	110	131	-21	84.0%
15	647	Poplar Bluff, MO	6	6	0	100.0%
15	657	St.Louis, MO	0	15	-15	0.0%
15	677	Topeka, KS	7	53	-46	13.2%
15	677A4	Leavenworth, KS	62	62	0	100.0%
16	520	Biloxi, MS	158	161	-3	98.1%
16	580	Houston, TX	132	151	-19	87.4%
16	586	Jackson, MS	44	44	0	100.0%
16	598	Little Rock, AR	250	254	-4	98.4%
16	635	Oklahoma City, OK	51	103	-52	49.5%
17	549	Dallas, TX	331	427	-96	77.5%
17	549A4	Bonham, TX	65	85	-20	76.5%
17	671	San Antonio, TX	25	25	0	100.0%
17	674	Central Texas HCS	41	93	-52	44.1%
17	674	Temple, TX	see above	see above	see above	see above
17	674A4	Waco, TX	see above	see above	see above	see above
18	501	Albuquerque, NM	2	2	0	100.0%
18	644	Phoenix, AZ	23	23	0	100.0%
18	649	Prescott, AZ	86	103	-17	83.5%
18	678	Tucson, AZ	62	61	1	101.6%
18	756	El Paso, TX	2	2	0	100.0%
19	660	Salt Lake City, UT	22	21	1	104.8%
19	666	Sheridan, WY	11	16	-5	68.8%
20	463	Anchorage, AK	22	46	-24	47.8%
20	648	Portland, OR	80	80	0	100.0%
20	653	Roseburg/Eugene, OR	32	29	3	110.3%
20	663	Puget Sound HCS	62	162	-100	38.3%
20	663	Seattle, WA	see above	see above	see above	see above
20	663A4	American Lake, WA	see above	see above	see above	see above
20	692	White City, OR	87	100	-13	87.0%
21	459	Honolulu, HI	18	18	0	100.0%
21	640	Palo Alto, CA	42	38	4	110.5%
21	654	Reno, NV	12	12	0	100.0%
21	662	San Francisco, CA	42	86	-44	48.8%
22	600	Long Beach, CA	55	79	-24	69.6%
22	605	Loma Linda, CA	78	79	-1	98.7%
22	664	San Diego, CA	23	21	2	109.5%
22	691	West LA, CA	46	247	-201	18.6%
22	691A4	Sepulveda, CA	0	21	-21	0.0%
Total			7,414	9,123	-1,709	81.3%
Site Average			66	81	-15	74.3%
Site S.D.			73	85	38	41.2%

† In their report to VHA Headquarters, the following CWT/VI program sites locations aggregated their data:

    The sites of Baltimore, Fort Howard and Perry Point make up the Maryland Health Care System.

    The sites of Des Moines and Knoxville make up the Central Iowa Health Care System.

    The sites of Temple and Waco make up the Central Texas Health Care System.

    The sites of American Lake and Seattle make up the Puget Sound Health Care System

    The site of Eugene is reported within the statistics of Roseburg.

    The site of Richmond closed and did not submit an Annual Report to VHA Headquarters.

†† A percentage greater than 100% indicates a site that reported more discharges to NEPEC than to VAHQ.

Table 11. Mean Age and Gender by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Mean Age	GENDER	
					% males	% females
1	518	**Bedford, MA**	319	46.6	96.9%	3.1%
1	523	**Boston, MA**	30	46.1	90.0%	10.0%
1	523A5	**Brockton, MA**	65	45.7	93.8%	6.2%
1	631	**Northampton, MA**	231	47.1	98.7%	1.3%
1	650	**Providence, RI**	33	44.2	93.9%	6.1%
1	689	**West Haven, CT**	92	46.4	91.3%	8.7%
2	528	**Buffalo, NY**	44	45.2	97.7%	2.3%
2	528A5	**Canandaigua, NY**	38	45.4	100.0%	0.0%
2	528A6	**Bath, NY**	67	44.6	98.5%	1.5%
2	528A7	**Syracuse, NY**	64	45.6	96.9%	3.1%
2	528A8	**Albany, NY**	119	45.4	96.5%	3.5%
3	526	**Bronx, NY**	93	46.6	100.0%	0.0%
3	561	**E. Orange/Lyons, NJ**	160	44.0	97.5%	2.5%
3	620	**Montrose, NY**	174	45.5	98.3%	1.7%
3	630A4	**Brooklyn, NY**	49	44.8	98.0%	2.0%
3	632	**Northport, NY**	13	48.2	92.3%	7.7%
4	529	**Butler, PA**	49	46.0	100.0%	0.0%
4	542	**Coatesville, PA**	265	44.2	97.0%	3.0%
4	595	**Lebanon, PA**	100	43.1	98.0%	2.0%
4	642	**Philadelphia, PA**	46	45.1	95.7%	4.3%
4	646A5	**Pittsburgh (HD),PA**	168	45.7	95.8%	4.2%
5	512	**Baltimore, MD**	21	45.0	95.2%	4.8%
5	512A4	**Ft. Howard, MD**	15	43.9	100.0%	0.0%
5	512A5	**Perry Point, MD**	13	43.6	92.3%	7.7%
5	613	**Martinsburg, WV**	206	46.4	96.1%	3.9%
5	688	**Washington, DC**	93	46.9	92.3%	7.7%
6	558	**Durham, NC**	12	47.3	100.0%	0.0%
6	590	**Hampton, VA**	75	46.2	90.7%	9.3%
6	637	**Asheville, NC**	17	48.8	100.0%	0.0%
6	658	**Salem, VA**	42	46.0	95.2%	4.8%
7	508	**Atlanta, GA**	307	44.1	92.8%	7.2%
7	509	**Augusta, GA**	31	48.2	76.7%	23.3%
7	521	**Birmingham, AL**	47	45.7	89.4%	10.6%
7	534	**Charleston, SC**	53	44.8	92.5%	7.5%
7	557	**Dublin, GA**	15	45.0	100.0%	0.0%
7	679	**Tuscaloosa, AL**	76	45.0	96.1%	3.9%
8	516	**Bay Pines, FL**	165	46.4	90.3%	9.7%
8	546	**Miami, FL**	56	46.5	85.7%	14.3%
8	548	**West Palm Beach, FL**	25	47.1	100.0%	0.0%
8	573	**Gainesville/Lake City, FL**	75	45.4	90.7%	9.3%
8	673	**Tampa, FL**	117	45.9	97.4%	2.6%
9	596	**Lexington, KY**	51	50.1	90.2%	9.8%
9	614	**Memphis, TN**	39	48.1	97.4%	2.6%
9	621	**Mountain Home, TN**	106	45.7	98.1%	1.9%
9	626A4	**Murfreesboro, TN**	156	46.9	94.9%	5.1%
10	538	**Chillicothe, OH**	85	47.0	94.0%	6.0%
10	541	**Cleveland, OH**	145	45.5	91.0%	9.0%
10	552	**Dayton, OH**	20	49.2	100.0%	0.0%

Table 11 cont. Mean Age and Gender by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Mean Age	GENDER	
					% males	% females
11	515	**Battle Creek, MI**	61	46.0	91.8%	8.2%
11	550	**Danville, IL**	34	48.0	100.0%	0.0%
11	583	**Indianapolis, IN**	39	48.8	92.3%	7.7%
12	556	**North Chicago, IL**	211	44.8	98.6%	1.4%
12	578	**Hines, IL**	160	46.5	98.8%	1.3%
12	676	**Tomah, WI**	50	44.4	98.0%	2.0%
12	695	**Milwaukee, WI**	82	46.3	95.1%	4.9%
13	568	**Ft. Meade, SD**	55	47.3	92.7%	7.3%
13	568A4	**Hot Springs, SD**	75	47.8	94.7%	5.3%
13	618	**Minneapolis, MN**	15	43.9	86.7%	13.3%
13	656	**St. Cloud, MN**	181	47.9	96.1%	3.9%
14	636A6	**Des Moines, IA**	26	44.0	96.2%	3.8%
14	636A7	**Knoxville, IA**	44	46.3	97.7%	2.3%
15	589	**Kansas City, MO**	110	45.2	99.1%	0.9%
15	677A4	**Leavenworth, KS**	62	45.7	96.8%	3.2%
16	520	**Biloxi, MS**	158	47.1	98.1%	1.9%
16	580	**Houston, TX**	132	48.1	95.5%	4.5%
16	586	**Jackson, MS**	44	43.9	93.2%	6.8%
16	598	**Little Rock, AR**	250	45.3	96.4%	3.6%
16	635	**Oklahoma City, OK**	51	45.5	100.0%	0.0%
17	549	**Dallas, TX**	331	45.5	95.4%	4.6%
17	549A4	**Bonham, TX**	65	46.9	100.0%	0.0%
17	671	**San Antonio, TX**	25	47.2	100.0%	0.0%
17	674	**Temple, TX**	39	46.2	97.4%	2.6%
18	644	**Phoenix, AZ**	23	46.7	100.0%	0.0%
18	649	**Prescott, AZ**	86	48.0	94.2%	5.8%
18	678	**Tucson, AZ**	62	45.6	95.2%	4.8%
19	660	**Salt Lake City, UT**	22	49.5	95.5%	4.5%
19	666	**Sheridan, WY**	11	50.7	100.0%	0.0%
20	463	**Anchorage, AK**	22	43.1	100.0%	0.0%
20	648	**Portland, OR**	80	46.4	98.8%	1.3%
20	653	**Roseburg, OR**	32	47.5	93.8%	6.3%
20	663A4	**American Lake, WA**	56	45.9	85.7%	14.3%
20	692	**White City, OR**	87	46.6	98.9%	1.1%
21	459	**Honolulu, HI**	18	45.9	94.4%	5.6%
21	640	**Palo Alto, CA**	42	47.4	97.6%	2.4%
21	654	**Reno, NV**	12	53.6	100.0%	0.0%
21	662	**San Francisco, CA**	42	47.6	97.6%	2.4%
22	600	**Long Beach, CA**	55	47.7	94.5%	5.5%
22	605	**Loma Linda, CA**	78	44.4	94.8%	5.2%
22	664	**San Diego, CA**	23	47.7	95.7%	4.3%
22	691	**West LA, CA**	46	45.5	93.5%	6.5%
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				**46.0**	**95.7%**	**4.3%**
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				**45.8**	**93.5%**	**4.3%**
SITE S.D.				**5.1**	**14.5%**	**4.0%**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

Table 12. Ethnicity by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	White %	African American %	Hispanic %	Other %
1	518	Bedford, MA	319	76.0%	18.0%	2.2%	3.8%
1	523	Boston, MA	30	51.7%	37.9%	3.4%	6.9%
1	523A5	Brockton, MA	65	73.8%	21.5%	1.5%	3.1%
1	631	Northampton, MA	231	72.4%	19.7%	5.7%	2.2%
1	650	Providence, RI	33	66.7%	21.2%	3.0%	9.1%
1	689	West Haven, CT	92	58.2%	28.6%	4.4%	8.8%
2	528	Buffalo, NY	44	15.9%	77.3%	2.3%	4.5%
2	528A5	Canandaigua, NY	38	64.9%	35.1%	0.0%	0.0%
2	528A6	Bath, NY	67	40.3%	55.2%	4.5%	0.0%
2	528A7	Syracuse, NY	64	48.4%	46.9%	3.1%	1.6%
2	528A8	Albany, NY	119	47.0%	45.2%	4.3%	3.5%
3	526	Bronx, NY	93	4.3%	72.8%	22.8%	0.0%
3	561	E. Orange, NJ	160	29.7%	67.7%	1.9%	0.6%
3	620	Montrose, NY	174	30.5%	58.6%	9.8%	1.1%
3	630A4	Brooklyn, NY	49	22.9%	68.8%	4.2%	4.2%
3	632	Northport, NY	13	76.9%	23.1%	0.0%	0.0%
4	529	Butler, PA	49	38.8%	61.2%	0.0%	0.0%
4	542	Coatesville, PA	265	28.8%	66.5%	2.7%	1.9%
4	595	Lebanon, PA	100	51.0%	47.0%	1.0%	1.0%
4	642	Philadelphia, PA	46	21.7%	76.1%	2.2%	0.0%
4	646A5	Pittsburgh (HD),PA	168	36.5%	61.1%	2.4%	0.0%
5	512	Baltimore, MD	21	23.8%	76.2%	0.0%	0.0%
5	512A4	Ft. Howard, MD	15	35.7%	64.3%	0.0%	0.0%
5	512A5	Perry Point, MD	13	46.2%	53.8%	0.0%	0.0%
5	613	Martinsburg, WV	206	35.6%	60.0%	2.0%	2.4%
5	688	Washington, DC	93	2.2%	95.6%	1.1%	1.1%
6	558	Durham, NC	12	25.0%	66.7%	8.3%	0.0%
6	590	Hampton, VA	75	20.3%	74.3%	4.1%	1.4%
6	637	Asheville, NC	17	64.7%	35.3%	0.0%	0.0%
6	658	Salem, VA	42	53.7%	46.3%	0.0%	0.0%
7	508	Atlanta, GA	307	9.1%	89.6%	1.0%	0.3%
7	509	Augusta, GA	31	29.0%	71.0%	0.0%	0.0%
7	521	Birmingham, AL	47	19.1%	80.9%	0.0%	0.0%
7	534	Charleston, SC	53	30.2%	69.8%	0.0%	0.0%
7	557	Dublin, GA	15	20.0%	80.0%	0.0%	0.0%
7	679	Tuscaloosa, AL	76	30.7%	65.3%	4.0%	0.0%
8	516	Bay Pines, FL	165	67.3%	30.3%	2.4%	0.0%
8	546	Miami, FL	56	33.9%	48.2%	14.3%	3.6%
8	548	West Palm Beach, FL	25	68.0%	28.0%	4.0%	0.0%
8	573	Gainesville/Lake City, FL	75	55.4%	32.4%	6.8%	5.4%
8	673	Tampa, FL	117	56.4%	37.6%	6.0%	0.0%
9	596	Lexington, KY	51	72.5%	27.5%	0.0%	0.0%
9	614	Memphis, TN	39	17.9%	82.1%	0.0%	0.0%
9	621	Mountain Home, TN	106	74.3%	23.8%	1.9%	0.0%
9	626A4	Murfreesboro, TN	156	45.5%	53.2%	0.6%	0.6%
10	538	Chillicothe, OH	85	55.3%	42.4%	0.0%	2.4%
10	541	Cleveland, OH	145	35.0%	61.5%	2.8%	0.7%
10	552	Dayton, OH	20	20.0%	75.0%	0.0%	5.0%

Table 12 cont. Ethnicity by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	White %	African American %	Hispanic %	Other %
11	515	**Battle Creek, MI**	61	58.3%	28.3%	6.7%	6.7%
11	550	**Danville, IL**	34	76.5%	23.5%	0.0%	0.0%
11	583	**Indianapolis, IN**	39	39.5%	52.6%	5.3%	2.6%
12	556	**North Chicago, IL**	211	18.7%	80.4%	0.5%	0.5%
12	578	**Hines, IL**	160	21.4%	75.5%	3.1%	0.0%
12	676	**Tomah, WI**	50	34.0%	12.0%	54.0%	0.0%
12	695	**Milwaukee, WI**	82	51.2%	41.5%	6.1%	1.2%
13	568	**Ft. Meade, SD**	55	38.2%	3.6%	1.8%	56.4%
13	568A4	**Hot Springs, SD**	75	82.4%	4.1%	2.7%	10.8%
13	618	**Minneapolis, MN**	15	40.0%	46.7%	0.0%	13.3%
13	656	**St. Cloud, MN**	181	55.4%	37.9%	3.4%	3.4%
14	636A6	**Des Moines, IA**	26	84.6%	11.5%	3.8%	0.0%
14	636A7	**Knoxville, IA**	44	80.5%	19.5%	0.0%	0.0%
15	589	**Kansas City, MO**	110	36.7%	58.7%	3.7%	0.9%
15	677A4	**Leavenworth, KS**	62	64.5%	30.6%	3.2%	1.6%
16	520	**Biloxi, MS**	158	54.4%	39.9%	3.8%	1.9%
16	580	**Houston, TX**	132	39.7%	51.1%	7.6%	1.5%
16	586	**Jackson, MS**	44	13.6%	81.8%	2.3%	2.3%
16	598	**Little Rock, AR**	250	38.4%	60.0%	0.0%	1.6%
16	635	**Oklahoma City, OK**	51	45.1%	43.1%	0.0%	11.8%
17	549	**Dallas, TX**	331	27.4%	66.8%	4.9%	0.9%
17	549A4	**Bonham, TX**	65	43.1%	47.7%	9.2%	0.0%
17	671	**San Antonio, TX**	25	28.0%	24.0%	48.0%	0.0%
17	674	**Temple, TX**	39	74.4%	15.4%	10.3%	0.0%
18	644	**Phoenix, AZ**	23	56.5%	34.8%	4.3%	4.3%
18	649	**Prescott, AZ**	86	88.4%	3.5%	2.3%	5.8%
18	678	**Tucson, AZ**	62	61.3%	17.7%	16.1%	4.8%
19	660	**Salt Lake City, UT**	22	72.7%	4.5%	13.6%	9.1%
19	666	**Sheridan, WY**	11	90.9%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
20	463	**Anchorage, AK**	22	42.9%	19.0%	0.0%	38.1%
20	648	**Portland, OR**	80	78.8%	13.8%	5.0%	2.5%
20	653	**Roseburg, OR**	32	96.9%	0.0%	3.1%	0.0%
20	663A4	**American Lake, WA**	56	70.4%	20.4%	5.6%	3.7%
20	692	**White City, OR**	87	83.9%	9.2%	4.6%	2.3%
21	459	**Honolulu, HI**	18	27.8%	22.2%	11.1%	38.9%
21	640	**Palo Alto, CA**	42	40.5%	33.3%	23.8%	2.4%
21	654	**Reno, NV**	12	75.0%	8.3%	8.3%	8.3%
21	662	**San Francisco, CA**	42	31.0%	50.0%	14.3%	4.8%
22	600	**Long Beach, CA**	55	43.6%	38.2%	18.2%	0.0%
22	605	**Loma Linda, CA**	78	44.7%	32.9%	18.4%	3.9%
22	664	**San Diego, CA**	23	43.5%	26.1%	21.7%	8.7%
22	691	**West LA, CA**	46	21.7%	65.2%	10.9%	2.2%
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				**44.3%**	**48.6%**	**4.6%**	**2.6%**
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				**46.9%**	**43.7%**	**5.6%**	**3.8%**
SITE S.D.				**22.0%**	**24.1%**	**8.7%**	**8.3%**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

Table 13. Marital Status by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Married %	Separated, Widowed or Divorced %	Never Married %
1	518	Bedford, MA	319	4.8%	53.3%	41.9%
1	523	Boston, MA	30	13.8%	37.9%	48.3%
1	523A5	Brockton, MA	65	12.3%	63.1%	24.6%
1	631	Northampton, MA	231	6.2%	65.6%	28.2%
1	650	Providence, RI	33	6.3%	62.5%	31.3%
1	689	West Haven, CT	92	8.9%	54.4%	36.7%
2	528	Buffalo, NY	44	4.5%	63.6%	31.8%
2	528A5	Canandaigua, NY	38	7.9%	44.7%	47.4%
2	528A6	Bath, NY	67	7.5%	49.3%	43.3%
2	528A7	Syracuse, NY	64	11.1%	54.0%	34.9%
2	528A8	Albany, NY	119	4.4%	44.2%	51.3%
3	526	Bronx, NY	93	4.3%	50.0%	45.7%
3	561	E. Orange/Lyons, NJ	160	5.1%	63.9%	31.0%
3	620	Montrose, NY	174	8.0%	54.0%	37.9%
3	630A4	Brooklyn, NY	49	8.2%	40.8%	51.0%
3	632	Northport, NY	13	0.0%	38.5%	61.5%
4	529	Butler, PA	49	6.1%	63.3%	30.6%
4	542	Coatesville, PA	265	8.1%	59.1%	32.8%
4	595	Lebanon, PA	100	7.0%	48.0%	45.0%
4	642	Philadelphia, PA	46	21.7%	37.0%	41.3%
4	646A5	Pittsburgh (HD),PA	168	6.6%	60.5%	32.9%
5	512	Baltimore, MD	21	4.8%	71.4%	23.8%
5	512A4	Ft. Howard, MD	15	6.7%	53.3%	40.0%
5	512A5	Perry Point, MD	13	0.0%	38.5%	61.5%
5	613	Martinsburg, WV	206	8.3%	56.4%	35.3%
5	688	Washington, DC	93	5.4%	55.4%	39.1%
6	558	Durham, NC	12	8.3%	75.0%	16.7%
6	590	Hampton, VA	75	6.7%	74.7%	18.7%
6	637	Asheville, NC	17	6.7%	66.7%	26.7%
6	658	Salem, VA	42	7.3%	73.2%	19.5%
7	508	Atlanta, GA	307	9.1%	59.3%	31.6%
7	509	Augusta, GA	31	16.1%	67.7%	16.1%
7	521	Birmingham, AL	47	8.5%	66.0%	25.5%
7	534	Charleston, SC	53	11.3%	67.9%	20.8%
7	557	Dublin, GA	15	0.0%	80.0%	20.0%
7	679	Tuscaloosa, AL	76	8.0%	70.7%	21.3%
8	516	Bay Pines, FL	165	3.0%	72.6%	24.4%
8	546	Miami, FL	56	8.9%	73.2%	17.9%
8	548	West Palm Beach, FL	25	4.2%	54.2%	41.7%
8	573	Gainesville/Lake City, FL	75	16.0%	64.0%	20.0%
8	673	Tampa, FL	117	9.5%	72.4%	18.1%
9	596	Lexington, KY	51	22.4%	57.1%	20.4%
9	614	Memphis, TN	39	15.8%	63.2%	21.1%
9	621	Mountain Home, TN	106	1.0%	69.5%	29.5%
9	626A4	Murfreesboro, TN	156	5.3%	88.8%	5.9%
10	538	Chillicothe, OH	85	10.7%	60.7%	28.6%
10	541	Cleveland, OH	145	3.5%	67.4%	29.2%
10	552	Dayton, OH	20	0.0%	75.0%	25.0%

Table 13 cont. Marital Status by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Married %	Separated, Widowed or Divorced %	Never Married %
11	515	**Battle Creek, MI**	61	4.9%	60.7%	34.4%
11	550	**Danville, IL**	34	5.9%	73.5%	20.6%
11	583	**Indianapolis, IN**	39	13.2%	76.3%	10.5%
12	556	**North Chicago, IL**	211	9.0%	64.0%	27.0%
12	578	**Hines, IL**	160	12.5%	48.8%	38.8%
12	676	**Tomah, WI**	50	0.0%	60.0%	40.0%
12	695	**Milwaukee, WI**	82	8.5%	61.0%	30.5%
13	568	**Ft. Meade, SD**	55	18.2%	52.7%	29.1%
13	568A4	**Hot Springs, SD**	75	1.4%	68.6%	30.0%
13	618	**Minneapolis, MN**	15	0.0%	80.0%	20.0%
13	656	**St. Cloud, MN**	181	6.2%	68.9%	24.9%
14	636A6	**Des Moines, IA**	26	3.8%	65.4%	30.8%
14	636A7	**Knoxville, IA**	44	4.8%	78.6%	16.7%
15	589	**Kansas City, MO**	110	7.3%	72.7%	20.0%
15	677A4	**Leavenworth, KS**	62	3.3%	78.7%	18.0%
16	520	**Biloxi, MS**	158	4.4%	79.7%	15.8%
16	580	**Houston, TX**	132	13.6%	67.4%	18.9%
16	586	**Jackson, MS**	44	15.9%	56.8%	27.3%
16	598	**Little Rock, AR**	250	6.4%	74.4%	19.2%
16	635	**Oklahoma City, OK**	51	4.2%	70.8%	25.0%
17	549	**Dallas, TX**	331	8.3%	66.8%	24.9%
17	549A4	**Bonham, TX**	65	6.2%	66.2%	27.7%
17	671	**San Antonio, TX**	25	8.0%	72.0%	20.0%
17	674	**Temple, TX**	39	2.8%	83.3%	13.9%
18	644	**Phoenix, AZ**	23	14.3%	66.7%	19.0%
18	649	**Prescott, AZ**	86	8.1%	76.7%	15.1%
18	678	**Tucson, AZ**	62	4.8%	71.0%	24.2%
19	660	**Salt Lake City, UT**	22	9.1%	77.3%	13.6%
19	666	**Sheridan, WY**	11	9.1%	81.8%	9.1%
20	463	**Anchorage, AK**	22	4.5%	54.5%	40.9%
20	648	**Portland, OR**	80	5.1%	65.8%	29.1%
20	653	**Roseburg, OR**	32	6.3%	81.3%	12.5%
20	663A4	**American Lake, WA**	56	12.7%	58.2%	29.1%
20	692	**White City, OR**	87	0.0%	74.4%	25.6%
21	459	**Honolulu, HI**	18	17.6%	35.3%	47.1%
21	640	**Palo Alto, CA**	42	2.4%	59.5%	38.1%
21	654	**Reno, NV**	12	16.7%	66.7%	16.7%
21	662	**San Francisco, CA**	42	4.8%	45.2%	50.0%
22	600	**Long Beach, CA**	55	10.9%	50.9%	38.2%
22	605	**Loma Linda, CA**	78	10.4%	66.2%	23.4%
22	664	**San Diego, CA**	23	4.3%	34.8%	60.9%
22	691	**West LA, CA**	46	13.3%	55.6%	31.1%
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				**7.5%**	**63.4%**	**29.1%**
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				**7.7%**	**63.0%**	**29.3%**
SITE S.D.				**4.8%**	**12.1%**	**11.9%**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

Table 14. Educational Histories by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	< 12 Years %	12 Years %	> 12 Years %
1	518	**Bedford, MA**	319	9.1%	53.3%	37.6%
1	523	**Boston, MA**	30	13.3%	53.3%	33.3%
1	523A5	**Brockton, MA**	65	6.2%	55.4%	38.5%
1	631	**Northampton, MA**	231	8.7%	61.5%	29.9%
1	650	**Providence, RI**	33	6.1%	45.5%	48.5%
1	689	**West Haven, CT**	92	12.0%	53.3%	34.8%
2	528	**Buffalo, NY**	44	4.5%	63.6%	31.8%
2	528A5	**Canandaigua, NY**	38	13.2%	47.4%	39.5%
2	528A6	**Bath, NY**	67	7.5%	55.2%	37.3%
2	528A7	**Syracuse, NY**	64	6.3%	43.8%	50.0%
2	528A8	**Albany, NY**	119	10.1%	34.5%	55.5%
3	526	**Bronx, NY**	93	11.8%	51.6%	36.6%
3	561	**E. Orange/Lyons, NJ**	160	11.3%	48.1%	40.6%
3	620	**Montrose, NY**	174	16.1%	48.9%	35.1%
3	630A4	**Brooklyn, NY**	49	4.1%	38.8%	57.1%
3	632	**Northport, NY**	13	7.7%	61.5%	30.8%
4	529	**Butler, PA**	49	6.1%	75.5%	18.4%
4	542	**Coatesville, PA**	265	9.1%	57.4%	33.6%
4	595	**Lebanon, PA**	100	5.0%	70.0%	25.0%
4	642	**Philadelphia, PA**	46	8.7%	73.9%	17.4%
4	646A5	**Pittsburgh (HD),PA**	168	5.4%	60.1%	34.5%
5	512	**Baltimore, MD**	21	14.3%	52.4%	33.3%
5	512A4	**Ft. Howard, MD**	15	0.0%	60.0%	40.0%
5	512A5	**Perry Point, MD**	13	7.7%	30.8%	61.5%
5	613	**Martinsburg, WV**	206	13.1%	46.1%	40.8%
5	688	**Washington, DC**	93	7.5%	60.2%	32.3%
6	558	**Durham, NC**	12	0.0%	25.0%	75.0%
6	590	**Hampton, VA**	75	6.7%	40.0%	53.3%
6	637	**Asheville, NC**	17	5.9%	76.5%	17.6%
6	658	**Salem, VA**	42	7.1%	47.6%	45.2%
7	508	**Atlanta, GA**	307	7.5%	44.0%	48.5%
7	509	**Augusta, GA**	31	6.5%	32.3%	61.3%
7	521	**Birmingham, AL**	47	6.4%	51.1%	42.6%
7	534	**Charleston, SC**	53	7.5%	54.7%	37.7%
7	557	**Dublin, GA**	15	0.0%	66.7%	33.3%
7	679	**Tuscaloosa, AL**	76	9.2%	53.9%	36.8%
8	516	**Bay Pines, FL**	165	9.1%	50.3%	40.6%
8	546	**Miami, FL**	56	14.3%	46.4%	39.3%
8	548	**West Palm Beach, FL**	25	12.0%	40.0%	48.0%
8	573	**Gainesville/Lake City, FL**	75	4.0%	46.7%	49.3%
8	673	**Tampa, FL**	117	11.1%	46.2%	42.7%
9	596	**Lexington, KY**	51	15.7%	43.1%	41.2%
9	614	**Memphis, TN**	39	5.1%	59.0%	35.9%
9	621	**Mountain Home, TN**	106	7.5%	48.1%	44.3%
9	626A4	**Murfreesboro, TN**	156	14.7%	44.9%	40.4%
10	538	**Chillicothe, OH**	85	12.9%	54.1%	32.9%
10	541	**Cleveland, OH**	145	9.7%	61.4%	29.0%
10	552	**Dayton, OH**	20	10.0%	65.0%	25.0%

Table 14 cont. Educational Histories by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	< 12 Years %	12 Years %	> 12 Years %
11	515	**Battle Creek, MI**	61	4.9%	37.7%	57.4%
11	550	**Danville, IL**	34	11.8%	50.0%	38.2%
11	583	**Indianapolis, IN**	39	15.4%	35.9%	48.7%
12	556	**North Chicago, IL**	211	10.4%	37.9%	51.7%
12	578	**Hines, IL**	160	14.4%	44.4%	41.3%
12	676	**Tomah, WI**	50	6.0%	54.0%	40.0%
12	695	**Milwaukee, WI**	82	15.9%	39.0%	45.1%
13	568	**Ft. Meade, SD**	55	12.7%	34.5%	52.7%
13	568A4	**Hot Springs, SD**	75	5.3%	54.7%	40.0%
13	618	**Minneapolis, MN**	15	6.7%	46.7%	46.7%
13	656	**St. Cloud, MN**	181	5.0%	52.5%	42.5%
14	636A6	**Des Moines, IA**	26	0.0%	69.2%	30.8%
14	636A7	**Knoxville, IA**	44	4.5%	50.0%	45.5%
15	589	**Kansas City, MO**	110	6.4%	53.6%	40.0%
15	677A4	**Leavenworth, KS**	62	12.9%	53.2%	33.9%
16	520	**Biloxi, MS**	158	9.5%	49.4%	41.1%
16	580	**Houston, TX**	132	6.1%	37.9%	56.1%
16	586	**Jackson, MS**	44	4.5%	40.9%	54.5%
16	598	**Little Rock, AR**	250	6.0%	56.4%	37.6%
16	635	**Oklahoma City, OK**	51	7.8%	43.1%	49.0%
17	549	**Dallas, TX**	331	8.2%	50.2%	41.7%
17	549A4	**Bonham, TX**	65	9.2%	46.2%	44.6%
17	671	**San Antonio, TX**	25	12.0%	48.0%	40.0%
17	674	**Temple, TX**	39	7.7%	59.0%	33.3%
18	644	**Phoenix, AZ**	23	0.0%	43.5%	56.5%
18	649	**Prescott, AZ**	86	7.0%	48.8%	44.2%
18	678	**Tucson, AZ**	62	4.8%	43.5%	51.6%
19	660	**Salt Lake City, UT**	22	9.1%	40.9%	50.0%
19	666	**Sheridan, WY**	11	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
20	463	**Anchorage, AK**	22	0.0%	45.5%	54.5%
20	648	**Portland, OR**	80	5.0%	53.8%	41.3%
20	653	**Roseburg, OR**	32	3.1%	56.3%	40.6%
20	663A4	**American Lake, WA**	56	5.4%	35.7%	58.9%
20	692	**White City, OR**	87	9.2%	47.1%	43.7%
21	459	**Honolulu, HI**	18	0.0%	61.1%	38.9%
21	640	**Palo Alto, CA**	42	4.8%	59.5%	35.7%
21	654	**Reno, NV**	12	0.0%	83.3%	16.7%
21	662	**San Francisco, CA**	42	9.5%	26.2%	64.3%
22	600	**Long Beach, CA**	55	1.8%	38.2%	60.0%
22	605	**Loma Linda, CA**	78	12.8%	34.6%	52.6%
22	664	**San Diego, CA**	23	17.4%	34.8%	47.8%
22	691	**West LA, CA**	46	4.3%	56.5%	39.1%
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				**8.7%**	**50.0%**	**41.3%**
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				**7.8%**	**50.6%**	**41.6%**
SITE S.D.				**4.3%**	**12.2%**	**11.5%**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

Table 15. Military Service Era by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Persian Gulf %	Post-Vietnam %	Vietnam %	Pre-Vietnam %	Korean %	All Other Service Eras %
1	518	**Bedford, MA**	319	3.8%	35.8%	53.5%	4.4%	2.2%	0.3%
1	523	**Boston, MA**	30	6.7%	33.3%	60.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
1	523A5	**Brockton, MA**	65	1.5%	41.5%	56.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
1	631	**Northampton, MA**	231	3.9%	36.8%	49.8%	5.6%	3.0%	0.9%
1	650	**Providence, RI**	33	6.1%	42.4%	45.5%	6.1%	0.0%	0.0%
1	689	**West Haven, CT**	92	3.3%	32.2%	57.8%	4.4%	0.0%	2.2%
2	528	**Buffalo, NY**	44	0.0%	59.1%	38.6%	2.3%	0.0%	0.0%
2	528A5	**Canandaigua, NY**	38	2.6%	55.3%	34.2%	7.9%	0.0%	0.0%
2	528A6	**Bath, NY**	67	1.5%	50.7%	44.8%	3.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2	528A7	**Syracuse, NY**	64	1.6%	46.9%	46.9%	4.7%	0.0%	0.0%
2	528A8	**Albany, NY**	119	4.2%	48.3%	38.1%	8.5%	0.8%	0.0%
3	526	**Bronx, NY**	93	2.2%	37.0%	52.2%	6.5%	2.2%	0.0%
3	561	**E. Orange/Lyons, NJ**	160	6.0%	58.9%	29.8%	4.6%	0.7%	0.0%
3	620	**Montrose, NY**	174	4.6%	48.3%	42.0%	3.4%	1.7%	0.0%
3	630A4	**Brooklyn, NY**	49	4.1%	42.9%	49.0%	4.1%	0.0%	0.0%
3	632	**Northport, NY**	13	0.0%	9.1%	81.8%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%
4	529	**Butler, PA**	49	2.0%	49.0%	40.8%	6.1%	2.0%	0.0%
4	542	**Coatesville, PA**	265	6.5%	49.4%	40.7%	2.7%	0.4%	0.4%
4	595	**Lebanon, PA**	100	3.0%	55.0%	41.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0%
4	642	**Philadelphia, PA**	46	4.3%	54.3%	41.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
4	646A5	**Pittsburgh (HD),PA**	168	3.6%	42.3%	48.8%	4.2%	1.2%	0.0%
5	512	**Baltimore, MD**	21	4.8%	47.6%	47.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5	512A4	**Ft. Howard, MD**	15	6.7%	60.0%	26.7%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
5	512A5	**Perry Point, MD**	13	7.7%	61.5%	30.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5	613	**Martinsburg, WV**	206	5.3%	37.9%	49.0%	6.8%	1.0%	0.0%
5	688	**Washington, DC**	93	3.2%	51.6%	41.9%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
6	558	**Durham, NC**	12	8.3%	41.7%	41.7%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
6	590	**Hampton, VA**	75	10.7%	33.3%	53.3%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
6	637	**Asheville, NC**	17	0.0%	29.4%	58.8%	11.8%	0.0%	0.0%
6	658	**Salem, VA**	42	14.3%	45.2%	33.3%	4.8%	2.4%	0.0%
7	508	**Atlanta, GA**	307	3.9%	52.4%	40.7%	2.6%	0.0%	0.3%
7	509	**Augusta, GA**	31	3.3%	36.7%	50.0%	3.3%	3.3%	3.3%
7	521	**Birmingham, AL**	47	4.3%	53.2%	36.2%	4.3%	2.1%	0.0%
7	534	**Charleston, SC**	53	3.8%	43.4%	49.1%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
7	557	**Dublin, GA**	15	6.7%	40.0%	53.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
7	679	**Tuscaloosa, AL**	76	6.6%	43.4%	43.4%	2.6%	2.6%	1.3%
8	516	**Bay Pines, FL**	165	3.6%	36.4%	51.5%	7.3%	1.2%	0.0%
8	546	**Miami, FL**	56	3.6%	39.3%	50.0%	5.4%	1.8%	0.0%
8	548	**West Palm Beach, FL**	25	0.0%	28.0%	72.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
8	573	**Gainesville/Lake City, FL**	75	13.5%	41.9%	37.8%	5.4%	0.0%	1.4%
8	673	**Tampa, FL**	117	5.2%	44.3%	43.5%	7.0%	0.0%	0.0%
9	596	**Lexington, KY**	51	3.9%	15.7%	56.9%	13.7%	5.9%	3.9%
9	614	**Memphis, TN**	39	0.0%	25.6%	71.8%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%
9	621	**Mountain Home, TN**	106	5.7%	38.7%	49.1%	6.6%	0.0%	0.0%
9	626A4	**Murfreesboro, TN**	156	2.6%	39.7%	52.6%	3.8%	1.3%	0.0%
10	538	**Chillicothe, OH**	85	4.8%	29.8%	56.0%	7.1%	1.2%	1.2%
10	541	**Cleveland, OH**	145	4.9%	41.0%	47.9%	6.3%	0.0%	0.0%
10	552	**Dayton, OH**	20	5.0%	15.0%	60.0%	15.0%	5.0%	0.0%

Table 15 cont. Military Service Era by Site for FY00.†

VISN	SITE	N	Persian Gulf %	Post-Vietnam %	Vietnam %	Pre-Vietnam %	Korean %	All Other Service Eras %
11	515	**Battle Creek, MI**	61	4.9%	34.4%	55.7%	4.9%	0.0%	0.0%
11	550	**Danville, IL**	34	2.9%	20.6%	70.6%	0.0%	2.9%	2.9%
11	583	**Indianapolis, IN**	39	12.8%	20.5%	48.7%	7.7%	7.7%	2.6%
12	556	**North Chicago, IL**	211	4.3%	45.0%	47.4%	2.4%	0.9%	0.0%
12	578	**Hines, IL**	160	3.8%	37.7%	50.3%	8.2%	0.0%	0.0%
12	676	**Tomah, WI**	50	2.0%	40.0%	54.0%	4.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	695	**Milwaukee, WI**	82	3.7%	36.6%	52.4%	3.7%	1.2%	2.4%
13	568	**Ft. Meade, SD**	55	1.8%	34.5%	52.7%	9.1%	0.0%	1.8%
13	568A4	**Hot Springs, SD**	75	1.4%	40.8%	43.7%	11.3%	2.8%	0.0%
13	618	**Minneapolis, MN**	15	13.3%	40.0%	46.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
13	656	**St. Cloud, MN**	181	2.2%	29.6%	62.0%	4.5%	1.1%	0.6%
14	636A6	**Des Moines, IA**	26	0.0%	46.2%	50.0%	3.8%	0.0%	0.0%
14	636A7	**Knoxville, IA**	44	4.5%	40.9%	45.5%	2.3%	4.5%	2.3%
15	589	**Kansas City, MO**	110	2.8%	42.2%	51.4%	3.7%	0.0%	0.0%
15	677A4	**Leavenworth, KS**	62	3.2%	40.3%	50.0%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%
16	520	**Biloxi, MS**	158	5.7%	27.4%	58.0%	8.3%	0.6%	0.0%
16	580	**Houston, TX**	132	7.6%	26.0%	59.5%	6.1%	0.8%	0.0%
16	586	**Jackson, MS**	44	11.4%	45.5%	40.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
16	598	**Little Rock, AR**	250	4.4%	45.2%	45.6%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
16	635	**Oklahoma City, OK**	51	5.9%	47.1%	43.1%	3.9%	0.0%	0.0%
17	549	**Dallas, TX**	331	5.2%	42.1%	47.0%	5.2%	0.3%	0.3%
17	549A4	**Bonham, TX**	65	3.1%	40.6%	45.3%	7.8%	3.1%	0.0%
17	671	**San Antonio, TX**	25	0.0%	40.0%	52.0%	8.0%	0.0%	0.0%
17	674	**Temple, TX**	39	7.7%	23.1%	56.4%	10.3%	2.6%	0.0%
18	644	**Phoenix, AZ**	23	0.0%	30.4%	69.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
18	649	**Prescott, AZ**	86	5.9%	32.9%	49.4%	10.6%	1.2%	0.0%
18	678	**Tucson, AZ**	62	1.6%	40.3%	53.2%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
19	660	**Salt Lake City, UT**	22	4.5%	22.7%	63.6%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
19	666	**Sheridan, WY**	11	9.1%	9.1%	54.5%	27.3%	0.0%	0.0%
20	463	**Anchorage, AK**	22	9.1%	54.5%	36.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
20	648	**Portland, OR**	80	6.3%	30.4%	53.2%	10.1%	0.0%	0.0%
20	653	**Roseburg, OR**	32	3.1%	34.4%	46.9%	15.6%	0.0%	0.0%
20	663A4	**American Lake, WA**	56	3.6%	41.1%	51.8%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%
20	692	**White City, OR**	87	5.7%	32.2%	52.9%	9.2%	0.0%	0.0%
21	459	**Honolulu, HI**	18	11.1%	33.3%	50.0%	5.6%	0.0%	0.0%
21	640	**Palo Alto, CA**	42	0.0%	33.3%	57.1%	4.8%	4.8%	0.0%
21	654	**Reno, NV**	12	0.0%	25.0%	33.3%	33.3%	8.3%	0.0%
21	662	**San Francisco, CA**	42	2.4%	26.2%	64.3%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
22	600	**Long Beach, CA**	55	3.6%	23.6%	61.8%	9.1%	1.8%	0.0%
22	605	**Loma Linda, CA**	78	10.3%	30.8%	53.8%	3.8%	1.3%	0.0%
22	664	**San Diego, CA**	23	0.0%	34.8%	56.5%	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%
22	691	**West LA, CA**	46	8.7%	32.6%	52.2%	6.5%	0.0%	0.0%
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				4.5%	40.2%	48.8%	5.2%	1.0%	0.3%
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				4.6%	38.4%	49.9%	5.6%	1.1%	0.4%
SITE S.D.				3.3%	10.8%	9.6%	5.1%	1.9%	1.2%

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

Table 16. Referral Source to CWT/VI Program by Site for FY00.†

VISN	SITE	N	VA Inpatient Referral %	VA Outpatient Referral %	VA Domiciliary Referral %	Non-VA Health Care Referral %	Self- Referred %	Vet Center Referral %	Other %
1	518	Bedford, MA	319	9.5%	37.2%	21.1%	4.4%	9.8%	0.6%	17.4%
1	523	Boston, MA	30	36.7%	43.3%	16.7%	0.0%	3.3%	0.0%	0.0%
1	523A5	Brockton, MA	65	4.6%	15.4%	67.7%	4.6%	1.5%	4.6%	1.5%
1	631	Northampton, MA	231	13.9%	9.1%	1.3%	36.4%	29.4%	4.8%	5.2%
1	650	Providence, RI	33	12.1%	30.3%	0.0%	42.4%	9.1%	6.1%	0.0%
1	689	West Haven, CT	92	5.5%	73.6%	0.0%	1.1%	15.4%	2.2%	2.2%
2	528	Buffalo, NY	44	4.5%	81.8%	4.5%	0.0%	4.5%	4.5%	0.0%
2	528A5	Canandaigua, NY	38	2.6%	2.6%	81.6%	2.6%	5.3%	2.6%	2.6%
2	528A6	Bath, NY	67	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
2	528A7	Syracuse, NY	64	0.0%	90.6%	0.0%	0.0%	7.8%	1.6%	0.0%
2	528A8	Albany, NY	119	9.2%	29.4%	16.0%	6.7%	31.9%	1.7%	5.0%
3	526	Bronx, NY	93	1.1%	79.6%	3.2%	7.5%	6.5%	1.1%	1.1%
3	561	E. Orange/Lyons, NJ	160	0.0%	1.3%	98.1%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%	0.0%
3	620	Montrose, NY	174	2.3%	8.6%	86.8%	0.6%	1.7%	0.0%	0.0%
3	630A4	Brooklyn, NY	49	0.0%	22.4%	77.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
3	632	Northport, NY	13	16.7%	50.0%	25.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
4	529	Butler, PA	49	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
4	542	Coatesville, PA	265	1.1%	14.0%	83.4%	0.4%	0.8%	0.0%	0.4%
4	595	Lebanon, PA	100	86.0%	13.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.0%
4	642	Philadelphia, PA	46	2.2%	60.9%	2.2%	10.9%	19.6%	0.0%	4.3%
4	646A5	Pittsburgh (HD),PA	168	3.6%	52.4%	37.5%	1.8%	4.2%	0.6%	0.0%
5	512	Baltimore, MD	21	0.0%	90.5%	9.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5	512A4	Ft. Howard, MD	15	0.0%	66.7%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%
5	512A5	Perry Point, MD	13	0.0%	46.2%	53.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5	613	Martinsburg, WV	206	5.8%	1.9%	89.8%	0.0%	1.0%	1.5%	0.0%
5	688	Washington, DC	93	1.1%	82.8%	7.5%	1.1%	0.0%	7.5%	0.0%
6	558	Durham, NC	12	0.0%	91.7%	0.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	0.0%
6	590	Hampton, VA	75	0.0%	2.7%	93.3%	1.3%	2.7%	0.0%	0.0%
6	637	Asheville, NC	17	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
6	658	Salem, VA	42	45.2%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	9.5%	0.0%	11.9%
7	508	Atlanta, GA	307	0.0%	75.9%	0.0%	14.0%	9.4%	0.0%	0.7%
7	509	Augusta, GA	31	12.9%	83.9%	0.0%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
7	521	Birmingham, AL	47	0.0%	80.9%	0.0%	0.0%	17.0%	0.0%	2.1%
7	534	Charleston, SC	53	0.0%	84.9%	0.0%	5.7%	7.5%	0.0%	1.9%
7	557	Dublin, GA	15	0.0%	20.0%	80.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
7	679	Tuscaloosa, AL	76	7.9%	73.7%	0.0%	0.0%	13.2%	3.9%	1.3%
8	516	Bay Pines, FL	165	52.7%	8.5%	12.7%	0.0%	24.8%	1.2%	0.0%
8	546	Miami, FL	56	7.1%	60.7%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	0.0%	17.9%
8	548	West Palm Beach, FL	25	28.0%	40.0%	0.0%	12.0%	12.0%	0.0%	8.0%
8	573	Gainesville/Lake City, FL	75	0.0%	29.3%	0.0%	0.0%	4.0%	1.3%	65.3%
8	673	Tampa, FL	117	5.1%	72.6%	0.0%	6.8%	11.1%	0.9%	3.4%
9	596	Lexington, KY	51	11.8%	86.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	2.0%	0.0%
9	614	Memphis, TN	39	0.0%	94.9%	2.6%	2.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
9	621	Mountain Home, TN	106	0.0%	25.5%	74.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
9	626A4	Murfreesboro, TN	156	30.1%	69.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
10	538	Chillicothe, OH	85	11.9%	35.7%	13.1%	15.5%	14.3%	2.4%	7.1%
10	541	Cleveland, OH	145	5.5%	56.6%	37.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
10	552	Dayton, OH	20	15.0%	20.0%	35.0%	0.0%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Table 16 cont. Referral Source to CWT/VI Program by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	VA Inpatient Referral %	VA Outpatient Referral %	VA Domiciliary Referral %	Non-VA Health Care Referral %	Self- Referred %	Vet Center Referral %	Other %
11	515	**Battle Creek, MI**	61	60.7%	23.0%	1.6%	0.0%	3.3%	3.3%	8.2%
11	550	**Danville, IL**	34	8.8%	82.4%	0.0%	2.9%	2.9%	0.0%	2.9%
11	583	**Indianapolis, IN**	39	0.0%	84.6%	0.0%	2.6%	0.0%	2.6%	10.3%
12	556	**North Chicago, IL**	211	23.2%	20.9%	54.0%	0.0%	0.5%	0.5%	0.9%
12	578	**Hines, IL**	160	39.4%	53.1%	0.0%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%	1.9%
12	676	**Tomah, WI**	50	0.0%	76.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	4.0%	0.0%
12	695	**Milwaukee, WI**	82	0.0%	11.0%	79.3%	0.0%	7.3%	0.0%	2.4%
13	568	**Ft. Meade, SD**	55	9.1%	30.9%	10.9%	7.3%	34.5%	5.5%	1.8%
13	568A4	**Hot Springs, SD**	75	5.3%	1.3%	78.7%	1.3%	13.3%	0.0%	0.0%
13	618	**Minneapolis, MN**	15	0.0%	40.0%	6.7%	20.0%	13.3%	6.7%	13.3%
13	656	**St. Cloud, MN**	181	42.0%	11.6%	29.8%	2.2%	13.3%	0.6%	0.6%
14	636A6	**Des Moines, IA**	26	0.0%	15.4%	84.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
14	636A7	**Knoxville, IA**	44	0.0%	29.5%	65.9%	0.0%	2.3%	0.0%	2.3%
15	589	**Kansas City, MO**	110	0.9%	74.5%	0.0%	3.6%	0.0%	0.0%	20.9%
15	677A4	**Leavenworth, KS**	62	0.0%	1.6%	95.2%	0.0%	3.2%	0.0%	0.0%
16	520	**Biloxi, MS**	158	0.0%	1.9%	97.5%	0.0%	0.0%	0.6%	0.0%
16	580	**Houston, TX**	132	0.0%	93.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	4.6%	2.3%
16	586	**Jackson, MS**	44	38.6%	20.5%	0.0%	2.3%	29.5%	2.3%	6.8%
16	598	**Little Rock, AR**	250	2.4%	69.6%	27.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.4%
16	635	**Oklahoma City, OK**	51	0.0%	86.3%	0.0%	0.0%	11.8%	0.0%	2.0%
17	549	**Dallas, TX**	331	16.9%	56.5%	6.0%	0.9%	17.2%	1.2%	1.2%
17	549A4	**Bonham, TX**	65	1.5%	1.5%	96.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
17	671	**San Antonio, TX**	25	0.0%	92.0%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%
17	674	**Temple, TX**	39	10.3%	7.7%	64.1%	0.0%	15.4%	2.6%	0.0%
18	644	**Phoenix, AZ**	23	4.3%	87.0%	4.3%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%	0.0%
18	649	**Prescott, AZ**	86	0.0%	7.0%	84.9%	0.0%	8.1%	0.0%	0.0%
18	678	**Tucson, AZ**	62	75.8%	9.7%	0.0%	0.0%	14.5%	0.0%	0.0%
19	660	**Salt Lake City, UT**	22	9.1%	81.8%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%
19	666	**Sheridan, WY**	11	54.5%	0.0%	0.0%	27.3%	18.2%	0.0%	0.0%
20	463	**Anchorage, AK**	22	4.5%	9.1%	63.6%	4.5%	13.6%	0.0%	4.5%
20	648	**Portland, OR**	80	2.5%	2.5%	77.5%	1.3%	13.8%	1.3%	1.3%
20	653	**Roseburg, OR**	32	56.3%	15.6%	0.0%	3.1%	25.0%	0.0%	0.0%
20	663A4	**American Lake, WA**	56	8.9%	35.7%	25.0%	0.0%	17.9%	5.4%	7.1%
20	692	**White City, OR**	87	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
21	459	**Honolulu, HI**	18	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
21	640	**Palo Alto, CA**	42	16.7%	4.8%	54.8%	4.8%	4.8%	0.0%	14.3%
21	654	**Reno, NV**	12	0.0%	41.7%	0.0%	0.0%	58.3%	0.0%	0.0%
21	662	**San Francisco, CA**	42	2.4%	76.2%	0.0%	16.7%	2.4%	0.0%	2.4%
22	600	**Long Beach, CA**	55	0.0%	98.2%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
22	605	**Loma Linda, CA**	78	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
22	664	**San Diego, CA**	23	4.5%	86.4%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
22	691	**West LA, CA**	46	17.4%	41.3%	26.1%	2.2%	10.9%	0.0%	2.2%
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				**11.7%**	**40.1%**	**32.6%**	**3.5%**	**7.8%**	**1.1%**	**3.2%**
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				**11.9%**	**43.7%**	**28.5%**	**3.3%**	**8.2%**	**1.1%**	**3.4%**
SITE S.D.				**20.2%**	**33.4%**	**36.3%**	**7.3%**	**10.2%**	**1.8%**	**8.5%**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

Table 17. Usual Employment Pattern Past Three Years by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Usually Employed or in a Constructive Activity†† %	Retired or Disabled %	Usually Unemployed %	Other %
1	518	Bedford, MA	319	66.9%	7.6%	18.9%	6.6%
1	523	Boston, MA	30	40.0%	6.7%	23.3%	30.0%
1	523A5	Brockton, MA	65	64.6%	9.2%	21.5%	4.6%
1	631	Northampton, MA	231	72.6%	10.0%	16.1%	1.3%
1	650	Providence, RI	33	71.9%	9.4%	18.8%	0.0%
1	689	West Haven, CT	92	59.6%	15.7%	19.1%	5.6%
2	528	Buffalo, NY	44	70.5%	2.3%	27.3%	0.0%
2	528A5	Canandaigua, NY	38	86.8%	2.6%	7.9%	2.6%
2	528A6	Bath, NY	67	59.7%	1.5%	34.3%	4.5%
2	528A7	Syracuse, NY	64	68.3%	4.8%	20.6%	6.3%
2	528A8	Albany, NY	119	73.0%	5.4%	19.8%	1.8%
3	526	Bronx, NY	93	17.4%	0.0%	82.6%	0.0%
3	561	E. Orange/Lyons, NJ	160	86.2%	0.6%	10.1%	3.1%
3	620	Montrose, NY	174	73.4%	0.6%	25.4%	0.6%
3	630A4	Brooklyn, NY	49	46.9%	0.0%	53.1%	0.0%
3	632	Northport, NY	13	33.3%	8.3%	58.3%	0.0%
4	529	Butler, PA	49	79.6%	4.1%	14.3%	2.0%
4	542	Coatesville, PA	265	79.5%	4.6%	12.4%	3.5%
4	595	Lebanon, PA	100	63.6%	0.0%	36.4%	0.0%
4	642	Philadelphia, PA	46	87.0%	0.0%	13.0%	0.0%
4	646A5	Pittsburgh (HD),PA	168	78.4%	10.8%	8.4%	2.4%
5	512	Baltimore, MD	21	76.2%	0.0%	19.0%	4.8%
5	512A4	Ft. Howard, MD	15	80.0%	0.0%	13.3%	6.7%
5	512A5	Perry Point, MD	13	84.6%	0.0%	15.4%	0.0%
5	613	Martinsburg, WV	206	77.8%	3.4%	17.2%	1.5%
5	688	Washington, DC	93	69.2%	2.2%	28.6%	0.0%
6	558	Durham, NC	12	83.3%	8.3%	0.0%	8.3%
6	590	Hampton, VA	75	73.3%	4.0%	22.7%	0.0%
6	637	Asheville, NC	17	82.4%	0.0%	11.8%	5.9%
6	658	Salem, VA	42	78.0%	17.1%	4.9%	0.0%
7	508	Atlanta, GA	307	86.6%	1.3%	9.1%	2.9%
7	509	Augusta, GA	31	61.3%	29.0%	3.2%	6.5%
7	521	Birmingham, AL	47	70.2%	10.6%	14.9%	4.3%
7	534	Charleston, SC	53	81.1%	5.7%	11.3%	1.9%
7	557	Dublin, GA	15	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
7	679	Tuscaloosa, AL	76	82.7%	6.7%	9.3%	1.3%
8	516	Bay Pines, FL	165	43.6%	1.8%	54.5%	0.0%
8	546	Miami, FL	56	71.4%	3.6%	23.2%	1.8%
8	548	West Palm Beach, FL	25	76.0%	0.0%	20.0%	4.0%
8	573	Gainesville/Lake City, FL	75	81.3%	5.3%	13.3%	0.0%
8	673	Tampa, FL	117	92.0%	0.0%	6.3%	1.8%
9	596	Lexington, KY	51	39.2%	47.1%	9.8%	3.9%
9	614	Memphis, TN	39	60.5%	15.8%	15.8%	7.9%
9	621	Mountain Home, TN	106	96.2%	0.0%	3.8%	0.0%
9	626A4	Murfreesboro, TN	156	76.9%	18.6%	2.6%	1.9%
10	538	Chillicothe, OH	85	56.6%	9.6%	31.3%	2.4%
10	541	Cleveland, OH	145	70.6%	1.4%	26.6%	1.4%
10	552	Dayton, OH	20	80.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%

Table 17 cont. Usual Employment Pattern Past Three Years by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Usually Employed or in a Constructive Activity†† %	Retired or Disabled %	Usually Unemployed %	Other %
11	515	**Battle Creek, MI**	61	90.2%	0.0%	4.9%	4.9%
11	550	**Danville, IL**	34	85.3%	8.8%	5.9%	0.0%
11	583	**Indianapolis, IN**	39	64.1%	17.9%	15.4%	2.6%
12	556	**North Chicago, IL**	211	77.4%	6.7%	14.9%	1.0%
12	578	**Hines, IL**	160	62.5%	20.0%	16.3%	1.3%
12	676	**Tomah, WI**	50	86.0%	6.0%	8.0%	0.0%
12	695	**Milwaukee, WI**	82	68.3%	9.8%	19.5%	2.4%
13	568	**Ft. Meade, SD**	55	74.5%	12.7%	10.9%	1.8%
13	568A4	**Hot Springs, SD**	75	85.1%	5.4%	6.8%	2.7%
13	618	**Minneapolis, MN**	15	86.7%	0.0%	6.7%	6.7%
13	656	**St. Cloud, MN**	181	54.0%	23.6%	19.0%	3.4%
14	636A6	**Des Moines, IA**	26	80.8%	3.8%	15.4%	0.0%
14	636A7	**Knoxville, IA**	44	69.0%	26.2%	4.8%	0.0%
15	589	**Kansas City, MO**	110	93.6%	0.9%	5.5%	0.0%
15	677A4	**Leavenworth, KS**	62	91.9%	1.6%	6.5%	0.0%
16	520	**Biloxi, MS**	158	85.4%	3.2%	9.5%	1.9%
16	580	**Houston, TX**	132	71.3%	0.8%	22.5%	5.4%
16	586	**Jackson, MS**	44	81.8%	9.1%	9.1%	0.0%
16	598	**Little Rock, AR**	250	73.8%	16.1%	9.3%	0.8%
16	635	**Oklahoma City, OK**	51	82.4%	3.9%	11.8%	2.0%
17	549	**Dallas, TX**	331	78.4%	5.3%	13.1%	3.1%
17	549A4	**Bonham, TX**	65	69.2%	4.6%	26.2%	0.0%
17	671	**San Antonio, TX**	25	80.0%	0.0%	8.0%	12.0%
17	674	**Temple, TX**	39	65.8%	15.8%	18.4%	0.0%
18	644	**Phoenix, AZ**	23	73.9%	4.3%	17.4%	4.3%
18	649	**Prescott, AZ**	86	88.2%	4.7%	5.9%	1.2%
18	678	**Tucson, AZ**	62	82.0%	3.3%	11.5%	3.3%
19	660	**Salt Lake City, UT**	22	81.0%	4.8%	9.5%	4.8%
19	666	**Sheridan, WY**	11	45.5%	9.1%	36.4%	9.1%
20	463	**Anchorage, AK**	22	90.9%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%
20	648	**Portland, OR**	80	77.6%	1.3%	15.8%	5.3%
20	653	**Roseburg, OR**	32	71.9%	0.0%	25.0%	3.1%
20	663A4	**American Lake, WA**	56	71.2%	5.8%	21.2%	1.9%
20	692	**White City, OR**	87	68.6%	7.0%	23.3%	1.2%
21	459	**Honolulu, HI**	18	50.0%	16.7%	27.8%	5.6%
21	640	**Palo Alto, CA**	42	65.9%	4.9%	29.3%	0.0%
21	654	**Reno, NV**	12	58.3%	25.0%	16.7%	0.0%
21	662	**San Francisco, CA**	42	52.4%	2.4%	40.5%	4.8%
22	600	**Long Beach, CA**	55	56.4%	9.1%	32.7%	1.8%
22	605	**Loma Linda, CA**	78	73.1%	2.6%	17.9%	6.4%
22	664	**San Diego, CA**	23	17.4%	21.7%	56.5%	4.3%
22	691	**West LA, CA**	46	60.9%	15.2%	23.9%	0.0%
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				**73.0%**	**6.9%**	**17.7%**	**2.4%**
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				**71.7%**	**7.1%**	**18.4%**	**2.8%**
SITE S.D.				**15.6%**	**8.1%**	**13.7%**	**3.9%**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

†† Includes full- and part-time employment, student and/or volunteer.

Table 18. Days Worked for Pay During the Month Prior to CWT/VI Admission by Site for FY00.†

VISN	SITE		N	0 Days %	1-19 Days %	> 19 Days %	Mean Number of Days Worked for Pay Past 30 #	Mean Employment Income Past 30 Days $
1	518	Bedford, MA	319	83.9%	14.2%	1.9%	1.4	$54.50
1	523	Boston, MA	30	96.7%	0.0%	3.3%	0.7	$32.00
1	523A5	Brockton, MA	65	95.3%	1.6%	3.1%	1.0	$43.34
1	631	Northampton, MA	231	90.0%	8.7%	1.3%	1.0	$40.12
1	650	Providence, RI	33	93.3%	6.7%	0.0%	0.2	$12.19
1	689	West Haven, CT	92	92.3%	6.6%	1.1%	0.5	$30.53
2	528	Buffalo, NY	44	97.7%	2.3%	0.0%	0.4	$8.00
2	528A5	Canandaigua, NY	38	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	$0.00
2	528A6	Bath, NY	67	98.5%	0.0%	1.5%	0.3	$4.85
2	528A7	Syracuse, NY	64	84.4%	12.5%	3.1%	1.7	$49.14
2	528A8	Albany, NY	119	87.4%	9.2%	3.4%	1.4	$53.79
3	526	Bronx, NY	93	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	$0.00
3	561	E. Orange/Lyons, NJ	160	96.9%	2.5%	0.6%	0.4	$5.72
3	620	Montrose, NY	174	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	$0.00
3	630A4	Brooklyn, NY	49	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	$0.00
3	632	Northport, NY	13	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	$0.00
4	529	Butler, PA	49	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	$0.00
4	542	Coatesville, PA	265	91.2%	6.5%	2.3%	1.0	$29.18
4	595	Lebanon, PA	100	99.0%	1.0%	0.0%	0.0	$1.64
4	642	Philadelphia, PA	46	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	$0.00
4	646A5	Pittsburgh (HD),PA	168	93.5%	4.8%	1.8%	0.8	$30.75
5	512	Baltimore, MD	21	93.8%	6.3%	0.0%	0.1	$3.33
5	512A4	Ft. Howard, MD	15	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	$0.00
5	512A5	Perry Point, MD	13	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	$0.00
5	613	Martinsburg, WV	206	94.6%	1.5%	3.9%	1.2	$28.48
5	688	Washington, DC	93	90.5%	6.3%	3.2%	1.3	$41.70
6	558	Durham, NC	12	91.7%	8.3%	0.0%	1.3	$16.67
6	590	Hampton, VA	75	97.3%	1.4%	1.4%	0.5	$7.43
6	637	Asheville, NC	17	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	$0.00
6	658	Salem, VA	42	75.6%	9.8%	14.6%	3.9	$127.26
7	508	Atlanta, GA	307	74.5%	20.9%	4.6%	2.6	$104.80
7	509	Augusta, GA	31	83.3%	8.3%	8.3%	2.7	$105.00
7	521	Birmingham, AL	47	66.0%	25.5%	8.5%	3.2	$91.76
7	534	Charleston, SC	53	77.4%	22.6%	0.0%	1.4	$63.91
7	557	Dublin, GA	15	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	$0.00
7	679	Tuscaloosa, AL	76	94.7%	5.3%	0.0%	0.4	$8.11
8	516	Bay Pines, FL	165	93.3%	4.3%	2.4%	0.9	$31.63
8	546	Miami, FL	56	94.6%	5.4%	0.0%	0.4	$8.96
8	548	West Palm Beach, FL	25	52.0%	40.0%	8.0%	3.7	$122.76
8	573	Gainesville/Lake City, FL	75	82.7%	17.3%	0.0%	1.4	$45.17
8	673	Tampa, FL	117	73.6%	22.0%	4.4%	2.6	$112.93
9	596	Lexington, KY	51	90.0%	8.0%	2.0%	1.3	$36.88
9	614	Memphis, TN	39	97.4%	2.6%	0.0%	0.2	$12.82
9	621	Mountain Home, TN	106	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	$0.00
9	626A4	Murfreesboro, TN	156	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	$0.00
10	538	Chillicothe, OH	85	89.3%	8.3%	2.4%	1.4	$48.36
10	541	Cleveland, OH	145	88.9%	7.6%	3.5%	1.6	$47.75
10	552	Dayton, OH	20	77.8%	11.1%	11.1%	3.8	$76.22

Table 18 cont. Days Worked for Pay During the Month Prior to CWT/VI Admission by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	0 Days %	1-19 Days %	> 19 Days %	Mean Number of Days Worked for Pay Past 30 #	Mean Employment Income Past 30 Days $
11	515	**Battle Creek, MI**	61	82.0%	18.0%	0.0%	1.3	$48.02
11	550	**Danville, IL**	34	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	$0.00
11	583	**Indianapolis, IN**	39	84.6%	10.3%	5.1%	2.9	$60.64
12	556	**North Chicago, IL**	211	94.8%	4.3%	1.0%	0.5	$31.29
12	578	**Hines, IL**	160	93.7%	5.0%	1.3%	0.8	$19.26
12	676	**Tomah, WI**	50	83.7%	14.3%	2.0%	1.6	$56.86
12	695	**Milwaukee, WI**	82	88.8%	6.3%	5.0%	1.6	$90.00
13	568	**Ft. Meade, SD**	55	85.5%	12.7%	1.8%	1.2	$57.61
13	568A4	**Hot Springs, SD**	75	95.7%	2.9%	1.4%	0.6	$31.26
13	618	**Minneapolis, MN**	15	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	$0.00
13	656	**St. Cloud, MN**	181	88.1%	9.6%	2.3%	1.3	$54.27
14	636A6	**Des Moines, IA**	26	88.5%	11.5%	0.0%	1.0	$16.12
14	636A7	**Knoxville, IA**	44	97.7%	0.0%	2.3%	0.5	$13.64
15	589	**Kansas City, MO**	110	70.0%	29.1%	0.9%	2.6	$75.90
15	677A4	**Leavenworth, KS**	62	98.4%	1.6%	0.0%	0.3	$9.03
16	520	**Biloxi, MS**	158	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	$0.00
16	580	**Houston, TX**	132	88.5%	7.7%	3.8%	1.9	$67.33
16	586	**Jackson, MS**	44	68.2%	18.2%	13.6%	5.5	$133.27
16	598	**Little Rock, AR**	250	93.6%	6.0%	0.4%	0.4	$16.55
16	635	**Oklahoma City, OK**	51	70.6%	29.4%	0.0%	1.5	$59.26
17	549	**Dallas, TX**	331	81.3%	14.6%	4.0%	2.1	$77.54
17	549A4	**Bonham, TX**	65	95.4%	4.6%	0.0%	0.2	$6.95
17	671	**San Antonio, TX**	25	56.0%	32.0%	12.0%	6.2	$209.12
17	674	**Temple, TX**	39	84.6%	10.3%	5.1%	2.6	$79.67
18	644	**Phoenix, AZ**	23	82.6%	13.0%	4.3%	1.9	$80.35
18	649	**Prescott, AZ**	86	95.2%	4.8%	0.0%	0.4	$15.50
18	678	**Tucson, AZ**	62	90.3%	9.7%	0.0%	1.0	$20.42
19	660	**Salt Lake City, UT**	22	90.5%	0.0%	9.5%	2.9	$37.62
19	666	**Sheridan, WY**	11	90.9%	9.1%	0.0%	0.1	$6.00
20	463	**Anchorage, AK**	22	81.8%	9.1%	9.1%	2.5	$74.37
20	648	**Portland, OR**	80	93.7%	2.5%	3.8%	1.0	$33.26
20	653	**Roseburg, OR**	32	90.3%	3.2%	6.5%	2.3	$30.32
20	663A4	**American Lake, WA**	56	87.3%	9.1%	3.6%	1.5	$48.48
20	692	**White City, OR**	87	98.8%	1.2%	0.0%	0.0	$0.41
21	459	**Honolulu, HI**	18	94.4%	5.6%	0.0%	0.4	$10.00
21	640	**Palo Alto, CA**	42	61.5%	33.3%	5.1%	3.4	$148.03
21	654	**Reno, NV**	12	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0	$0.00
21	662	**San Francisco, CA**	42	90.0%	10.0%	0.0%	0.5	$33.64
22	600	**Long Beach, CA**	55	74.1%	13.0%	13.0%	3.9	$106.53
22	605	**Loma Linda, CA**	78	97.4%	1.3%	1.3%	0.4	$9.23
22	664	**San Diego, CA**	23	82.6%	13.0%	4.3%	2.1	$51.39
22	691	**West LA, CA**	46	84.8%	8.7%	6.5%	1.6	$74.15
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				**89.6%**	**8.2%**	**2.3%**	**1.2**	**$40.81**
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				**89.5%**	**7.9%**	**2.6%**	**1.2**	**$39.23**
SITE S.D.				**10.5%**	**8.5%**	**3.5%**	**1.3**	**$40.75**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

Table 19. Prior CWT/VI Admission and Currently in a Domiciliary or VA Inpatient Unit at Time of Admission by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Prior CWT/VI Admission %	Currently in a Domiciliary or VA Inpatient Unit %
1	518	Bedford, MA	319	63.3%	36.8%
1	523	Boston, MA	30	53.3%	33.3%
1	523A5	Brockton, MA	65	49.2%	63.1%
1	631	Northampton, MA	231	51.7%	9.6%
1	650	Providence, RI	33	27.3%	69.7%
1	689	West Haven, CT	92	41.6%	7.7%
2	528	Buffalo, NY	44	20.5%	0.0%
2	528A5	Canandaigua, NY	38	23.7%	68.4%
2	528A6	Bath, NY	67	22.4%	100.0%
2	528A7	Syracuse, NY	64	30.2%	0.0%
2	528A8	Albany, NY	119	46.0%	17.8%
3	526	Bronx, NY	93	12.9%	0.0%
3	561	E. Orange/Lyons, NJ	160	25.6%	95.5%
3	620	Montrose, NY	174	22.4%	69.0%
3	630A4	Brooklyn, NY	49	32.7%	70.8%
3	632	Northport, NY	13	41.7%	27.3%
4	529	Butler, PA	49	24.5%	95.9%
4	542	Coatesville, PA	265	31.8%	84.5%
4	595	Lebanon, PA	100	14.1%	0.0%
4	642	Philadelphia, PA	46	17.4%	0.0%
4	646A5	Pittsburgh (HD),PA	168	41.1%	36.9%
5	512	Baltimore, MD	21	9.5%	10.0%
5	512A4	Ft. Howard, MD	15	20.0%	0.0%
5	512A5	Perry Point, MD	13	30.8%	53.8%
5	613	Martinsburg, WV	206	26.1%	91.2%
5	688	Washington, DC	93	23.7%	2.2%
6	558	Durham, NC	12	25.0%	0.0%
6	590	Hampton, VA	75	14.9%	90.7%
6	637	Asheville, NC	17	17.6%	40.0%
6	658	Salem, VA	42	35.7%	7.3%
7	508	Atlanta, GA	307	22.5%	0.0%
7	509	Augusta, GA	31	38.7%	12.9%
7	521	Birmingham, AL	47	21.3%	2.1%
7	534	Charleston, SC	53	28.3%	0.0%
7	557	Dublin, GA	15	20.0%	100.0%
7	679	Tuscaloosa, AL	76	21.1%	8.0%
8	516	Bay Pines, FL	165	24.4%	33.5%
8	546	Miami, FL	56	14.5%	1.8%
8	548	West Palm Beach, FL	25	20.0%	0.0%
8	573	Gainesville/Lake City, FL	75	23.0%	0.0%
8	673	Tampa, FL	117	10.3%	4.3%
9	596	Lexington, KY	51	64.7%	13.7%
9	614	Memphis, TN	39	20.5%	2.6%
9	621	Mountain Home, TN	106	28.2%	99.1%
9	626A4	Murfreesboro, TN	156	34.6%	26.9%
10	538	Chillicothe, OH	85	22.6%	42.4%
10	541	Cleveland, OH	145	20.1%	45.5%
10	552	Dayton, OH	20	31.6%	40.0%

Table 19 cont. Prior CWT/VI Admission and Currently in a Domiciliary or VA Inpatient Unit at Time of Admission by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Prior CWT/VI Admission %	Currently in a Domiciliary or VA Inpatient Unit %
11	515	Battle Creek, MI	61	13.1%	4.9%
11	550	Danville, IL	34	23.5%	0.0%
11	583	Indianapolis, IN	39	30.8%	2.6%
12	556	North Chicago, IL	211	35.5%	71.1%
12	578	Hines, IL	160	28.0%	21.9%
12	676	Tomah, WI	50	26.0%	10.0%
12	695	Milwaukee, WI	82	12.2%	81.7%
13	568	Ft. Meade, SD	55	51.9%	0.0%
13	568A4	Hot Springs, SD	75	44.0%	92.0%
13	618	Minneapolis, MN	15	26.7%	0.0%
13	656	St. Cloud, MN	181	39.3%	67.8%
14	636A6	Des Moines, IA	26	60.0%	84.6%
14	636A7	Knoxville, IA	44	34.1%	63.6%
15	589	Kansas City, MO	110	41.3%	1.8%
15	677A4	Leavenworth, KS	62	6.5%	95.1%
16	520	Biloxi, MS	158	15.5%	96.8%
16	580	Houston, TX	132	15.9%	3.8%
16	586	Jackson, MS	44	11.6%	2.3%
16	598	Little Rock, AR	250	32.0%	10.8%
16	635	Oklahoma City, OK	51	32.0%	2.0%
17	549	Dallas, TX	331	41.5%	15.0%
17	549A4	Bonham, TX	65	23.1%	98.5%
17	671	San Antonio, TX	25	0.0%	0.0%
17	674	Temple, TX	39	28.2%	92.3%
18	644	Phoenix, AZ	23	22.7%	0.0%
18	649	Prescott, AZ	86	33.7%	84.5%
18	678	Tucson, AZ	62	11.5%	0.0%
19	660	Salt Lake City, UT	22	18.2%	0.0%
19	666	Sheridan, WY	11	36.4%	0.0%
20	463	Anchorage, AK	22	36.4%	59.1%
20	648	Portland, OR	80	3.8%	80.0%
20	653	Roseburg, OR	32	6.3%	3.2%
20	663A4	American Lake, WA	56	25.0%	32.1%
20	692	White City, OR	87	10.5%	100.0%
21	459	Honolulu, HI	18	16.7%	0.0%
21	640	Palo Alto, CA	42	16.7%	71.4%
21	654	Reno, NV	12	33.3%	0.0%
21	662	San Francisco, CA	42	16.7%	5.1%
22	600	Long Beach, CA	55	29.1%	0.0%
22	605	Loma Linda, CA	78	17.9%	1.3%
22	664	San Diego, CA	23	13.0%	0.0%
22	691	West LA, CA	46	32.6%	37.0%
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				**29.6%**	**38.2%**
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				**26.8%**	**33.4%**
SITE S.D.				**13.0%**	**36.6%**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

Table 20. Veterans' Report of Public Financial Support at Admission by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Service Connected for Psychiatry %	Service Connected for Other %	Non-Service Connected Pension %	Any VA Benefit %	Social Security Disability %	Any VA or Non-VA Disability %
1	518	Bedford, MA	319	6.3%	13.8%	7.5%	25.1%	23.8%	39.2%
1	523	Boston, MA	30	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%	20.0%	13.3%	30.0%
1	523A5	Brockton, MA	65	6.3%	18.8%	3.2%	25.0%	25.4%	42.2%
1	631	Northampton, MA	231	8.7%	11.7%	3.9%	22.6%	12.2%	27.8%
1	650	Providence, RI	33	3.0%	25.8%	9.1%	36.4%	15.2%	39.4%
1	689	West Haven, CT	92	11.4%	8.8%	11.4%	27.5%	26.1%	35.9%
2	528	Buffalo, NY	44	6.8%	4.5%	4.5%	15.9%	2.3%	1.4%
2	528A5	Canandaigua, NY	38	2.7%	5.4%	5.3%	13.2%	8.1%	16.2%
2	528A6	Bath, NY	67	3.0%	11.9%	0.0%	14.9%	1.5%	14.9%
2	528A7	Syracuse, NY	64	6.3%	17.2%	3.1%	25.0%	23.4%	40.6%
2	528A8	Albany, NY	119	6.0%	6.0%	7.6%	18.6%	19.7%	27.4%
3	526	Bronx, NY	93	3.2%	16.1%	11.8%	28.0%	10.8%	26.9%
3	561	E. Orange/Lyons, NJ	160	1.3%	10.7%	2.5%	13.8%	1.3%	13.2%
3	620	Montrose, NY	174	4.0%	6.3%	4.0%	13.8%	4.6%	13.2%
3	630A4	Brooklyn, NY	49	6.1%	10.2%	2.0%	16.3%	8.2%	22.4%
3	632	Northport, NY	13	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	30.8%	15.4%	38.5%
4	529	Butler, PA	49	2.0%	6.1%	4.1%	12.2%	4.1%	10.2%
4	542	Coatesville, PA	265	4.2%	14.0%	4.5%	20.4%	2.7%	19.6%
4	595	Lebanon, PA	100	4.0%	5.0%	0.0%	9.0%	1.0%	9.0%
4	642	Philadelphia, PA	46	4.3%	2.2%	15.2%	21.7%	4.3%	10.9%
4	646A5	Pittsburgh (HD),PA	168	2.4%	15.5%	7.2%	22.6%	7.7%	25.0%
5	512	Baltimore, MD	21	9.5%	9.5%	0.0%	19.0%	19.0%	38.1%
5	512A4	Ft. Howard, MD	15	6.7%	6.7%	0.0%	13.3%	0.0%	13.3%
5	512A5	Perry Point, MD	13	0.0%	15.4%	0.0%	15.4%	0.0%	15.4%
5	613	Martinsburg, WV	206	4.0%	13.2%	6.9%	22.0%	6.4%	21.0%
5	688	Washington, DC	93	5.5%	18.3%	5.4%	28.0%	6.5%	28.0%
6	558	Durham, NC	12	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%
6	590	Hampton, VA	75	6.7%	17.3%	1.3%	22.7%	2.7%	22.7%
6	637	Asheville, NC	17	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	8.3%
6	658	Salem, VA	42	4.8%	19.0%	7.3%	28.6%	7.1%	26.2%
7	508	Atlanta, GA	307	3.6%	15.6%	1.3%	18.9%	3.3%	21.5%
7	509	Augusta, GA	31	6.5%	12.9%	6.5%	25.8%	29.0%	45.2%
7	521	Birmingham, AL	47	2.1%	10.6%	4.3%	17.0%	8.5%	19.1%
7	534	Charleston, SC	53	1.9%	26.4%	1.9%	30.2%	11.3%	37.7%
7	557	Dublin, GA	15	13.3%	6.7%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	20.0%
7	679	Tuscaloosa, AL	76	5.3%	10.5%	1.3%	15.8%	6.6%	21.1%
8	516	Bay Pines, FL	165	3.7%	18.9%	0.6%	23.0%	0.6%	22.4%
8	546	Miami, FL	56	1.8%	26.8%	0.0%	28.6%	0.0%	28.6%
8	548	West Palm Beach, FL	25	8.0%	20.0%	0.0%	24.0%	8.3%	28.0%
8	573	Gainesville/Lake City, FL	75	8.0%	14.7%	4.0%	24.0%	6.7%	24.0%
8	673	Tampa, FL	117	4.4%	11.4%	0.9%	16.4%	5.3%	19.7%
9	596	Lexington, KY	51	11.8%	17.6%	9.8%	37.3%	39.2%	56.9%
9	614	Memphis, TN	39	12.8%	28.2%	5.3%	41.0%	25.6%	51.3%
9	621	Mountain Home, TN	106	1.9%	10.4%	0.0%	12.3%	0.0%	12.3%
9	626A4	Murfreesboro, TN	156	6.4%	9.0%	4.5%	18.6%	14.7%	26.9%
10	538	Chillicothe, OH	85	7.1%	7.1%	5.9%	18.8%	8.2%	18.8%
10	541	Cleveland, OH	145	4.1%	12.4%	2.1%	17.2%	4.1%	20.0%
10	552	Dayton, OH	20	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%	10.0%

Table 20 cont. Veterans' Report of Public Financial Support at Admission by Site for FY00.†

VISN	SITE	N	Service Connected for Psychiatry %	Service Connected for Other %	Non-Service Connected Pension %	Any VA Benefit %	Social Security Disability %	Any VA or Non-VA Disability %
11	515	**Battle Creek, MI**	61	0.0%	9.8%	1.7%	11.5%	1.7%	11.5%
11	550	**Danville, IL**	34	0.0%	2.9%	8.8%	11.8%	5.9%	8.8%
11	583	**Indianapolis, IN**	39	5.1%	25.6%	12.8%	38.5%	23.1%	48.7%
12	556	**North Chicago, IL**	211	2.8%	9.0%	5.2%	16.1%	10.0%	20.9%
12	578	**Hines, IL**	160	5.0%	19.4%	15.0%	35.6%	28.1%	44.4%
12	676	**Tomah, WI**	50	6.0%	8.0%	12.0%	24.0%	12.0%	24.0%
12	695	**Milwaukee, WI**	82	1.2%	20.7%	4.9%	25.6%	11.0%	32.9%
13	568	**Ft. Meade, SD**	55	18.2%	13.0%	3.7%	27.3%	7.4%	30.9%
13	568A4	**Hot Springs, SD**	75	4.0%	10.7%	4.0%	16.0%	1.3%	16.0%
13	618	**Minneapolis, MN**	15	6.7%	13.3%	13.3%	33.3%	13.3%	33.3%
13	656	**St. Cloud, MN**	181	7.2%	18.4%	13.9%	33.9%	21.7%	38.3%
14	636A6	**Des Moines, IA**	26	3.8%	7.7%	3.8%	11.5%	15.4%	23.1%
14	636A7	**Knoxville, IA**	44	4.5%	6.8%	15.9%	27.3%	20.5%	27.3%
15	589	**Kansas City, MO**	110	1.8%	8.2%	0.0%	10.0%	1.8%	10.9%
15	677A4	**Leavenworth, KS**	62	4.8%	12.9%	1.6%	19.4%	4.8%	22.6%
16	520	**Biloxi, MS**	158	3.8%	14.6%	0.0%	17.7%	1.9%	19.6%
16	580	**Houston, TX**	132	4.5%	13.7%	0.8%	17.4%	1.5%	17.4%
16	586	**Jackson, MS**	44	7.0%	15.9%	0.0%	22.7%	2.3%	25.0%
16	598	**Little Rock, AR**	250	0.4%	17.2%	6.4%	23.6%	9.6%	25.6%
16	635	**Oklahoma City, OK**	51	3.9%	23.5%	14.0%	37.3%	6.0%	33.3%
17	549	**Dallas, TX**	331	6.7%	11.5%	4.2%	22.1%	6.3%	23.6%
17	549A4	**Bonham, TX**	65	4.6%	18.5%	0.0%	21.5%	0.0%	21.5%
17	671	**San Antonio, TX**	25	4.0%	8.0%	0.0%	12.0%	4.0%	16.0%
17	674	**Temple, TX**	39	0.0%	10.3%	7.7%	17.9%	7.7%	15.4%
18	644	**Phoenix, AZ**	23	9.1%	30.4%	0.0%	34.8%	14.3%	39.1%
18	649	**Prescott, AZ**	86	3.5%	15.1%	1.2%	19.8%	8.1%	25.6%
18	678	**Tucson, AZ**	62	11.3%	8.1%	0.0%	19.4%	3.2%	21.0%
19	660	**Salt Lake City, UT**	22	9.1%	9.1%	4.5%	18.2%	9.1%	22.7%
19	666	**Sheridan, WY**	11	18.2%	18.2%	18.2%	54.5%	27.3%	63.6%
20	463	**Anchorage, AK**	22	0.0%	13.6%	0.0%	13.6%	0.0%	18.2%
20	648	**Portland, OR**	80	2.5%	15.2%	2.5%	18.8%	5.0%	22.5%
20	653	**Roseburg, OR**	32	0.0%	12.5%	0.0%	12.5%	3.1%	15.6%
20	663A4	**American Lake, WA**	56	10.7%	28.6%	1.8%	33.9%	14.3%	39.3%
20	692	**White City, OR**	87	2.3%	11.5%	0.0%	13.8%	5.7%	19.5%
21	459	**Honolulu, HI**	18	11.1%	11.1%	16.7%	33.3%	5.6%	22.2%
21	640	**Palo Alto, CA**	42	4.8%	4.9%	7.1%	16.7%	14.3%	21.4%
21	654	**Reno, NV**	12	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	33.3%	8.3%	25.0%
21	662	**San Francisco, CA**	42	7.1%	16.7%	21.4%	42.9%	14.3%	38.1%
22	600	**Long Beach, CA**	55	7.3%	16.7%	5.5%	25.5%	18.2%	36.4%
22	605	**Loma Linda, CA**	78	6.4%	11.5%	2.6%	19.2%	19.2%	44.9%
22	664	**San Diego, CA**	23	0.0%	8.7%	4.3%	13.0%	30.4%	39.1%
22	691	**West LA, CA**	46	6.5%	21.7%	8.7%	34.8%	6.5%	32.6%
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				**4.9%**	**13.4%**	**4.8%**	**21.5%**	**9.4%**	**25.2%**
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				**5.4%**	**13.4%**	**4.1%**	**21.5%**	**8.8%**	**24.9%**
SITE S.D.				**4.4%**	**6.3%**	**4.6%**	**9.2%**	**9.5%**	**12.1%**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

Table 21. Income Past 30 Days Before CWT/VI Admission by Site for FY00.†

VISN	SITE		N	Mean Employment Income (month prior to admission) $	Mean Other Income†† (month prior to admission) $	Mean Total Income (month prior to admission) $
1	518	Bedford, MA	319	$54.50	$332.48	$382.47
1	523	Boston, MA	30	$32.00	$485.53	$517.53
1	523A5	Brockton, MA	65	$43.34	$313.29	$355.97
1	631	Northampton, MA	231	$40.12	$233.24	$272.35
1	650	Providence, RI	33	$12.19	$368.58	$380.39
1	689	West Haven, CT	92	$30.53	$355.42	$377.89
2	528	Buffalo, NY	44	$8.00	$98.82	$106.82
2	528A5	Canandaigua, NY	38	$0.00	$89.05	$89.05
2	528A6	Bath, NY	67	$4.85	$33.45	$38.30
2	528A7	Syracuse, NY	64	$49.14	$290.46	$335.06
2	528A8	Albany, NY	119	$53.79	$349.75	$391.78
3	526	Bronx, NY	93	$0.00	$269.89	$269.89
3	561	E. Orange/Lyons, NJ	160	$5.72	$50.65	$55.71
3	620	Montrose, NY	174	$0.00	$102.25	$100.18
3	630A4	Brooklyn, NY	49	$0.00	$428.29	$419.55
3	632	Northport, NY	13	$0.00	$301.92	$278.69
4	529	Butler, PA	49	$0.00	$83.46	$83.46
4	542	Coatesville, PA	265	$29.18	$138.64	$164.45
4	595	Lebanon, PA	100	$1.64	$30.50	$32.14
4	642	Philadelphia, PA	46	$0.00	$221.00	$216.20
4	646A5	Pittsburgh (HD),PA	168	$30.75	$134.51	$163.48
5	512	Baltimore, MD	21	$3.33	$196.53	$199.16
5	512A4	Ft. Howard, MD	15	$0.00	$7.00	$6.53
5	512A5	Perry Point, MD	13	$0.00	$159.38	$159.38
5	613	Martinsburg, WV	206	$28.48	$128.01	$156.35
5	688	Washington, DC	93	$41.70	$164.58	$192.83
6	558	Durham, NC	12	$16.67	$98.08	$114.75
6	590	Hampton, VA	75	$7.43	$77.88	$85.21
6	637	Asheville, NC	17	$0.00	$20.83	$14.71
6	658	Salem, VA	42	$127.26	$217.98	$345.24
7	508	Atlanta, GA	307	$104.80	$92.28	$196.44
7	509	Augusta, GA	31	$105.00	$514.27	$588.56
7	521	Birmingham, AL	47	$91.76	$356.61	$438.83
7	534	Charleston, SC	53	$63.91	$183.92	$247.83
7	557	Dublin, GA	15	$0.00	$24.73	$24.73
7	679	Tuscaloosa, AL	76	$8.11	$116.99	$123.45
8	516	Bay Pines, FL	165	$31.63	$65.57	$96.40
8	546	Miami, FL	56	$8.96	$84.34	$93.30
8	548	West Palm Beach, FL	25	$122.76	$185.24	$308.00
8	573	Gainesville/Lake City, FL	75	$45.17	$166.37	$207.11
8	673	Tampa, FL	117	$112.93	$84.88	$183.84
9	596	Lexington, KY	51	$36.88	$632.88	$655.62
9	614	Memphis, TN	39	$12.82	$300.56	$313.38
9	621	Mountain Home, TN	106	$0.00	$36.65	$36.65
9	626A4	Murfreesboro, TN	156	$0.00	$230.77	$230.77
10	538	Chillicothe, OH	85	$48.36	$171.44	$211.74
10	541	Cleveland, OH	145	$47.75	$68.53	$115.81
10	552	Dayton, OH	20	$76.22	$37.47	$107.44

Table 21 cont. Income Past 30 Days Before CWT/VI Admission by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Mean Employment Income (month prior to admission) $	Mean Other Income†† (month prior to admission) $	Mean Total Income (month prior to admission) $
11	515	**Battle Creek, MI**	61	$48.02	$30.80	$78.82
11	550	**Danville, IL**	34	$0.00	$197.86	$162.94
11	583	**Indianapolis, IN**	39	$60.64	$887.86	$902.97
12	556	**North Chicago, IL**	211	$31.29	$158.18	$189.47
12	578	**Hines, IL**	160	$19.26	$346.76	$366.03
12	676	**Tomah, WI**	50	$56.86	$214.84	$267.40
12	695	**Milwaukee, WI**	82	$90.00	$193.21	$279.72
13	568	**Ft. Meade, SD**	55	$57.61	$366.63	$424.24
13	568A4	**Hot Springs, SD**	75	$31.26	$311.93	$260.26
13	618	**Minneapolis, MN**	15	$0.00	$355.86	$332.13
13	656	**St. Cloud, MN**	181	$54.27	$350.93	$390.35
14	636A6	**Des Moines, IA**	26	$16.12	$166.42	$182.54
14	636A7	**Knoxville, IA**	44	$13.64	$346.20	$359.84
15	589	**Kansas City, MO**	110	$75.90	$66.07	$141.28
15	677A4	**Leavenworth, KS**	62	$9.03	$97.65	$106.68
16	520	**Biloxi, MS**	158	$0.00	$184.14	$150.66
16	580	**Houston, TX**	132	$67.33	$107.13	$170.70
16	586	**Jackson, MS**	44	$133.27	$61.95	$195.23
16	598	**Little Rock, AR**	250	$16.55	$162.90	$179.45
16	635	**Oklahoma City, OK**	51	$59.26	$199.51	$257.61
17	549	**Dallas, TX**	331	$77.54	$135.96	$211.66
17	549A4	**Bonham, TX**	65	$6.95	$66.23	$73.08
17	671	**San Antonio, TX**	25	$209.12	$48.64	$257.76
17	674	**Temple, TX**	39	$79.67	$134.51	$214.18
18	644	**Phoenix, AZ**	23	$80.35	$209.00	$289.35
18	649	**Prescott, AZ**	86	$15.50	$116.69	$128.00
18	678	**Tucson, AZ**	62	$20.42	$70.23	$90.65
19	660	**Salt Lake City, UT**	22	$37.62	$635.09	$671.00
19	666	**Sheridan, WY**	11	$6.00	$387.00	$393.00
20	463	**Anchorage, AK**	22	$74.37	$422.33	$489.62
20	648	**Portland, OR**	80	$33.26	$92.00	$124.11
20	653	**Roseburg, OR**	32	$30.32	$80.03	$109.41
20	663A4	**American Lake, WA**	56	$48.48	$415.70	$447.61
20	692	**White City, OR**	87	$0.41	$69.69	$70.09
21	459	**Honolulu, HI**	18	$10.00	$452.72	$462.72
21	640	**Palo Alto, CA**	42	$148.03	$213.59	$338.20
21	654	**Reno, NV**	12	$0.00	$401.00	$401.00
21	662	**San Francisco, CA**	42	$33.64	$518.45	$549.69
22	600	**Long Beach, CA**	55	$106.53	$296.75	$387.09
22	605	**Loma Linda, CA**	78	$9.23	$478.71	$487.94
22	664	**San Diego, CA**	23	$51.39	$341.61	$393.00
22	691	**West LA, CA**	46	$74.15	$193.50	$267.65
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				**$40.81**	**$191.41**	**$228.54**
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				**$39.23**	**$219.12**	**$252.67**
SITE S.D.				**$40.75**	**$163.21**	**$165.62**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

†† Mean other income includes Service Connected and Non-service Connected pensions, SSDI, SSI, Social Security Retirement, other disability (e.g. workman's compensation) and any other public support (e.g. food stamps, general relief).

Table 22. Usual Residence in Month Prior to CWT Admission by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Housed†† %	Transitional Housing or Halfway House %	Hospital, Nursing Home or Domiciliary %	Outdoors / Shelter %	Other %
1	518	Bedford, MA	319	28.9%	6.7%	34.3%	29.5%	0.6%
1	523	Boston, MA	30	26.7%	13.3%	56.7%	3.3%	0.0%
1	523A5	Brockton, MA	65	30.8%	3.1%	47.7%	16.9%	1.5%
1	631	Northampton, MA	231	42.9%	7.4%	9.5%	38.1%	2.2%
1	650	Providence, RI	33	45.5%	24.2%	3.0%	27.3%	0.0%
1	689	West Haven, CT	92	63.0%	5.4%	3.3%	28.3%	0.0%
2	528	Buffalo, NY	44	34.1%	47.7%	4.5%	13.6%	0.0%
2	528A5	Canandaigua, NY	38	21.1%	2.6%	73.7%	2.6%	0.0%
2	528A6	Bath, NY	67	1.5%	0.0%	97.0%	1.5%	0.0%
2	528A7	Syracuse, NY	64	54.7%	40.6%	3.1%	1.6%	0.0%
2	528A8	Albany, NY	119	42.0%	16.0%	24.4%	17.6%	0.0%
3	526	Bronx, NY	93	45.2%	9.7%	4.3%	39.8%	1.1%
3	561	E. Orange/Lyons, NJ	160	15.7%	0.0%	68.6%	14.5%	1.3%
3	620	Montrose, NY	174	30.5%	1.1%	59.2%	6.9%	2.3%
3	630A4	Brooklyn, NY	49	18.4%	2.0%	77.6%	2.0%	0.0%
3	632	Northport, NY	13	30.8%	23.1%	23.1%	23.1%	0.0%
4	529	Butler, PA	49	6.1%	0.0%	93.9%	0.0%	0.0%
4	542	Coatesville, PA	265	37.0%	4.9%	16.6%	40.8%	0.8%
4	595	Lebanon, PA	100	12.0%	5.0%	73.0%	9.0%	1.0%
4	642	Philadelphia, PA	46	31.1%	53.3%	4.4%	8.9%	2.2%
4	646A5	Pittsburgh (HD),PA	168	29.2%	27.4%	39.9%	2.4%	1.2%
5	512	Baltimore, MD	21	28.6%	42.9%	9.5%	19.0%	0.0%
5	512A4	Ft. Howard, MD	15	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5	512A5	Perry Point, MD	13	7.7%	38.5%	53.8%	0.0%	0.0%
5	613	Martinsburg, WV	206	8.8%	2.4%	79.0%	9.8%	0.0%
5	688	Washington, DC	93	57.6%	20.7%	1.1%	20.7%	0.0%
6	558	Durham, NC	12	58.3%	8.3%	8.3%	25.0%	0.0%
6	590	Hampton, VA	75	4.0%	1.3%	93.3%	1.3%	0.0%
6	637	Asheville, NC	17	94.1%	0.0%	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%
6	658	Salem, VA	42	54.8%	7.1%	26.2%	11.9%	0.0%
7	508	Atlanta, GA	307	27.2%	42.0%	1.3%	26.9%	2.6%
7	509	Augusta, GA	31	67.7%	16.1%	3.2%	12.9%	0.0%
7	521	Birmingham, AL	47	25.5%	68.1%	0.0%	2.1%	4.3%
7	534	Charleston, SC	53	37.7%	45.3%	0.0%	17.0%	0.0%
7	557	Dublin, GA	15	0.0%	0.0%	93.3%	6.7%	0.0%
7	679	Tuscaloosa, AL	76	38.2%	44.7%	9.2%	6.6%	1.3%
8	516	Bay Pines, FL	165	16.4%	43.0%	26.7%	12.7%	1.2%
8	546	Miami, FL	56	39.3%	26.8%	12.5%	21.4%	0.0%
8	548	West Palm Beach, FL	25	24.0%	68.0%	4.0%	4.0%	0.0%
8	573	Gainesville/Lake City, FL	75	71.6%	6.8%	2.7%	18.9%	0.0%
8	673	Tampa, FL	117	33.3%	41.9%	3.4%	20.5%	0.9%
9	596	Lexington, KY	51	82.4%	0.0%	15.7%	2.0%	0.0%
9	614	Memphis, TN	39	71.8%	15.4%	7.7%	5.1%	0.0%
9	621	Mountain Home, TN	106	0.0%	0.0%	99.1%	0.9%	0.0%
9	626A4	Murfreesboro, TN	156	38.1%	40.0%	18.1%	1.9%	1.9%
10	538	Chillicothe, OH	85	40.0%	24.7%	22.4%	8.2%	4.7%
10	541	Cleveland, OH	145	29.2%	7.6%	33.3%	29.2%	0.7%
10	552	Dayton, OH	20	40.0%	25.0%	25.0%	10.0%	0.0%

Table 22 cont. Usual Residence in Month Prior to CWT Admission by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Housed†† %	Transitional Housing or Halfway House %	Hospital, Nursing Home or Domiciliary %	Outdoors / Shelter %	Other %
11	515	**Battle Creek, MI**	61	0.4	23.0%	16.4%	18.0%	0.0%
11	550	**Danville, IL**	34	0.7	0.0%	0.0%	23.5%	2.9%
11	583	**Indianapolis, IN**	39	0.6	12.8%	0.0%	23.1%	0.0%
12	556	**North Chicago, IL**	211	0.4	17.1%	26.5%	17.5%	0.9%
12	578	**Hines, IL**	160	0.7	17.5%	6.9%	5.0%	1.3%
12	676	**Tomah, WI**	50	0.4	48.0%	12.0%	2.0%	0.0%
12	695	**Milwaukee, WI**	82	0.1	7.3%	80.5%	0.0%	0.0%
13	568	**Ft. Meade, SD**	55	0.6	9.1%	12.7%	3.6%	16.4%
13	568A4	**Hot Springs, SD**	75	0.1	2.7%	81.3%	4.0%	0.0%
13	618	**Minneapolis, MN**	15	0.1	78.6%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%
13	656	**St. Cloud, MN**	181	0.6	1.7%	28.3%	11.7%	0.0%
14	636A6	**Des Moines, IA**	26	0.3	3.8%	65.4%	0.0%	0.0%
14	636A7	**Knoxville, IA**	44	0.4	2.3%	56.8%	4.5%	0.0%
15	589	**Kansas City, MO**	110	0.5	11.8%	9.1%	27.3%	1.8%
15	677A4	**Leavenworth, KS**	62	0.3	3.3%	63.9%	4.9%	0.0%
16	520	**Biloxi, MS**	158	0.2	0.6%	58.2%	22.8%	0.0%
16	580	**Houston, TX**	132	0.3	41.7%	2.3%	21.2%	0.0%
16	586	**Jackson, MS**	44	0.5	2.3%	15.9%	34.1%	0.0%
16	598	**Little Rock, AR**	250	0.1	3.2%	78.0%	3.6%	1.6%
16	635	**Oklahoma City, OK**	51	0.3	62.7%	0.0%	5.9%	0.0%
17	549	**Dallas, TX**	331	0.3	5.2%	24.5%	37.9%	6.7%
17	549A4	**Bonham, TX**	65	0.0	0.0%	98.5%	0.0%	0.0%
17	671	**San Antonio, TX**	25	0.5	48.0%	0.0%	4.0%	0.0%
17	674	**Temple, TX**	39	0.3	5.1%	38.5%	28.2%	0.0%
18	644	**Phoenix, AZ**	23	0.5	22.7%	4.5%	18.2%	4.5%
18	649	**Prescott, AZ**	86	0.2	0.0%	77.9%	5.8%	0.0%
18	678	**Tucson, AZ**	62	0.2	75.8%	3.2%	1.6%	0.0%
19	660	**Salt Lake City, UT**	22	0.8	4.5%	0.0%	13.6%	0.0%
19	666	**Sheridan, WY**	11	0.7	0.0%	0.0%	27.3%	0.0%
20	463	**Anchorage, AK**	22	0.2	18.2%	50.0%	4.5%	4.5%
20	648	**Portland, OR**	80	0.2	3.8%	73.4%	2.5%	0.0%
20	653	**Roseburg, OR**	32	0.3	6.3%	37.5%	21.9%	0.0%
20	663A4	**American Lake, WA**	56	0.4	14.3%	32.1%	8.9%	1.8%
20	692	**White City, OR**	87	0.0	0.0%	98.9%	1.1%	0.0%
21	459	**Honolulu, HI**	18	0.6	22.2%	0.0%	16.7%	0.0%
21	640	**Palo Alto, CA**	42	0.2	0.0%	73.8%	4.8%	2.4%
21	654	**Reno, NV**	12	0.7	25.0%	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%
21	662	**San Francisco, CA**	42	0.2	40.5%	9.5%	26.2%	0.0%
22	600	**Long Beach, CA**	55	0.6	32.7%	1.8%	3.6%	0.0%
22	605	**Loma Linda, CA**	78	0.3	64.1%	0.0%	5.1%	1.3%
22	664	**San Diego, CA**	23	0.8	17.4%	0.0%	4.3%	0.0%
22	691	**West LA, CA**	46	0.3	19.6%	41.3%	6.5%	0.0%
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				**32.6%**	**16.6%**	**33.7%**	**15.8%**	**1.2%**
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				**36.1%**	**20.1%**	**30.6%**	**12.4%**	**0.9%**
SITE S.D.				**21.7%**	**22.0%**	**31.9%**	**10.9%**	**2.1%**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00:
VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

†† Includes own apartment, room or house; apartment, room or house of friend or family member and hotel or SRO.

Table 23. Homeless When Last in Community and Loss of a Job Due to Substance Use by Site for FY00.†

VISN	SITE	N	Homeless When Last Living in the Community %	Loss of a Job Due to Substance Abuse %
1	518	**Bedford, MA**	319	66.1%	58.0%
1	523	**Boston, MA**	30	55.2%	73.3%
1	523A5	**Brockton, MA**	65	67.7%	71.9%
1	631	**Northampton, MA**	231	67.5%	59.6%
1	650	**Providence, RI**	33	72.7%	42.4%
1	689	**West Haven, CT**	92	43.8%	42.7%
2	528	**Buffalo, NY**	67	82.1%	74.6%
2	528A5	**Canandaigua, NY**	64	4.7%	54.7%
2	528A6	**Bath, NY**	38	63.2%	65.8%
2	528A7	**Syracuse, NY**	119	50.4%	64.9%
2	528A8	**Albany, NY**	44	77.3%	48.8%
3	526	**Bronx, NY**	93	45.2%	36.6%
3	561	**E. Orange/Lyons, NJ**	174	79.9%	58.0%
3	620	**Montrose, NY**	49	81.6%	79.6%
3	630A4	**Brooklyn, NY**	160	88.7%	63.5%
3	632	**Northport, NY**	13	53.8%	69.2%
4	529	**Butler, PA**	49	75.0%	75.5%
4	542	**Coatesville, PA**	265	84.2%	60.8%
4	595	**Lebanon, PA**	100	69.4%	67.0%
4	642	**Philadelphia, PA**	46	82.6%	86.7%
4	646A5	**Pittsburgh (HD),PA**	168	48.2%	48.2%
5	512	**Baltimore, MD**	21	57.1%	81.0%
5	512A4	**Ft. Howard, MD**	15	73.3%	93.3%
5	512A5	**Perry Point, MD**	206	78.2%	47.8%
5	613	**Martinsburg, WV**	13	92.3%	53.8%
5	688	**Washington, DC**	93	11.8%	41.3%
6	558	**Durham, NC**	75	78.7%	54.2%
6	590	**Hampton, VA**	17	56.3%	85.7%
6	637	**Asheville, NC**	12	33.3%	58.3%
6	658	**Salem, VA**	42	38.1%	31.7%
7	508	**Atlanta, GA**	307	70.4%	58.5%
7	509	**Augusta, GA**	31	35.5%	50.0%
7	521	**Birmingham, AL**	47	61.7%	66.0%
7	534	**Charleston, SC**	53	58.5%	52.8%
7	557	**Dublin, GA**	15	100.0%	86.7%
7	679	**Tuscaloosa, AL**	76	35.5%	63.2%
8	516	**Bay Pines, FL**	165	82.4%	71.4%
8	546	**Miami, FL**	25	24.0%	60.0%
8	548	**West Palm Beach, FL**	117	62.1%	41.4%
8	573	**Gainesville/Lake City, FL**	56	71.4%	62.5%
8	673	**Tampa, FL**	75	41.3%	64.0%
9	596	**Lexington, KY**	51	11.8%	25.5%
9	614	**Memphis, TN**	39	25.6%	59.5%
9	621	**Mountain Home, TN**	106	70.5%	48.0%
9	626A4	**Murfreesboro, TN**	156	71.2%	88.3%
10	538	**Chillicothe, OH**	85	37.8%	64.7%
10	541	**Cleveland, OH**	145	72.4%	70.1%
10	552	**Dayton, OH**	20	75.0%	55.0%

Table 23 cont. Homeless When Last in Community and Loss of a Job Due to Substance Use by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Homeless When Last Living in the Community %	Loss of a Job Due to Substance Abuse %
11	515	**Battle Creek, MI**	61	66.7%	68.3%
11	550	**Danville, IL**	34	47.1%	18.8%
11	583	**Indianapolis, IN**	39	20.5%	20.5%
12	556	**North Chicago, IL**	211	46.9%	59.6%
12	578	**Hines, IL**	160	38.8%	48.4%
12	676	**Tomah, WI**	50	50.0%	60.0%
12	695	**Milwaukee, WI**	82	56.1%	57.3%
13	568	**Ft. Meade, SD**	55	38.2%	60.0%
13	568A4	**Hot Springs, SD**	75	86.3%	58.6%
13	618	**Minneapolis, MN**	15	80.0%	66.7%
13	656	**St. Cloud, MN**	181	43.1%	56.4%
14	636A6	**Des Moines, IA**	26	61.5%	76.9%
14	636A7	**Knoxville, IA**	44	29.5%	31.8%
15	589	**Kansas City, MO**	110	70.9%	67.3%
15	677A4	**Leavenworth, KS**	62	54.8%	79.0%
16	520	**Biloxi, MS**	158	90.4%	56.1%
16	580	**Houston, TX**	132	64.4%	48.5%
16	586	**Jackson, MS**	44	43.2%	51.2%
16	598	**Little Rock, AR**	250	22.0%	65.6%
16	635	**Oklahoma City, OK**	51	54.9%	70.6%
17	549	**Dallas, TX**	331	73.1%	63.0%
17	549A4	**Bonham, TX**	65	81.5%	53.1%
17	671	**San Antonio, TX**	25	56.0%	56.0%
17	674	**Temple, TX**	39	64.1%	41.0%
18	644	**Phoenix, AZ**	23	31.8%	30.4%
18	649	**Prescott, AZ**	86	68.6%	43.0%
18	678	**Tucson, AZ**	62	62.9%	77.4%
19	660	**Salt Lake City, UT**	22	27.3%	54.5%
19	666	**Sheridan, WY**	11	36.4%	81.8%
20	463	**Anchorage, AK**	22	90.9%	76.2%
20	648	**Portland, OR**	80	62.5%	67.5%
20	653	**Roseburg, OR**	32	50.0%	68.8%
20	663A4	**American Lake, WA**	56	41.1%	52.8%
20	692	**White City, OR**	87	77.0%	51.7%
21	459	**Honolulu, HI**	18	33.3%	44.4%
21	640	**Palo Alto, CA**	42	76.2%	64.3%
21	654	**Reno, NV**	12	8.3%	50.0%
21	662	**San Francisco, CA**	42	78.6%	61.9%
22	600	**Long Beach, CA**	55	38.2%	40.0%
22	605	**Loma Linda, CA**	78	55.1%	79.5%
22	664	**San Diego, CA**	23	26.1%	21.7%
22	691	**West LA, CA**	46	50.0%	32.6%
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				**60.8%**	**58.7%**
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				**57.1%**	**58.6%**
SITE S.D.				**21.4%**	**15.7%**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

Table 24. Clinical Psychiatric Diagnoses by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Alcohol Abuse/ Dependency %	Drug Abuse/ Dependency %	Any Substance Abuse / Dependency %	Serious Mental Illness†† %	Dually Diagnosed††† %
1	518	Bedford, MA	319	73.3%	46.5%	81.8%	68.2%	56.4%
1	523	Boston, MA	30	86.7%	56.7%	90.0%	63.3%	53.3%
1	523A5	Brockton, MA	65	89.2%	63.1%	93.8%	60.0%	56.9%
1	631	Northampton, MA	231	79.2%	43.7%	84.0%	48.5%	39.0%
1	650	Providence, RI	33	54.5%	36.4%	63.6%	75.8%	45.5%
1	689	West Haven, CT	92	64.4%	48.3%	73.0%	65.6%	39.6%
2	528	Buffalo, NY	44	72.7%	68.2%	84.1%	31.8%	27.3%
2	528A5	Canandaigua, NY	38	76.3%	50.0%	81.6%	47.4%	42.1%
2	528A6	Bath, NY	67	80.6%	74.6%	98.5%	37.3%	37.3%
2	528A7	Syracuse, NY	64	78.1%	54.7%	81.3%	57.8%	40.6%
2	528A8	Albany, NY	119	73.9%	51.7%	84.0%	54.6%	41.2%
3	526	Bronx, NY	93	59.1%	83.9%	90.3%	45.2%	38.7%
3	561	E. Orange/Lyons, NJ	160	72.3%	76.7%	92.5%	33.3%	28.9%
3	620	Montrose, NY	174	85.1%	77.6%	94.3%	47.1%	43.1%
3	630A4	Brooklyn, NY	49	87.5%	79.2%	95.9%	49.0%	44.9%
3	632	Northport, NY	13	75.0%	38.5%	92.3%	23.1%	15.4%
4	529	Butler, PA	49	83.7%	73.5%	100.0%	22.4%	22.4%
4	542	Coatesville, PA	265	79.2%	73.2%	94.3%	24.2%	19.6%
4	595	Lebanon, PA	100	82.0%	76.0%	97.0%	31.0%	30.0%
4	642	Philadelphia, PA	46	91.3%	84.8%	95.7%	82.6%	78.3%
4	646A5	Pittsburgh (HD),PA	168	69.0%	72.6%	89.9%	56.5%	49.4%
5	512	Baltimore, MD	21	61.9%	66.7%	81.0%	57.1%	42.9%
5	512A4	Ft. Howard, MD	15	86.7%	73.3%	100.0%	33.3%	33.3%
5	512A5	Perry Point, MD	13	69.2%	53.8%	84.6%	76.9%	69.2%
5	613	Martinsburg, WV	206	63.1%	63.6%	75.2%	56.3%	39.8%
5	688	Washington, DC	93	53.3%	71.4%	82.6%	39.8%	26.9%
6	558	Durham, NC	12	50.0%	33.3%	75.0%	25.0%	16.7%
6	590	Hampton, VA	75	77.3%	78.7%	94.7%	61.3%	58.7%
6	637	Asheville, NC	17	68.8%	62.5%	75.0%	31.3%	12.5%
6	658	Salem, VA	42	76.2%	57.1%	83.3%	42.9%	33.3%
7	508	Atlanta, GA	307	78.8%	84.7%	96.1%	36.2%	32.6%
7	509	Augusta, GA	31	67.7%	71.0%	87.1%	41.9%	35.5%
7	521	Birmingham, AL	47	72.3%	72.3%	87.2%	25.5%	25.5%
7	534	Charleston, SC	53	71.7%	50.9%	84.9%	45.3%	32.1%
7	557	Dublin, GA	15	73.3%	53.3%	80.0%	26.7%	26.7%
7	679	Tuscaloosa, AL	76	78.9%	60.5%	88.2%	28.9%	18.4%
8	516	Bay Pines, FL	165	81.8%	52.1%	90.9%	26.1%	20.6%
8	546	Miami, FL	56	69.6%	71.4%	89.3%	75.0%	66.1%
8	548	West Palm Beach, FL	25	76.0%	32.0%	84.0%	36.0%	32.0%
8	573	Gainesville/Lake City, FL	75	66.7%	50.7%	82.7%	34.7%	21.3%
8	673	Tampa, FL	117	70.9%	46.2%	84.6%	25.0%	19.7%
9	596	Lexington, KY	51	40.0%	20.0%	46.0%	56.0%	20.0%
9	614	Memphis, TN	39	76.9%	79.5%	100.0%	25.6%	25.6%
9	621	Mountain Home, TN	106	67.0%	22.6%	74.5%	28.3%	22.6%
9	626A4	Murfreesboro, TN	156	94.2%	64.7%	94.9%	48.7%	44.2%
10	538	Chillicothe, OH	85	73.8%	52.9%	81.2%	44.7%	30.6%
10	541	Cleveland, OH	145	84.1%	72.4%	91.0%	40.7%	34.5%
10	552	Dayton, OH	20	84.2%	57.9%	94.7%	10.5%	5.3%

Table 24 cont. Clinical Psychiatric Diagnoses by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Alcohol Abuse/ Dependency %	Drug Abuse/ Dependency %	Any Substance Abuse / Dependency %	Serious Mental Illness†† %	Dually Diagnosed††† %
11	515	**Battle Creek, MI**	61	91.7%	73.3%	98.3%	37.7%	37.7%
11	550	**Danville, IL**	34	60.6%	32.4%	67.6%	58.8%	38.2%
11	583	**Indianapolis, IN**	39	51.3%	41.0%	56.4%	59.0%	28.2%
12	556	**North Chicago, IL**	211	75.2%	83.9%	94.8%	37.9%	34.1%
12	578	**Hines, IL**	160	71.9%	70.0%	83.8%	43.8%	31.9%
12	676	**Tomah, WI**	50	86.0%	34.0%	88.0%	34.0%	30.0%
12	695	**Milwaukee, WI**	82	68.8%	57.5%	79.0%	65.4%	46.3%
13	568	**Ft. Meade, SD**	55	87.3%	18.2%	89.1%	41.8%	34.5%
13	568A4	**Hot Springs, SD**	75	87.8%	51.4%	93.3%	57.3%	53.3%
13	618	**Minneapolis, MN**	15	73.3%	66.7%	86.7%	73.3%	60.0%
13	656	**St. Cloud, MN**	181	84.4%	53.6%	90.6%	64.6%	55.8%
14	636A6	**Des Moines, IA**	26	76.9%	53.8%	80.8%	46.2%	30.8%
14	636A7	**Knoxville, IA**	44	59.1%	34.1%	68.2%	77.3%	47.7%
15	589	**Kansas City, MO**	110	74.3%	73.6%	100.0%	30.0%	30.0%
15	677A4	**Leavenworth, KS**	62	98.4%	91.9%	98.4%	75.8%	75.8%
16	520	**Biloxi, MS**	158	68.2%	46.8%	75.9%	33.1%	21.5%
16	580	**Houston, TX**	132	56.8%	52.3%	74.2%	36.4%	22.7%
16	586	**Jackson, MS**	44	59.1%	34.1%	61.4%	22.7%	11.4%
16	598	**Little Rock, AR**	250	80.8%	72.4%	94.0%	32.8%	29.6%
16	635	**Oklahoma City, OK**	51	90.2%	60.0%	98.0%	23.5%	23.5%
17	549	**Dallas, TX**	331	74.5%	77.6%	90.0%	53.0%	45.0%
17	549A4	**Bonham, TX**	65	75.0%	66.1%	95.3%	46.9%	41.5%
17	671	**San Antonio, TX**	25	64.0%	44.0%	76.0%	16.0%	8.0%
17	674	**Temple, TX**	39	61.5%	30.8%	71.8%	23.1%	10.3%
18	644	**Phoenix, AZ**	23	56.5%	34.8%	60.9%	47.8%	34.8%
18	649	**Prescott, AZ**	86	62.8%	34.9%	69.8%	52.3%	36.0%
18	678	**Tucson, AZ**	62	91.9%	72.6%	95.2%	54.8%	50.0%
19	660	**Salt Lake City, UT**	22	45.5%	27.3%	54.5%	81.8%	40.9%
19	666	**Sheridan, WY**	11	81.8%	36.4%	81.8%	81.8%	72.7%
20	463	**Anchorage, AK**	22	90.9%	61.9%	90.9%	33.3%	27.3%
20	648	**Portland, OR**	80	73.8%	50.0%	81.3%	41.3%	31.3%
20	653	**Roseburg, OR**	32	78.1%	56.3%	78.1%	37.5%	28.1%
20	663A4	**American Lake, WA**	56	49.1%	44.4%	59.3%	63.6%	33.9%
20	692	**White City, OR**	87	88.5%	59.8%	93.1%	46.0%	42.5%
21	459	**Honolulu, HI**	18	55.6%	61.1%	77.8%	61.1%	44.4%
21	640	**Palo Alto, CA**	42	69.0%	64.3%	83.3%	38.1%	23.8%
21	654	**Reno, NV**	12	58.3%	25.0%	58.3%	83.3%	50.0%
21	662	**San Francisco, CA**	42	61.9%	66.7%	83.3%	52.4%	40.5%
22	600	**Long Beach, CA**	55	60.0%	49.1%	67.3%	34.5%	18.2%
22	605	**Loma Linda, CA**	78	89.7%	78.2%	98.7%	33.3%	32.1%
22	664	**San Diego, CA**	23	52.2%	56.5%	65.2%	60.9%	34.8%
22	691	**West LA, CA**	46	50.0%	50.0%	73.9%	54.3%	34.8%
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				**74.7%**	**61.8%**	**86.5%**	**45.1%**	**36.3%**
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				**72.7%**	**57.3%**	**83.7%**	**46.1%**	**35.8%**
SITE S.D.				**12.5%**	**17.0%**	**11.9%**	**17.2%**	**14.7%**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

†† Serious mental illness is defined as having a psychiatric diagnosis that falls into one of the following categories: schizophrenia, psychotic disorder (other than schizophrenia), mood disorder and PTSD.

††† Dual Diagnosis is defined as having both a substance abuse disorder and a serious psychiatric disorder.

Table 25. Presence of a Psychiatric Disorder or Disabling Medical Condition by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Any Psychiatric Disorder†† %	Any Disabling Medical Condition %	Any Psychiatric Disorder or Disabling Medical Condition %
1	518	**Bedford, MA**	319	99.4%	61.8%	99.7%
1	523	**Boston, MA**	30	100.0%	30.0%	100.0%
1	523A5	**Brockton, MA**	65	100.0%	63.1%	100.0%
1	631	**Northampton, MA**	231	95.7%	55.8%	99.6%
1	650	**Providence, RI**	33	93.9%	75.8%	100.0%
1	689	**West Haven, CT**	92	98.9%	44.0%	98.9%
2	528	**Buffalo, NY**	44	100.0%	61.4%	100.0%
2	528A5	**Canandaigua, NY**	38	89.5%	63.2%	100.0%
2	528A6	**Bath, NY**	67	98.5%	52.2%	98.5%
2	528A7	**Syracuse, NY**	64	98.4%	62.5%	100.0%
2	528A8	**Albany, NY**	119	97.5%	55.5%	100.0%
3	526	**Bronx, NY**	93	97.8%	59.1%	100.0%
3	561	**E. Orange/Lyons, NJ**	160	96.9%	27.7%	97.5%
3	620	**Montrose, NY**	174	98.3%	42.0%	100.0%
3	630A4	**Brooklyn, NY**	49	100.0%	20.4%	100.0%
3	632	**Northport, NY**	13	100.0%	23.1%	100.0%
4	529	**Butler, PA**	49	100.0%	40.8%	100.0%
4	542	**Coatesville, PA**	265	98.9%	33.6%	100.0%
4	595	**Lebanon, PA**	100	98.0%	22.0%	100.0%
4	642	**Philadelphia, PA**	46	100.0%	19.6%	100.0%
4	646A5	**Pittsburgh (HD),PA**	168	98.2%	38.1%	98.8%
5	512	**Baltimore, MD**	21	95.2%	47.6%	100.0%
5	512A4	**Ft. Howard, MD**	15	100.0%	13.3%	100.0%
5	512A5	**Perry Point, MD**	13	92.3%	38.5%	100.0%
5	613	**Martinsburg, WV**	206	98.1%	35.6%	100.0%
5	688	**Washington, DC**	93	95.7%	31.5%	100.0%
6	558	**Durham, NC**	12	83.3%	83.3%	100.0%
6	590	**Hampton, VA**	75	98.7%	61.3%	98.7%
6	637	**Asheville, NC**	17	100.0%	6.3%	94.1%
6	658	**Salem, VA**	42	97.6%	42.9%	97.6%
7	508	**Atlanta, GA**	307	100.0%	64.5%	100.0%
7	509	**Augusta, GA**	31	93.5%	64.5%	100.0%
7	521	**Birmingham, AL**	47	93.6%	48.9%	100.0%
7	534	**Charleston, SC**	53	98.1%	56.6%	100.0%
7	557	**Dublin, GA**	15	86.7%	60.0%	100.0%
7	679	**Tuscaloosa, AL**	76	98.7%	31.6%	100.0%
8	516	**Bay Pines, FL**	165	96.4%	47.9%	99.4%
8	546	**Miami, FL**	56	100.0%	87.5%	100.0%
8	548	**West Palm Beach, FL**	25	92.0%	68.0%	96.0%
8	573	**Gainesville/Lake City, FL**	75	97.3%	40.0%	100.0%
8	673	**Tampa, FL**	117	91.5%	59.8%	97.4%
9	596	**Lexington, KY**	51	88.0%	82.4%	100.0%
9	614	**Memphis, TN**	39	100.0%	35.9%	100.0%
9	621	**Mountain Home, TN**	106	96.2%	45.3%	99.1%
9	626A4	**Murfreesboro, TN**	156	100.0%	60.3%	100.0%
10	538	**Chillicothe, OH**	85	95.3%	35.3%	98.8%
10	541	**Cleveland, OH**	145	100.0%	6.2%	100.0%
10	552	**Dayton, OH**	20	100.0%	42.1%	100.0%

Table 25 cont. Presence of a Psychiatric Disorder or Disabling Medical Condition by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Any Psychiatric Disorder†† %	Any Disabling Medical Condition %	Any Psychiatric Disorder or Disabling Medical Condition %
11	515	Battle Creek, MI	61	98.4%	31.1%	100.0%
11	550	Danville, IL	34	94.1%	35.3%	97.1%
11	583	Indianapolis, IN	39	89.7%	64.1%	100.0%
12	556	North Chicago, IL	211	98.6%	44.5%	100.0%
12	578	Hines, IL	160	97.5%	77.5%	100.0%
12	676	Tomah, WI	50	96.0%	72.0%	100.0%
12	695	Milwaukee, WI	82	98.8%	68.3%	100.0%
13	568	Ft. Meade, SD	55	100.0%	56.4%	100.0%
13	568A4	Hot Springs, SD	75	97.3%	57.3%	100.0%
13	618	Minneapolis, MN	15	100.0%	53.3%	100.0%
13	656	St. Cloud, MN	181	99.4%	59.1%	100.0%
14	636A6	Des Moines, IA	26	100.0%	34.6%	100.0%
14	636A7	Knoxville, IA	44	97.7%	36.4%	97.7%
15	589	Kansas City, MO	110	100.0%	32.7%	100.0%
15	677A4	Leavenworth, KS	62	98.4%	59.7%	100.0%
16	520	Biloxi, MS	158	88.6%	23.6%	100.0%
16	580	Houston, TX	132	90.9%	62.9%	100.0%
16	586	Jackson, MS	44	77.3%	43.2%	97.7%
16	598	Little Rock, AR	250	97.6%	22.0%	100.0%
16	635	Oklahoma City, OK	51	100.0%	58.8%	100.0%
17	549	Dallas, TX	331	98.2%	54.7%	99.1%
17	549A4	Bonham, TX	65	98.5%	80.0%	100.0%
17	671	San Antonio, TX	25	92.0%	64.0%	100.0%
17	674	Temple, TX	39	100.0%	2.6%	100.0%
18	644	Phoenix, AZ	23	87.0%	45.5%	100.0%
18	649	Prescott, AZ	86	90.7%	70.9%	97.7%
18	678	Tucson, AZ	62	100.0%	50.0%	100.0%
19	660	Salt Lake City, UT	22	95.5%	77.3%	100.0%
19	666	Sheridan, WY	11	90.9%	63.6%	100.0%
20	463	Anchorage, AK	22	95.5%	50.0%	95.5%
20	648	Portland, OR	80	93.8%	61.3%	100.0%
20	653	Roseburg, OR	32	87.5%	46.9%	96.9%
20	663A4	American Lake, WA	56	89.3%	55.4%	96.4%
20	692	White City, OR	87	98.9%	69.0%	100.0%
21	459	Honolulu, HI	18	100.0%	38.9%	100.0%
21	640	Palo Alto, CA	42	97.6%	52.4%	100.0%
21	654	Reno, NV	12	100.0%	66.7%	100.0%
21	662	San Francisco, CA	42	97.6%	64.3%	100.0%
22	600	Long Beach, CA	55	87.3%	29.1%	98.2%
22	605	Loma Linda, CA	78	100.0%	53.8%	100.0%
22	664	San Diego, CA	23	91.3%	69.6%	100.0%
22	691	West LA, CA	46	93.5%	41.3%	100.0%
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				97.0%	48.7%	99.6%
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				96.1%	49.4%	99.4%
SITE S.D.				4.5%	18.2%	1.2%

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

†† Includes substance abuse disorders.

Table 26. Self-Reported Lifetime Hospitalizations for Mental Health Problems by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Ever Hospitalized for Alcohol Problems %	Ever Hospitalized for Drug Problems %	Ever Hospitalized for Psychiatric Problems %	Ever Hospitalized for Any Mental Health Problem %
1	518	Bedford, MA	319	72.1%	42.5%	46.7%	89.7%
1	523	Boston, MA	30	80.0%	48.3%	46.7%	90.0%
1	523A5	Brockton, MA	65	87.7%	50.0%	45.3%	93.8%
1	631	Northampton, MA	231	75.8%	43.7%	39.8%	87.0%
1	650	Providence, RI	33	54.5%	30.3%	69.7%	84.8%
1	689	West Haven, CT	92	59.1%	51.1%	67.4%	90.2%
2	528	Buffalo, NY	44	72.7%	65.9%	38.6%	90.9%
2	528A5	Canandaigua, NY	38	71.1%	55.3%	28.9%	76.3%
2	528A6	Bath, NY	67	65.7%	56.7%	25.4%	80.6%
2	528A7	Syracuse, NY	64	75.0%	50.0%	43.8%	89.1%
2	528A8	Albany, NY	119	66.9%	44.9%	38.8%	82.2%
3	526	Bronx, NY	93	40.2%	57.6%	27.5%	67.4%
3	561	E. Orange/Lyons, NJ	160	56.3%	62.4%	35.5%	78.0%
3	620	Montrose, NY	174	85.1%	79.9%	37.9%	96.0%
3	630A4	Brooklyn, NY	49	91.8%	83.7%	59.2%	100.0%
3	632	Northport, NY	13	100.0%	66.7%	27.3%	100.0%
4	529	Butler, PA	49	81.6%	73.5%	22.4%	100.0%
4	542	Coatesville, PA	265	60.4%	62.5%	29.2%	83.8%
4	595	Lebanon, PA	100	68.0%	63.0%	25.0%	86.0%
4	642	Philadelphia, PA	46	87.0%	84.8%	71.7%	93.5%
4	646A5	Pittsburgh (HD),PA	168	64.3%	67.9%	56.5%	95.2%
5	512	Baltimore, MD	21	57.1%	61.9%	52.4%	90.5%
5	512A4	Ft. Howard, MD	15	73.3%	40.0%	20.0%	73.3%
5	512A5	Perry Point, MD	13	69.2%	53.8%	84.6%	92.3%
5	613	Martinsburg, WV	206	72.8%	65.0%	58.0%	92.2%
5	688	Washington, DC	93	31.2%	36.6%	28.0%	57.0%
6	558	Durham, NC	12	33.3%	25.0%	8.3%	58.3%
6	590	Hampton, VA	75	74.3%	76.0%	64.0%	93.3%
6	637	Asheville, NC	17	94.1%	73.3%	13.3%	100.0%
6	658	Salem, VA	42	76.2%	57.1%	50.0%	95.2%
7	508	Atlanta, GA	307	52.8%	54.7%	32.2%	65.5%
7	509	Augusta, GA	31	67.7%	74.2%	48.4%	90.3%
7	521	Birmingham, AL	47	70.2%	68.1%	31.9%	83.0%
7	534	Charleston, SC	53	54.7%	32.1%	45.3%	79.2%
7	557	Dublin, GA	15	80.0%	60.0%	40.0%	86.7%
7	679	Tuscaloosa, AL	76	75.0%	55.3%	35.5%	90.8%
8	516	Bay Pines, FL	165	83.6%	50.0%	30.7%	93.3%
8	546	Miami, FL	56	66.1%	64.3%	33.9%	85.7%
8	548	West Palm Beach, FL	25	72.0%	28.0%	48.0%	84.0%
8	573	Gainesville/Lake City, FL	75	60.0%	44.0%	33.3%	86.7%
8	673	Tampa, FL	117	44.0%	26.7%	21.4%	56.4%
9	596	Lexington, KY	51	35.3%	17.6%	49.0%	72.5%
9	614	Memphis, TN	39	82.1%	82.1%	30.8%	97.4%
9	621	Mountain Home, TN	106	76.4%	31.1%	29.2%	84.9%
9	626A4	Murfreesboro, TN	156	94.9%	69.2%	59.0%	100.0%
10	538	Chillicothe, OH	85	77.4%	64.3%	45.2%	95.3%
10	541	Cleveland, OH	145	82.1%	73.1%	47.6%	94.5%
10	552	Dayton, OH	20	85.0%	75.0%	10.0%	95.0%

Table 26 cont. Self-Reported Lifetime Hospitalizations for Mental Health Problems by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Ever Hospitalized for Alcohol Problems %	Ever Hospitalized for Drug Problems %	Ever Hospitalized for Psychiatric Problems %	Ever Hospitalized for Any Mental Health Problem %
11	515	**Battle Creek, MI**	61	78.0%	68.9%	37.3%	100.0%
11	550	**Danville, IL**	34	76.5%	38.2%	67.6%	91.2%
11	583	**Indianapolis, IN**	39	37.8%	30.6%	51.3%	66.7%
12	556	**North Chicago, IL**	211	75.8%	85.3%	38.6%	99.1%
12	578	**Hines, IL**	160	69.8%	74.1%	64.2%	96.9%
12	676	**Tomah, WI**	50	88.0%	48.0%	42.0%	90.0%
12	695	**Milwaukee, WI**	82	65.9%	57.3%	59.8%	95.1%
13	568	**Ft. Meade, SD**	55	69.1%	16.4%	40.0%	78.2%
13	568A4	**Hot Springs, SD**	75	89.3%	40.5%	55.4%	96.0%
13	618	**Minneapolis, MN**	15	86.7%	53.3%	73.3%	100.0%
13	656	**St. Cloud, MN**	181	86.0%	58.4%	60.3%	96.7%
14	636A6	**Des Moines, IA**	26	80.8%	53.8%	50.0%	100.0%
14	636A7	**Knoxville, IA**	44	63.6%	36.4%	84.1%	100.0%
15	589	**Kansas City, MO**	110	74.5%	73.6%	46.8%	100.0%
15	677A4	**Leavenworth, KS**	62	100.0%	95.2%	80.6%	100.0%
16	520	**Biloxi, MS**	158	74.1%	50.0%	46.2%	91.8%
16	580	**Houston, TX**	132	48.5%	42.0%	28.8%	71.2%
16	586	**Jackson, MS**	44	56.8%	40.9%	27.9%	70.5%
16	598	**Little Rock, AR**	250	82.0%	72.8%	35.6%	97.2%
16	635	**Oklahoma City, OK**	51	76.5%	54.9%	29.4%	84.3%
17	549	**Dallas, TX**	331	63.0%	64.0%	42.3%	83.1%
17	549A4	**Bonham, TX**	65	76.6%	65.6%	52.3%	98.5%
17	671	**San Antonio, TX**	25	68.0%	44.0%	4.0%	80.0%
17	674	**Temple, TX**	39	76.9%	28.2%	30.8%	87.2%
18	644	**Phoenix, AZ**	23	60.9%	30.4%	43.5%	65.2%
18	649	**Prescott, AZ**	86	62.7%	33.7%	43.4%	78.3%
18	678	**Tucson, AZ**	62	91.9%	72.6%	40.3%	98.4%
19	660	**Salt Lake City, UT**	22	40.9%	27.3%	54.5%	72.7%
19	666	**Sheridan, WY**	11	90.9%	54.5%	81.8%	90.9%
20	463	**Anchorage, AK**	22	86.4%	54.5%	40.9%	90.9%
20	648	**Portland, OR**	80	75.0%	55.0%	32.5%	88.8%
20	653	**Roseburg, OR**	32	78.1%	53.1%	25.0%	84.4%
20	663A4	**American Lake, WA**	56	52.7%	40.7%	43.6%	71.4%
20	692	**White City, OR**	87	74.7%	41.4%	36.8%	86.2%
21	459	**Honolulu, HI**	18	27.8%	38.9%	33.3%	55.6%
21	640	**Palo Alto, CA**	42	78.6%	69.0%	42.9%	95.2%
21	654	**Reno, NV**	12	58.3%	25.0%	58.3%	75.0%
21	662	**San Francisco, CA**	42	33.3%	38.1%	31.0%	64.3%
22	600	**Long Beach, CA**	55	54.5%	41.8%	45.5%	74.5%
22	605	**Loma Linda, CA**	78	46.2%	47.4%	34.6%	67.9%
22	664	**San Diego, CA**	23	34.8%	34.8%	30.4%	65.2%
22	691	**West LA, CA**	46	45.7%	50.0%	56.5%	84.8%
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				**69.4%**	**56.6%**	**42.4%**	**86.7%**
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				**69.0%**	**53.3%**	**42.9%**	**85.9%**
SITE S.D.				**16.6%**	**17.0%**	**16.5%**	**11.8%**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

Table 27. Mode of Discharge from CWT/VI by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Mutually Agreed/Planned Discharge %	Failure to Comply with Program Requirements %	Left Before Planned Discharge %	Other†† %
1	518	**Bedford, MA**	319	**31.7%**	11.6%	51.1%	5.6%
1	523	**Boston, MA**	30	40.0%	**40.0%**	13.3%	6.7%
1	523A5	**Brockton, MA**	65	61.5%	15.4%	23.1%	0.0%
1	631	**Northampton, MA**	231	41.1%	16.0%	32.0%	10.8%
1	650	**Providence, RI**	33	51.5%	6.1%	27.3%	15.2%
1	689	**West Haven, CT**	92	51.1%	7.6%	29.3%	12.0%
2	528	**Buffalo, NY**	44	**31.8%**	**34.1%**	13.6%	21.5%
2	528A5	**Canandaigua, NY**	38	73.7%	13.2%	10.5%	2.6%
2	528A6	**Bath, NY**	67	85.1%	3.0%	11.9%	0.0%
2	528A7	**Syracuse, NY**	64	56.3%	12.5%	15.6%	15.6%
2	528A8	**Albany, NY**	119	48.7%	3.4%	42.9%	5.0%
3	526	**Bronx, NY**	93	47.3%	**29.0%**	5.4%	18.3%
3	561	**E. Orange/Lyons, NJ**	160	66.0%	13.2%	20.1%	0.6%
3	620	**Montrose, NY**	174	52.3%	16.1%	27.6%	4.0%
3	630A4	**Brooklyn, NY**	49	53.1%	**26.5%**	18.4%	2.0%
3	632	**Northport, NY**	13	69.2%	15.4%	15.4%	0.0%
4	529	**Butler, PA**	49	93.9%	4.1%	2.0%	0.0%
4	542	**Coatesville, PA**	265	60.8%	8.7%	28.3%	2.3%
4	595	**Lebanon, PA**	100	60.0%	20.0%	16.0%	4.0%
4	642	**Philadelphia, PA**	46	67.4%	**26.1%**	6.5%	0.0%
4	646A5	**Pittsburgh (HD),PA**	168	54.8%	16.7%	25.0%	3.6%
5	512	**Baltimore, MD**	21	47.6%	9.5%	42.9%	0.0%
5	512A4	**Ft. Howard, MD**	15	86.7%	6.7%	6.7%	0.0%
5	512A5	**Perry Point, MD**	13	53.8%	7.7%	30.8%	7.7%
5	613	**Martinsburg, WV**	206	74.8%	15.0%	6.3%	3.9%
5	688	**Washington, DC**	93	46.2%	**26.9%**	18.3%	8.6%
6	558	**Durham, NC**	12	66.7%	16.7%	16.7%	0.0%
6	590	**Hampton, VA**	75	58.7%	24.0%	14.7%	2.7%
6	637	**Asheville, NC**	17	58.8%	0.0%	41.2%	0.0%
6	658	**Salem, VA**	42	59.5%	21.4%	16.7%	2.4%
7	508	**Atlanta, GA**	307	46.6%	17.6%	33.6%	2.3%
7	509	**Augusta, GA**	31	58.1%	22.6%	12.9%	6.5%
7	521	**Birmingham, AL**	47	51.1%	19.1%	23.4%	6.4%
7	534	**Charleston, SC**	53	41.5%	7.5%	43.4%	7.5%
7	557	**Dublin, GA**	15	80.0%	0.0%	6.7%	13.3%
7	679	**Tuscaloosa, AL**	76	44.7%	**27.6%**	18.4%	9.2%
8	516	**Bay Pines, FL**	165	43.0%	10.9%	35.2%	10.9%
8	546	**Miami, FL**	56	64.3%	16.1%	12.5%	7.1%
8	548	**West Palm Beach, FL**	25	68.0%	12.0%	20.0%	0.0%
8	573	**Gainesville/Lake City, FL**	75	60.0%	14.7%	18.7%	6.7%
8	673	**Tampa, FL**	117	53.8%	2.6%	37.6%	6.0%
9	596	**Lexington, KY**	51	**15.7%**	2.0%	23.5%	58.8%
9	614	**Memphis, TN**	39	38.5%	**25.6%**	30.8%	5.1%
9	621	**Mountain Home, TN**	106	**30.2%**	**29.2%**	35.8%	4.7%
9	626A4	**Murfreesboro, TN**	156	**31.4%**	19.2%	40.4%	9.0%
10	538	**Chillicothe, OH**	85	62.4%	11.8%	16.5%	9.4%
10	541	**Cleveland, OH**	145	48.3%	21.4%	29.0%	1.4%
10	552	**Dayton, OH**	20	40.0%	20.0%	30.0%	10.0%

Table 27 cont. Mode of Discharge from CWT/VI by Site for FY00.†

VISN	SITE		N	Mutually Agreed/Planned Discharge %	Failure to Comply with Program Requirements %	Left Before Planned Discharge %	Other†† %
11	515	**Battle Creek, MI**	61	41.0%	16.4%	36.1%	6.6%
11	550	**Danville, IL**	34	**23.5%**	14.7%	52.9%	8.8%
11	583	**Indianapolis, IN**	39	38.5%	17.9%	35.9%	7.7%
12	556	**North Chicago, IL**	211	80.1%	14.2%	4.3%	1.4%
12	578	**Hines, IL**	160	43.8%	10.6%	31.3%	14.4%
12	676	**Tomah, WI**	50	52.0%	**28.0%**	16.0%	4.0%
12	695	**Milwaukee, WI**	82	45.1%	**28.0%**	23.2%	3.7%
13	568	**Ft. Meade, SD**	55	80.0%	5.5%	12.7%	1.8%
13	568A4	**Hot Springs, SD**	75	**28.0%**	17.3%	50.7%	4.0%
13	618	**Minneapolis, MN**	15	53.3%	6.7%	40.0%	0.0%
13	656	**St. Cloud, MN**	181	51.4%	13.3%	22.7%	12.7%
14	636A6	**Des Moines, IA**	26	80.8%	7.7%	0.0%	11.5%
14	636A7	**Knoxville, IA**	44	81.8%	9.1%	9.1%	0.0%
15	589	**Kansas City, MO**	110	49.1%	24.5%	25.5%	0.9%
15	677A4	**Leavenworth, KS**	62	45.2%	**35.5%**	9.7%	9.7%
16	520	**Biloxi, MS**	158	**34.8%**	20.9%	41.8%	2.5%
16	580	**Houston, TX**	132	71.2%	0.8%	26.5%	1.5%
16	586	**Jackson, MS**	44	63.6%	13.6%	15.9%	6.8%
16	598	**Little Rock, AR**	250	**35.6%**	22.4%	40.0%	2.0%
16	635	**Oklahoma City, OK**	51	**18.0%**	18.0%	50.0%	14.0%
17	549	**Dallas, TX**	331	**36.6%**	13.9%	45.0%	4.5%
17	549A4	**Bonham, TX**	65	84.6%	12.3%	3.1%	0.0%
17	671	**San Antonio, TX**	25	64.0%	8.0%	28.0%	0.0%
17	674	**Temple, TX**	39	**35.9%**	12.8%	51.3%	0.0%
18	644	**Phoenix, AZ**	23	73.9%	8.7%	17.4%	0.0%
18	649	**Prescott, AZ**	86	64.0%	16.3%	16.3%	3.5%
18	678	**Tucson, AZ**	62	51.6%	11.3%	30.6%	6.5%
19	660	**Salt Lake City, UT**	22	77.3%	4.5%	18.2%	0.0%
19	666	**Sheridan, WY**	11	**9.1%**	**54.5%**	36.4%	0.0%
20	463	**Anchorage, AK**	22	63.6%	22.7%	9.1%	4.5%
20	648	**Portland, OR**	80	65.0%	17.5%	12.5%	5.0%
20	653	**Roseburg, OR**	32	40.6%	21.9%	34.4%	3.1%
20	663A4	**American Lake, WA**	56	55.4%	10.7%	19.6%	14.3%
20	692	**White City, OR**	87	57.5%	9.2%	18.4%	14.9%
21	459	**Honolulu, HI**	18	66.7%	11.1%	16.7%	5.6%
21	640	**Palo Alto, CA**	42	54.8%	7.1%	28.6%	9.5%
21	654	**Reno, NV**	12	**25.0%**	0.0%	66.7%	8.3%
21	662	**San Francisco, CA**	42	**33.3%**	7.1%	45.2%	14.3%
22	600	**Long Beach, CA**	55	54.5%	21.8%	10.9%	12.7%
22	605	**Loma Linda, CA**	78	62.8%	14.1%	20.5%	2.6%
22	664	**San Diego, CA**	23	56.5%	8.7%	30.4%	4.3%
22	691	**West LA, CA**	46	56.5%	8.7%	26.1%	8.7%
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				**51.4%**	**15.4%**	**27.2%**	**6.0%**
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				**53.7%**	**15.3%**	**24.7%**	**6.3%**
SITE S.D.				**16.9%**	**9.4%**	**13.6%**	**7.5%**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

†† Veteran became too ill to work in CWT/VI or other unspecified reason for discharge.

Table 28. Hours and Earnings in CWT/VI by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Average Total Hours Worked in CWT/VI	Average Total Earnings in CWT/VI	Average Number of Hours Worked Per Week	Average Mean Weekly Earnings in CWT/VI	Average Mean Hourly Wage in CWT/VI††
1	518	Bedford, MA	319	588.5	$3,725.90	19.4	$150.37	$7.25
1	523	Boston, MA	30	950.4	$7,660.73	31.7	$242.22	$7.35
1	523A5	Brockton, MA	65	512.2	$2,971.65	20.2	$150.25	$6.90
1	631	Northampton, MA	231	352.0	$1,814.76	**18.0**	$86.30	**$4.45**
1	650	Providence, RI	33	368.6	$2,222.27	**9.6**	$59.90	$5.96
1	689	West Haven, CT	92	939.3	$6,332.22	**18.1**	$108.31	$5.16
2	528	Buffalo, NY	44	872.1	$4,520.51	34.7	$184.61	$5.20
2	528A5	Canandaigua, NY	38	437.8	$2,387.79	21.3	$113.35	$5.17
2	528A6	Bath, NY	67	271.3	$1,397.85	28.3	$148.92	$5.14
2	528A7	Syracuse, NY	64	994.4	$5,451.52	35.9	$203.35	$5.38
2	528A8	Albany, NY	119	669.7	$3,484.24	23.1	$115.35	**$4.69**
3	526	Bronx, NY	93	562.2	$3,350.84	33.7	$205.58	$5.98
3	561	E. Orange/Lyons, NJ	160	260.7	$1,344.39	24.5	$141.83	$5.70
3	620	Montrose, NY	174	467.1	$2,694.69	34.6	$206.95	$5.79
3	630A4	Brooklyn, NY	49	535.9	$3,016.92	23.9	$141.31	$5.60
3	632	Northport, NY	13	1126.5	$4,813.46	22.7	$104.94	**$4.14**
4	529	Butler, PA	49	211.1	$1,113.78	**18.9**	$101.90	$5.28
4	542	Coatesville, PA	265	289.2	$1,665.54	27.6	$157.93	$5.54
4	595	Lebanon, PA	100	507.5	$2,963.07	35.5	$209.45	$5.81
4	642	Philadelphia, PA	46	1338.7	$6,476.35	31.0	$198.31	$6.05
4	646A5	Pittsburgh (HD),PA	168	376.3	$1,939.79	30.1	$150.64	**$4.73**
5	512	Baltimore, MD	21	523.4	$2,406.81	26.4	$113.27	**$4.23**
5	512A4	Ft. Howard, MD	15	541.9	$2,833.87	26.3	$138.15	$5.22
5	512A5	Perry Point, MD	13	516.4	$2,319.15	24.9	$111.92	**$4.44**
5	613	Martinsburg, WV	206	414.8	$2,139.36	30.4	$166.46	$5.33
5	688	Washington, DC	93	1142.3	$7,102.78	30.9	$184.81	$6.00
6	558	Durham, NC	12	504.9	$2,783.67	32.1	$177.81	$5.48
6	590	Hampton, VA	75	726.9	$3,790.76	29.5	$168.65	$5.06
6	637	Asheville, NC	17	438.0	$2,364.12	38.1	$209.17	$5.37
6	658	Salem, VA	42	313.7	$1,878.19	23.0	$142.08	$5.86
7	508	Atlanta, GA	307	913.2	$4,817.89	32.6	$173.20	$5.28
7	509	Augusta, GA	31	197.6	$984.55	**11.6**	$50.78	**$4.47**
7	521	Birmingham, AL	47	780.5	$4,104.40	25.3	$133.16	$5.19
7	534	Charleston, SC	53	531.8	$3,054.36	**17.6**	$101.56	$5.61
7	557	Dublin, GA	15	403.9	$2,542.33	24.0	$168.05	$7.71
7	679	Tuscaloosa, AL	76	645.0	$3,321.05	35.5	$190.03	$5.01
8	516	Bay Pines, FL	165	616.9	$3,285.39	28.7	$153.33	$5.29
8	546	Miami, FL	56	849.3	$4,733.96	30.9	$171.94	$5.41
8	548	West Palm Beach, FL	25	738.4	$4,054.04	35.7	$194.68	$5.37
8	573	Gainesville/Lake City, FL	75	342.6	$2,114.92	21.0	$128.47	$5.98
8	673	Tampa, FL	117	729.6	$3,814.91	32.4	$171.16	$5.25
9	596	Lexington, KY	51	561.6	$2,904.52	**16.8**	$91.55	$5.13
9	614	Memphis, TN	39	413.7	$2,131.56	24.1	$125.86	$5.13
9	621	Mountain Home, TN	106	563.4	$2,954.63	30.3	$162.83	$5.23
9	626A4	Murfreesboro, TN	156	441.9	$2,515.52	27.8	$161.68	$5.69
10	538	Chillicothe, OH	85	197.5	$1,254.22	21.0	$138.26	$5.01
10	541	Cleveland, OH	145	633.5	$3,529.12	27.7	$157.56	$5.63
10	552	Dayton, OH	20	459.0	$3,129.90	28.7	$196.61	$6.74

Table 28 cont. Hours and Earnings in CWT/VI by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Average Total Hours Worked in CWT/VI	Average Total Earnings in CWT/VI	Average Number of Hours Worked Per Week	Average Mean Weekly Earnings in CWT/VI	Average Mean Hourly Wage in CWT/VI††
11	515	Battle Creek, MI	61	500.7	$3,894.46	26.8	$208.71	$7.56
11	550	Danville, IL	34	378.1	$2,284.06	24.3	$150.49	$5.80
11	583	Indianapolis, IN	39	1223.7	$6,685.33	29.3	$157.57	$5.33
12	556	North Chicago, IL	211	236.6	$1,307.49	**17.9**	$100.60	$5.33
12	578	Hines, IL	160	656.2	$3,596.02	**19.3**	$108.27	$5.55
12	676	Tomah, WI	50	649.3	$4,632.02	20.9	$148.55	$6.49
12	695	Milwaukee, WI	82	659.6	$5,702.39	24.5	$224.05	$8.90
13	568	Ft. Meade, SD	55	693.2	$3,820.51	24.8	$147.24	$5.53
13	568A4	Hot Springs, SD	75	575.8	$3,337.24	33.2	$192.86	$5.70
13	618	Minneapolis, MN	15	506.7	$2,688.20	31.6	$171.47	$5.32
13	656	St. Cloud, MN	181	186.6	$955.11	**17.2**	$106.97	**$4.66**
14	636A6	Des Moines, IA	26	353.7	$1,830.08	**18.1**	$92.65	$5.00
14	636A7	Knoxville, IA	44	468.7	$2,420.39	22.0	$115.47	$5.07
15	589	Kansas City, MO	110	449.6	$2,696.71	26.2	$164.98	$5.90
15	677A4	Leavenworth, KS	62	526.5	$2,681.68	33.7	$190.38	$5.23
16	520	Biloxi, MS	158	269.6	$1,574.13	27.9	$165.87	$5.69
16	580	Houston, TX	132	261.9	$1,378.74	**17.7**	$92.67	$4.98
16	586	Jackson, MS	44	1005.5	$5,753.20	36.9	$221.14	$5.86
16	598	Little Rock, AR	250	417.3	$2,398.58	35.9	$205.64	$5.50
16	635	Oklahoma City, OK	51	164.1	$984.06	22.1	$133.06	$5.41
17	549	Dallas, TX	331	492.5	$2,796.82	22.8	$119.46	**$4.71**
17	549A4	Bonham, TX	65	524.6	$2,672.45	32.1	$166.83	$5.13
17	671	San Antonio, TX	25	1193.1	$6,440.32	33.8	$185.01	$5.41
17	674	Temple, TX	39	145.8	$602.82	**7.2**	$31.80	**$4.38**
18	644	Phoenix, AZ	23	610.5	$3,213.04	36.6	$208.75	$5.30
18	649	Prescott, AZ	86	232.3	$1,394.94	22.4	$150.11	$5.90
18	678	Tucson, AZ	62	1085.9	$6,047.02	35.6	$196.77	$5.46
19	660	Salt Lake City, UT	22	628.0	$3,258.36	26.0	$137.29	$5.19
19	666	Sheridan, WY	11	282.7	$1,476.55	20.9	$114.43	$5.35
20	463	Anchorage, AK	22	656.6	$3,773.27	28.7	$163.64	$5.60
20	648	Portland, OR	80	419.3	$2,827.56	30.0	$209.64	$6.81
20	653	Roseburg, OR	32	702.9	$4,656.06	31.8	$240.14	$6.53
20	663A4	American Lake, WA	56	422.3	$2,537.36	20.6	$133.82	$6.07
20	692	White City, OR	87	409.0	$2,509.09	23.6	$150.93	$5.89
21	459	Honolulu, HI	18	686.3	$4,231.33	**15.1**	$97.76	$6.29
21	640	Palo Alto, CA	42	1072.9	$8,265.40	28.8	$266.79	$8.44
21	654	Reno, NV	12	60.3	$329.17	**15.0**	$112.78	$5.44
21	662	San Francisco, CA	42	413.0	$2,852.57	**19.1**	$137.81	$6.63
22	600	Long Beach, CA	55	928.3	$5,645.02	29.9	$179.96	$5.59
22	605	Loma Linda, CA	78	759.0	$4,474.15	21.2	$126.50	$5.88
22	664	San Diego, CA	23	462.1	$2,638.17	22.6	$140.78	$5.65
22	691	West LA, CA	46	1355.3	$10,135.24	30.1	$183.70	$5.71
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				**534.9**	**$3,070.87**	**26.1**	**$152.68**	**$5.56**
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				**572.2**	**$3,306.79**	**26.0**	**$153.54**	**$5.62**
SITE S.D.				**280.7**	**$1,785.74**	**6.6**	**$43.54**	**$0.82**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

Table 29. Location of CWT/VI Participation by Site for FY00.†

VISN	SITE	N	VA and/or Community Workshop Only %	VA and/or Community Supported Employment / Transitional Work Experience Only %	Any Workshop Placement %	Any Supported Employment / Transitional Work Experience Placement %
1	518	Bedford, MA	319	8.2%	59.9%	39.9%	91.8%
1	523	Boston, MA	30	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
1	523A5	Brockton, MA	65	13.8%	81.5%	18.5%	86.2%
1	631	Northampton, MA	231	44.6%	0.9%	99.1%	55.4%
1	650	Providence, RI	33	78.8%	6.1%	84.8%	12.1%
1	689	West Haven, CT	92	68.5%	15.2%	84.8%	31.5%
2	528	Buffalo, NY	44	0.0%	97.7%	2.3%	100.0%
2	528A5	Canandaigua, NY	38	23.7%	31.6%	68.4%	76.3%
2	528A6	Bath, NY	67	46.3%	53.7%	46.3%	53.7%
2	528A7	Syracuse, NY	64	1.6%	98.4%	1.6%	98.4%
2	528A8	Albany, NY	119	38.7%	0.0%	100.0%	61.0%
3	526	Bronx, NY	93	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
3	561	E. Orange/Lyons, NJ	160	0.0%	98.1%	0.0%	100.0%
3	620	Montrose, NY	174	1.1%	92.5%	7.5%	98.9%
3	630A4	Brooklyn, NY	49	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
3	632	Northport, NY	13	61.5%	30.8%	66.7%	38.5%
4	529	Butler, PA	49	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
4	542	Coatesville, PA	265	1.1%	98.1%	1.9%	98.9%
4	595	Lebanon, PA	100	23.0%	67.0%	33.0%	77.0%
4	642	Philadelphia, PA	46	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
4	646A5	Pittsburgh (HD),PA	168	49.4%	1.2%	98.8%	50.6%
5	512	Baltimore, MD	21	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
5	512A4	Ft. Howard, MD	15	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
5	512A5	Perry Point, MD	13	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
5	613	Martinsburg, WV	206	6.8%	74.3%	25.7%	93.2%
5	688	Washington, DC	93	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
6	558	Durham, NC	12	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
6	590	Hampton, VA	75	40.0%	29.3%	70.7%	60.0%
6	637	Asheville, NC	17	0.0%	94.1%	0.0%	100.0%
6	658	Salem, VA	42	16.7%	64.3%	35.7%	83.3%
7	508	Atlanta, GA	307	0.0%	37.8%	62.2%	100.0%
7	509	Augusta, GA	31	77.4%	19.4%	80.6%	22.6%
7	521	Birmingham, AL	47	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
7	534	Charleston, SC	53	3.8%	96.2%	3.8%	96.2%
7	557	Dublin, GA	15	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
7	679	Tuscaloosa, AL	76	19.7%	55.3%	43.4%	78.9%
8	516	Bay Pines, FL	165	0.6%	98.2%	0.6%	98.8%
8	546	Miami, FL	56	0.0%	98.2%	1.8%	100.0%
8	548	West Palm Beach, FL	25	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
8	573	Gainesville/Lake City, FL	75	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
8	673	Tampa, FL	117	3.4%	53.8%	46.2%	96.6%
9	596	Lexington, KY	51	80.4%	13.7%	86.3%	19.6%
9	614	Memphis, TN	39	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
9	621	Mountain Home, TN	106	8.5%	27.4%	72.6%	91.5%
9	626A4	Murfreesboro, TN	156	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
10	538	Chillicothe, OH	85	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
10	541	Cleveland, OH	145	29.0%	33.1%	66.9%	71.0%
10	552	Dayton, OH	20	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%

Table 29 cont. Location of CWT/VI Participation by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	VA and/or Community Workshop Only %	VA and/or Community Supported Employment / Transitional Work Experience Only %	Any Workshop Placement %	Any Supported Employment / Transitional Work Experience Placement %
11	515	**Battle Creek, MI**	61	57.4%	1.6%	98.4%	42.6%
11	550	**Danville, IL**	34	8.8%	61.8%	14.7%	67.6%
11	583	**Indianapolis, IN**	39	10.3%	84.6%	15.4%	89.7%
12	556	**North Chicago, IL**	211	0.5%	98.1%	1.9%	99.5%
12	578	**Hines, IL**	160	30.0%	1.3%	98.1%	69.4%
12	676	**Tomah, WI**	50	22.0%	42.0%	58.0%	78.0%
12	695	**Milwaukee, WI**	82	56.1%	24.4%	75.6%	43.9%
13	568	**Ft. Meade, SD**	55	23.6%	18.2%	67.3%	61.8%
13	568A4	**Hot Springs, SD**	75	1.3%	98.7%	1.3%	98.7%
13	618	**Minneapolis, MN**	15	0.0%	33.3%	0.0%	33.3%
13	656	**St. Cloud, MN**	181	56.4%	13.8%	85.6%	43.1%
14	636A6	**Des Moines, IA**	26	11.5%	76.9%	23.1%	88.5%
14	636A7	**Knoxville, IA**	44	50.0%	18.2%	81.8%	50.0%
15	589	**Kansas City, MO**	110	27.3%	0.0%	100.0%	72.7%
15	677A4	**Leavenworth, KS**	62	1.6%	98.4%	1.6%	98.4%
16	520	**Biloxi, MS**	158	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
16	580	**Houston, TX**	132	43.9%	2.3%	97.7%	56.1%
16	586	**Jackson, MS**	44	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
16	598	**Little Rock, AR**	250	4.4%	90.8%	9.2%	95.6%
16	635	**Oklahoma City, OK**	51	62.7%	0.0%	100.0%	37.3%
17	549	**Dallas, TX**	331	65.3%	0.3%	99.7%	34.7%
17	549A4	**Bonham, TX**	65	0.0%	98.5%	1.5%	100.0%
17	671	**San Antonio, TX**	25	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
17	674	**Temple, TX**	39	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
18	644	**Phoenix, AZ**	23	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
18	649	**Prescott, AZ**	86	54.7%	44.2%	55.8%	45.3%
18	678	**Tucson, AZ**	62	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
19	660	**Salt Lake City, UT**	22	0.0%	95.5%	4.5%	100.0%
19	666	**Sheridan, WY**	11	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
20	463	**Anchorage, AK**	22	18.2%	13.6%	86.4%	81.8%
20	648	**Portland, OR**	80	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
20	653	**Roseburg, OR**	32	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
20	663A4	**American Lake, WA**	56	10.7%	80.4%	16.1%	85.7%
20	692	**White City, OR**	87	24.1%	75.9%	24.1%	75.9%
21	459	**Honolulu, HI**	18	0.0%	94.4%	0.0%	94.4%
21	640	**Palo Alto, CA**	42	9.5%	76.2%	23.8%	90.5%
21	654	**Reno, NV**	12	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
21	662	**San Francisco, CA**	42	33.3%	0.0%	100.0%	66.7%
22	600	**Long Beach, CA**	55	16.4%	69.1%	30.9%	83.6%
22	605	**Loma Linda, CA**	78	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
22	664	**San Diego, CA**	23	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%	100.0%
22	691	**West LA, CA**	46	19.6%	45.7%	54.3%	80.4%
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				**20.3%**	**58.1%**	**41.3%**	**79.2%**
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				**18.3%**	**63.8%**	**32.5%**	**78.1%**
SITE S.D.				**25.9%**	**39.4%**	**38.4%**	**29.0%**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

Table 30. Average Work Improvement Score and Improvement in Work Performance Areas Among Veterans with a Problem in That Area by Site for FY00.†, ††

VISN		SITE	N	Average Work Improvement	Personal Appearance/ Hygiene	Attendance/ Punctuality	Acceptance of Supervision	Ability to Get Along with Co-workers	Productivity	Quality of Production
1	518	Bedford, MA	319	1.2	32.0%	28.3%	29.8%	31.3%	31.7%	31.9%
1	523	Boston, MA	30	1.3	44.8%	58.6%	48.3%	44.8%	56.7%	56.7%
1	523A5	Brockton, MA	65	1.3	25.4%	37.1%	36.5%	32.8%	52.3%	51.6%
1	631	Northampton, MA	231	1.6	65.4%	66.2%	66.7%	65.8%	66.7%	66.7%
1	650	Providence, RI	33	1.6	75.8%	69.7%	75.0%	71.9%	71.9%	71.9%
1	689	West Haven, CT	92	1.5	52.3%	54.3%	63.0%	57.6%	58.7%	69.6%
2	528	Buffalo, NY	44	1.1	36.4%	25.0%	40.9%	40.9%	31.8%	34.1%
2	528A5	Canandaigua, NY	38	1.7	57.9%	76.3%	84.2%	86.8%	78.9%	78.9%
2	528A6	Bath, NY	67	1.8	79.1%	82.1%	82.1%	82.1%	77.6%	77.6%
2	528A7	Syracuse, NY	64	1.6	78.1%	59.4%	75.0%	67.2%	68.8%	73.4%
2	528A8	Albany, NY	119	1.6	70.4%	60.7%	58.6%	52.3%	67.2%	58.8%
3	526	Bronx, NY	93	1.7	87.0%	78.5%	83.9%	88.0%	77.4%	79.6%
3	561	E. Orange/Lyons, NJ	160	1.6	66.7%	63.1%	59.1%	64.8%	68.8%	75.6%
3	620	Montrose, NY	174	1.5	58.1%	56.3%	58.6%	58.0%	58.6%	58.0%
3	630A4	Brooklyn, NY	49	1.3	39.6%	36.7%	42.9%	53.1%	49.0%	51.0%
3	632	Northport, NY	13	1.5	53.8%	53.8%	58.3%	53.8%	53.8%	50.0%
4	529	Butler, PA	49	2.0	95.9%	98.0%	100.0%	100.0%	98.0%	98.0%
4	542	Coatesville, PA	265	1.8	93.2%	86.0%	87.5%	87.9%	86.4%	86.4%
4	595	Lebanon, PA	100	1.8	90.0%	82.0%	69.0%	73.0%	84.0%	83.0%
4	642	Philadelphia, PA	46	2.0	100.0%	100.0%	97.8%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
4	646A5	Pittsburgh (HD),PA	168	1.7	60.7%	63.7%	80.4%	78.6%	86.3%	85.7%
5	512	Baltimore, MD	21	1.6	73.7%	61.9%	71.4%	71.4%	76.2%	76.2%
5	512A4	Ft. Howard, MD	15	1.7	73.3%	73.3%	73.3%	73.3%	86.7%	86.7%
5	512A5	Perry Point, MD	13	1.5	38.5%	46.2%	53.8%	61.5%	69.2%	54.5%
5	613	Martinsburg, WV	206	1.6	71.7%	70.9%	70.4%	69.4%	67.8%	70.2%
5	688	Washington, DC	93	1.3	39.1%	43.0%	48.4%	46.2%	40.2%	39.1%
6	558	Durham, NC	12	1.8	83.3%	75.0%	83.3%	83.3%	83.3%	83.3%
6	590	Hampton, VA	75	1.7	73.2%	75.7%	76.0%	70.7%	76.0%	76.0%
6	637	Asheville, NC	17	1.3	47.1%	41.2%	23.5%	23.5%	47.1%	35.3%
6	658	Salem, VA	42	1.8	90.5%	85.7%	85.7%	85.7%	76.2%	78.6%
7	508	Atlanta, GA	307	1.3	99.0%	59.9%	60.6%	59.6%	58.0%	58.6%
7	509	Augusta, GA	31	1.6	64.5%	67.7%	74.2%	71.0%	61.3%	58.1%
7	521	Birmingham, AL	47	1.8	91.5%	83.0%	78.7%	87.2%	83.0%	83.0%
7	534	Charleston, SC	53	1.6	67.9%	62.3%	60.4%	64.2%	67.9%	66.0%
7	557	Dublin, GA	15	1.7	73.3%	78.6%	86.7%	66.7%	60.0%	66.7%
7	679	Tuscaloosa, AL	76	1.7	71.2%	67.1%	84.2%	80.3%	75.0%	81.6%
8	516	Bay Pines, FL	165	1.5	63.6%	51.5%	67.9%	67.9%	55.0%	55.4%
8	546	Miami, FL	56	1.7	82.1%	64.3%	82.1%	78.6%	66.1%	66.1%
8	548	West Palm Beach, FL	25	1.3	48.0%	48.0%	52.0%	52.0%	48.0%	48.0%
8	573	Gainesville/Lake City, FL	75	1.7	70.7%	74.7%	66.7%	60.0%	61.1%	61.1%
8	673	Tampa, FL	117	1.6	74.4%	68.5%	75.8%	76.4%	77.8%	77.8%
9	596	Lexington, KY	51	1.1	6.0%	28.0%	14.0%	12.2%	16.3%	18.4%
9	614	Memphis, TN	39	1.6	71.8%	61.5%	61.5%	61.5%	64.1%	64.1%
9	621	Mountain Home, TN	106	1.6	41.5%	62.3%	69.8%	73.6%	71.7%	75.2%
9	626A4	Murfreesboro, TN	156	1.1	23.1%	25.0%	20.5%	20.5%	25.0%	26.3%
10	538	Chillicothe, OH	85	1.3	43.5%	38.8%	37.6%	38.8%	44.7%	42.4%
10	541	Cleveland, OH	145	1.8	85.5%	77.9%	79.3%	84.8%	80.7%	82.8%
10	552	Dayton, OH	20	1.3	20.0%	20.0%	25.0%	20.0%	60.0%	65.0%

Table 30 cont. Average Work Improvement Score and Improvement in Work Performance Areas Among Veterans with a Problem in That Area by Site for FY00.†, ††

				WORK PERFORMANCE AREAS					
VISN	SITE	N	Average Work Improvement	Personal Appearance/ Hygiene	Attendance/ Punctuality	Acceptance of Supervision	Ability to Get Along with Co-workers	Productivity	Quality of Production
11	515	Battle Creek, MI	61	1.4	55.7%	50.8%	36.1%	41.0%	52.5%	37.7%
11	550	Danville, IL	34	1.3	26.5%	38.2%	41.2%	41.2%	36.4%	36.4%
11	583	Indianapolis, IN	39	1.3	61.5%	30.8%	41.0%	33.3%	41.0%	43.6%
12	556	North Chicago, IL	211	1.9	94.3%	91.0%	92.4%	92.4%	90.5%	90.5%
12	578	Hines, IL	160	1.3	44.5%	35.6%	36.9%	45.0%	45.0%	41.9%
12	676	Tomah, WI	50	1.0	6.0%	6.0%	8.0%	8.0%	6.0%	6.0%
12	695	Milwaukee, WI	82	1.5	60.9%	56.3%	65.0%	71.4%	59.8%	58.0%
13	568	Ft. Meade, SD	55	2.0	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
13	568A4	Hot Springs, SD	75	2.0	97.3%	94.7%	96.0%	96.0%	97.3%	97.3%
13	618	Minneapolis, MN	15	1.5	40.0%	53.3%	53.3%	66.7%	73.3%	73.3%
13	656	St. Cloud, MN	181	1.5	36.5%	44.4%	55.0%	58.9%	80.1%	83.3%
14	636A6	Des Moines, IA	26	1.8	76.9%	92.3%	96.2%	80.8%	61.5%	65.4%
14	636A7	Knoxville, IA	44	1.8	88.6%	86.0%	86.4%	81.8%	83.7%	81.4%
15	589	Kansas City, MO	110	2.0	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%	100.0%
15	677A4	Leavenworth, KS	62	1.8	88.7%	77.4%	82.3%	77.4%	85.5%	83.9%
16	520	Biloxi, MS	158	1.6	55.1%	55.1%	63.3%	65.2%	75.3%	57.0%
16	580	Houston, TX	132	1.9	96.2%	74.2%	93.9%	98.5%	91.7%	95.5%
16	586	Jackson, MS	44	1.8	95.5%	75.0%	75.0%	84.1%	86.4%	88.6%
16	598	Little Rock, AR	250	1.3	51.5%	33.6%	29.0%	30.0%	47.1%	47.9%
16	635	Oklahoma City, OK	51	1.3	41.2%	39.2%	60.8%	54.9%	47.1%	56.0%
17	549	Dallas, TX	331	1.5	66.6%	51.1%	60.6%	60.7%	54.1%	58.2%
17	549A4	Bonham, TX	65	1.9	93.8%	83.1%	87.7%	86.2%	86.2%	84.6%
17	671	San Antonio, TX	25	1.7	64.0%	84.0%	76.0%	84.0%	88.0%	80.0%
17	674	Temple, TX	39	1.8	79.5%	84.6%	84.6%	87.2%	87.2%	87.2%
18	644	Phoenix, AZ	23	1.8	69.6%	82.6%	82.6%	78.3%	87.0%	91.3%
18	649	Prescott, AZ	86	1.6	60.0%	58.8%	58.8%	57.6%	58.8%	57.6%
18	678	Tucson, AZ	62	1.6	64.5%	59.7%	62.9%	61.3%	66.1%	66.1%
19	660	Salt Lake City, UT	22	1.7	57.9%	57.1%	65.0%	60.0%	90.9%	76.2%
19	666	Sheridan, WY	11	1.8	90.9%	90.9%	72.7%	90.9%	90.9%	72.7%
20	463	Anchorage, AK	22	1.6	68.2%	72.7%	68.2%	54.5%	81.8%	77.3%
20	648	Portland, OR	80	2.0	97.6%	94.4%	95.9%	97.3%	93.8%	96.3%
20	653	Roseburg, OR	32	1.7	65.6%	75.0%	71.9%	71.9%	78.1%	78.1%
20	663A4	American Lake, WA	56	1.6	64.3%	53.6%	57.1%	62.5%	64.3%	66.1%
20	692	White City, OR	87	1.7	72.4%	82.8%	81.6%	81.6%	83.9%	82.8%
21	459	Honolulu, HI	18	1.8	83.3%	83.3%	82.4%	72.2%	72.2%	77.8%
21	640	Palo Alto, CA	42	1.5	45.9%	59.5%	48.8%	61.0%	57.1%	57.1%
21	654	Reno, NV	12	1.2	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	41.7%	50.0%	50.0%
21	662	San Francisco, CA	42	1.3	47.6%	41.5%	40.5%	46.3%	46.3%	46.3%
22	600	Long Beach, CA	55	1.6	62.3%	55.6%	67.3%	70.9%	80.0%	76.4%
22	605	Loma Linda, CA	78	1.8	73.1%	87.2%	85.9%	82.1%	87.2%	85.9%
22	664	San Diego, CA	23	1.5	62.5%	38.1%	61.1%	66.7%	81.8%	80.0%
22	691	West LA, CA	46	1.7	63.0%	60.9%	58.7%	58.7%	84.8%	87.0%
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)			**1.57**	**66.4%**	**61.4%**	**64.5%**	**65.0%**	**66.7%**	**66.8%**
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)			**1.59**	**65.1%**	**63.1%**	**65.6%**	**65.7%**	**68.4%**	**67.9%**
SITE S.D.			**0.23**	**22.1%**	**20.7%**	**20.9%**	**20.8%**	**19.4%**	**19.5%**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

††Average Work Improvement score is the mean of five work performance areas where the range is 0-2 (0=deteriorated, 1=unchanged and 2=improved).

Table 31. Clinical Improvement Noted Among Veterans with Problems by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Alcohol Problems Improved %	Drug Problems Improved %	Non-Substance Abuse Mental Health Problems Improved %	Medical Problems Improved %
1	518	**Bedford, MA**	319	28.2%	27.2%	20.3%	14.9%
1	523	**Boston, MA**	30	28.6%	35.0%	23.3%	20.7%
1	523A5	**Brockton, MA**	65	66.1%	70.5%	53.5%	38.6%
1	631	**Northampton, MA**	231	64.9%	66.0%	24.6%	21.8%
1	650	**Providence, RI**	33	76.9%	81.8%	74.2%	59.4%
1	689	**West Haven, CT**	92	54.4%	58.1%	44.6%	41.9%
2	528	**Buffalo, NY**	44	55.6%	51.6%	22.7%	6.7%
2	528A5	**Canandaigua, NY**	38	83.3%	75.0%	80.0%	57.1%
2	528A6	**Bath, NY**	67	89.6%	89.6%	89.6%	89.6%
2	528A7	**Syracuse, NY**	64	70.4%	71.4%	55.6%	43.1%
2	528A8	**Albany, NY**	119	49.0%	42.2%	35.8%	17.9%
3	526	**Bronx, NY**	93	72.7%	63.4%	44.2%	25.0%
3	561	**E. Orange/Lyons, NJ**	160	77.0%	78.8%	15.6%	25.0%
3	620	**Montrose, NY**	174	59.4%	56.6%	48.6%	30.9%
3	630A4	**Brooklyn, NY**	49	62.2%	63.6%	54.3%	42.9%
3	632	**Northport, NY**	13	58.3%	50.0%	0.0%	14.3%
4	529	**Butler, PA**	49	97.6%	100.0%	90.9%	50.0%
4	542	**Coatesville, PA**	265	91.7%	90.5%	63.1%	44.7%
4	595	**Lebanon, PA**	100	84.1%	86.1%	20.0%	16.7%
4	642	**Philadelphia, PA**	46	90.9%	90.9%	89.1%	94.3%
4	646A5	**Pittsburgh (HD),PA**	168	75.2%	74.2%	41.4%	12.7%
5	512	**Baltimore, MD**	21	71.4%	84.6%	61.5%	55.6%
5	512A4	**Ft. Howard, MD**	15	76.9%	69.2%	57.1%	0.0%
5	512A5	**Perry Point, MD**	13	70.0%	71.4%	72.7%	16.7%
5	613	**Martinsburg, WV**	206	69.4%	71.1%	64.8%	62.2%
5	688	**Washington, DC**	93	37.3%	33.8%	9.3%	4.1%
6	558	**Durham, NC**	12	66.7%	75.0%	100.0%	75.0%
6	590	**Hampton, VA**	75	63.8%	60.3%	43.9%	13.7%
6	637	**Asheville, NC**	17	50.0%	46.2%	16.7%	0.0%
6	658	**Salem, VA**	42	75.8%	75.0%	65.0%	81.3%
7	508	**Atlanta, GA**	307	69.6%	70.2%	68.2%	41.9%
7	509	**Augusta, GA**	31	42.3%	42.9%	50.0%	4.5%
7	521	**Birmingham, AL**	47	64.7%	73.0%	85.7%	78.9%
7	534	**Charleston, SC**	53	85.4%	85.7%	51.9%	33.3%
7	557	**Dublin, GA**	15	83.3%	90.0%	55.6%	44.4%
7	679	**Tuscaloosa, AL**	76	63.9%	67.9%	51.7%	50.0%
8	516	**Bay Pines, FL**	165	43.8%	49.5%	40.0%	27.0%
8	546	**Miami, FL**	56	84.2%	70.0%	51.3%	29.5%
8	548	**West Palm Beach, FL**	25	50.0%	30.0%	40.0%	0.0%
8	573	**Gainesville/Lake City, FL**	75	64.0%	65.9%	60.0%	34.5%
8	673	**Tampa, FL**	117	79.3%	82.5%	67.7%	40.9%
9	596	**Lexington, KY**	51	15.2%	4.7%	14.9%	6.5%
9	614	**Memphis, TN**	39	64.9%	65.7%	51.9%	46.2%
9	621	**Mountain Home, TN**	106	36.6%	5.2%	5.1%	3.3%
9	626A4	**Murfreesboro, TN**	156	46.4%	46.3%	20.9%	2.9%
10	538	**Chillicothe, OH**	85	40.6%	32.1%	35.3%	20.9%
10	541	**Cleveland, OH**	145	71.0%	71.4%	72.0%	33.3%
10	552	**Dayton, OH**	20	61.1%	60.0%	40.0%	14.3%

Table 31 cont. Clinical Improvement Noted Among Veterans with Problems by Site for FY00.†

VISN	SITE	N	Alcohol Problems Improved %	Drug Problems Improved %	Non-Substance Abuse Mental Health Problems Improved %	Medical Problems Improved %
11	515	**Battle Creek, MI**	61	45.9%	35.0%	26.7%	21.7%
11	550	**Danville, IL**	34	35.5%	32.1%	43.8%	19.0%
11	583	**Indianapolis, IN**	39	34.6%	33.3%	24.0%	13.0%
12	556	**North Chicago, IL**	211	83.6%	85.6%	65.5%	45.2%
12	578	**Hines, IL**	160	39.4%	37.6%	38.8%	18.9%
12	676	**Tomah, WI**	50	26.2%	23.5%	16.7%	28.1%
12	695	**Milwaukee, WI**	82	75.0%	72.0%	71.2%	51.7%
13	568	**Ft. Meade, SD**	55	100.0%	86.4%	95.5%	88.6%
13	568A4	**Hot Springs, SD**	75	77.6%	92.3%	88.5%	75.0%
13	618	**Minneapolis, MN**	15	100.0%	90.0%	91.7%	9.1%
13	656	**St. Cloud, MN**	181	57.1%	53.2%	36.8%	24.5%
14	636A6	**Des Moines, IA**	26	92.0%	86.4%	65.2%	68.8%
14	636A7	**Knoxville, IA**	44	72.5%	70.0%	79.1%	67.4%
15	589	**Kansas City, MO**	110	84.3%	80.2%	83.3%	71.9%
15	677A4	**Leavenworth, KS**	62	62.3%	64.4%	55.2%	55.4%
16	520	**Biloxi, MS**	158	64.3%	75.0%	65.5%	50.0%
16	580	**Houston, TX**	132	93.2%	95.7%	88.1%	72.5%
16	586	**Jackson, MS**	44	50.0%	57.9%	66.7%	37.0%
16	598	**Little Rock, AR**	250	66.3%	64.2%	18.9%	14.1%
16	635	**Oklahoma City, OK**	51	72.7%	64.5%	27.3%	3.1%
17	549	**Dallas, TX**	331	51.5%	48.8%	39.4%	25.7%
17	549A4	**Bonham, TX**	65	81.6%	78.9%	72.7%	85.0%
17	671	**San Antonio, TX**	25	60.0%	72.7%	50.0%	30.8%
17	674	**Temple, TX**	39	41.7%	50.0%	37.5%	0.0%
18	644	**Phoenix, AZ**	23	66.7%	66.7%	54.5%	25.0%
18	649	**Prescott, AZ**	86	79.7%	87.1%	64.1%	88.0%
18	678	**Tucson, AZ**	62	63.2%	60.9%	55.6%	52.9%
19	660	**Salt Lake City, UT**	22	76.9%	60.0%	78.9%	33.3%
19	666	**Sheridan, WY**	11	50.0%	40.0%	88.9%	50.0%
20	463	**Anchorage, AK**	22	72.7%	72.7%	63.6%	54.5%
20	648	**Portland, OR**	80	94.9%	93.5%	90.9%	94.7%
20	653	**Roseburg, OR**	32	45.8%	55.6%	52.2%	40.9%
20	663A4	**American Lake, WA**	56	57.6%	58.8%	56.9%	54.3%
20	692	**White City, OR**	87	71.4%	71.2%	60.0%	68.3%
21	459	**Honolulu, HI**	18	80.0%	91.7%	69.2%	16.7%
21	640	**Palo Alto, CA**	42	60.6%	65.5%	41.7%	20.0%
21	654	**Reno, NV**	12	42.9%	75.0%	70.0%	14.3%
21	662	**San Francisco, CA**	42	40.5%	38.9%	23.5%	16.7%
22	600	**Long Beach, CA**	55	55.3%	55.6%	46.8%	37.8%
22	605	**Loma Linda, CA**	78	81.4%	79.0%	75.0%	56.1%
22	664	**San Diego, CA**	23	69.2%	80.0%	52.9%	33.3%
22	691	**West LA, CA**	46	45.5%	47.8%	38.5%	44.0%
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				**63.6%**	**63.0%**	**47.3%**	**35.2%**
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				**64.5%**	**63.6%**	**52.9%**	**37.6%**
SITE S.D.				**18.4%**	**20.5%**	**23.0%**	**25.0%**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

Table 32. Veterans' Employment Status at Discharge by Site for FY00.†

VISN	SITE	N	Ready for Competitive Employment %	Competitively Employed at Discharge %	Employed in VA's IT %	Student, Trainee or Unpaid Volunteer %	Unemployed %	Retired/ Disabled %	Unknown %	Other %
1	518	Bedford, MA	319	41.5%	27.3%	0.0%	2.2%	35.4%	3.8%	28.5%	2.8%
1	523	Boston, MA	30	23.3%	30.0%	0.0%	0.0%	43.3%	16.7%	0.0%	10.0%
1	523A5	Brockton, MA	65	52.3%	26.6%	1.6%	3.1%	23.4%	3.1%	18.8%	23.4%
1	631	Northampton, MA	231	49.8%	45.9%	0.0%	1.7%	18.2%	13.9%	19.0%	1.3%
1	650	Providence, RI	33	24.2%	21.2%	0.0%	6.1%	12.1%	39.4%	9.1%	12.1%
1	689	West Haven, CT	92	49.5%	37.0%	3.3%	4.3%	12.0%	17.4%	20.7%	5.4%
2	528	Buffalo, NY	44	45.5%	34.1%	0.0%	4.5%	36.4%	18.2%	4.5%	2.3%
2	528A5	Canandaigua, NY	38	73.7%	47.4%	13.2%	5.3%	5.3%	2.6%	23.7%	2.6%
2	528A6	Bath, NY	67	86.6%	56.7%	1.5%	7.5%	16.4%	0.0%	11.9%	6.0%
2	528A7	Syracuse, NY	64	65.6%	46.9%	0.0%	6.3%	15.6%	15.6%	9.4%	6.3%
2	528A8	Albany, NY	119	51.3%	42.0%	0.0%	1.7%	9.2%	20.2%	24.4%	2.5%
3	526	Bronx, NY	93	50.5%	30.1%	0.0%	5.4%	45.2%	17.2%	2.2%	0.0%
3	561	E. Orange/Lyons, NJ	160	98.1%	59.7%	0.0%	3.1%	18.2%	0.0%	15.1%	3.8%
3	620	Montrose, NY	174	51.7%	36.2%	1.7%	2.9%	24.7%	1.7%	22.4%	10.3%
3	630A4	Brooklyn, NY	49	65.3%	44.9%	0.0%	8.2%	40.8%	4.1%	2.0%	0.0%
3	632	Northport, NY	13	53.8%	53.8%	15.4%	0.0%	7.7%	7.7%	0.0%	15.4%
4	529	Butler, PA	49	95.9%	44.9%	0.0%	2.0%	36.7%	4.1%	0.0%	12.2%
4	542	Coatesville, PA	265	84.2%	35.5%	0.8%	0.8%	6.4%	7.5%	22.6%	26.4%
4	595	Lebanon, PA	100	70.0%	51.0%	0.0%	0.0%	41.0%	5.0%	3.0%	0.0%
4	642	Philadelphia, PA	46	78.3%	23.9%	0.0%	0.0%	76.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
4	646A5	Pittsburgh (HD),PA	168	38.7%	29.2%	3.6%	5.4%	20.2%	17.3%	20.2%	4.2%
5	512	Baltimore, MD	21	66.7%	52.4%	0.0%	9.5%	33.3%	4.8%	0.0%	0.0%
5	512A4	Ft. Howard, MD	15	86.7%	60.0%	0.0%	6.7%	33.3%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
5	512A5	Perry Point, MD	13	69.2%	30.8%	0.0%	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	30.8%	7.7%
5	613	Martinsburg, WV	206	79.1%	48.1%	1.5%	2.9%	19.4%	21.8%	1.9%	4.4%
5	688	Washington, DC	93	44.1%	46.2%	0.0%	4.3%	40.9%	7.5%	0.0%	1.1%
6	558	Durham, NC	12	100.0%	41.7%	8.3%	16.7%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	8.3%
6	590	Hampton, VA	75	78.4%	49.3%	0.0%	1.3%	33.3%	5.3%	10.7%	0.0%
6	637	Asheville, NC	17	75.0%	41.2%	5.9%	0.0%	11.8%	5.9%	35.3%	0.0%
6	658	Salem, VA	42	42.9%	31.0%	2.4%	2.4%	57.1%	4.8%	0.0%	2.4%
7	508	Atlanta, GA	307	52.8%	47.2%	0.0%	2.3%	2.0%	4.9%	42.3%	1.3%
7	509	Augusta, GA	31	35.5%	32.3%	9.7%	3.2%	12.9%	22.6%	12.9%	6.5%
7	521	Birmingham, AL	47	68.1%	61.7%	0.0%	2.1%	4.3%	6.4%	19.1%	6.4%
7	534	Charleston, SC	53	73.6%	60.4%	0.0%	9.4%	0.0%	15.1%	11.3%	3.8%
7	557	Dublin, GA	15	85.7%	60.0%	0.0%	6.7%	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	6.7%
7	679	Tuscaloosa, AL	76	77.6%	35.5%	0.0%	3.9%	34.2%	6.6%	17.1%	2.6%
8	516	Bay Pines, FL	165	51.5%	33.3%	0.0%	1.2%	32.1%	14.5%	13.3%	5.5%
8	546	Miami, FL	56	58.9%	51.8%	1.8%	1.8%	12.5%	12.5%	16.1%	3.6%
8	548	West Palm Beach, FL	25	56.0%	56.0%	0.0%	0.0%	24.0%	8.0%	12.0%	0.0%
8	573	Gainesville/Lake City, FL	75	76.0%	54.7%	0.0%	4.0%	6.7%	12.0%	22.7%	0.0%
8	673	Tampa, FL	117	72.2%	65.0%	0.0%	4.3%	8.5%	4.3%	15.4%	2.6%
9	596	Lexington, KY	51	20.0%	9.8%	0.0%	2.0%	29.4%	45.1%	11.8%	2.0%
9	614	Memphis, TN	39	43.6%	30.8%	0.0%	7.7%	25.6%	17.9%	17.9%	0.0%
9	621	Mountain Home, TN	106	91.5%	38.7%	1.9%	1.9%	27.4%	5.7%	23.6%	0.9%
9	626A4	Murfreesboro, TN	156	67.3%	28.8%	0.0%	0.6%	39.7%	26.9%	3.2%	0.6%
10	538	Chillicothe, OH	85	67.1%	47.1%	3.5%	1.2%	21.2%	20.0%	5.9%	1.2%
10	541	Cleveland, OH	145	57.2%	40.0%	0.0%	2.1%	46.2%	3.4%	6.2%	2.1%
10	552	Dayton, OH	20	55.0%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	20.0%	15.0%	0.0%	15.0%

Table 32 cont. Veterans' Employment Status at Discharge by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Ready for Competitive Employment %	Competitively Employed at Discharge %	Employed in VA's IT %	Student, Trainee or Unpaid Volunteer %	Unemployed %	Retired/ Disabled %	Unknown %	Other %
11	515	Battle Creek, MI	61	50.8%	42.6%	4.9%	6.6%	31.1%	6.6%	1.6%	6.6%
11	550	Danville, IL	34	61.8%	23.5%	0.0%	0.0%	32.4%	11.8%	29.4%	2.9%
11	583	Indianapolis, IN	39	42.1%	28.2%	12.8%	2.6%	25.6%	2.6%	10.3%	17.9%
12	556	North Chicago, IL	211	78.7%	66.4%	0.5%	0.9%	25.1%	5.7%	0.5%	0.9%
12	578	Hines, IL	160	41.5%	41.9%	0.0%	3.8%	24.4%	18.1%	11.3%	0.6%
12	676	Tomah, WI	50	30.6%	20.0%	2.0%	0.0%	48.0%	22.0%	6.0%	2.0%
12	695	Milwaukee, WI	82	42.7%	36.6%	6.1%	8.5%	20.7%	12.2%	9.8%	6.1%
13	568	Ft. Meade, SD	55	70.9%	52.7%	0.0%	5.5%	10.9%	20.0%	7.3%	3.6%
13	568A4	Hot Springs, SD	75	89.3%	44.0%	0.0%	5.3%	28.0%	16.0%	4.0%	2.7%
13	618	Minneapolis, MN	15	86.7%	66.7%	6.7%	6.7%	13.3%	0.0%	6.7%	0.0%
13	656	St. Cloud, MN	181	50.8%	27.6%	5.0%	1.1%	27.6%	21.5%	14.9%	2.2%
14	636A6	Des Moines, IA	26	57.7%	57.7%	0.0%	0.0%	7.7%	26.9%	3.8%	3.8%
14	636A7	Knoxville, IA	44	61.4%	47.7%	0.0%	0.0%	13.6%	22.7%	15.9%	0.0%
15	589	Kansas City, MO	110	47.3%	39.1%	0.9%	0.9%	44.5%	0.9%	13.6%	0.0%
15	677A4	Leavenworth, KS	62	51.6%	29.0%	6.5%	1.6%	21.0%	9.7%	30.6%	1.6%
16	520	Biloxi, MS	158	44.9%	46.2%	1.3%	0.0%	41.1%	3.2%	7.0%	1.3%
16	580	Houston, TX	132	83.3%	56.1%	0.0%	9.1%	15.9%	8.3%	10.6%	0.0%
16	586	Jackson, MS	44	61.4%	40.9%	0.0%	15.9%	13.6%	6.8%	22.7%	0.0%
16	598	Little Rock, AR	250	72.4%	43.6%	4.0%	1.6%	32.0%	17.2%	1.6%	0.0%
16	635	Oklahoma City, OK	51	26.0%	14.0%	2.0%	2.0%	24.0%	6.0%	46.0%	6.0%
17	549	Dallas, TX	331	32.3%	25.7%	0.3%	2.1%	40.5%	7.9%	17.8%	5.7%
17	549A4	Bonham, TX	65	78.5%	36.9%	1.5%	9.2%	23.1%	7.7%	7.7%	13.8%
17	671	San Antonio, TX	25	72.0%	44.0%	0.0%	4.0%	32.0%	0.0%	12.0%	8.0%
17	674	Temple, TX	39	66.7%	25.6%	12.8%	0.0%	23.1%	0.0%	35.9%	2.6%
18	644	Phoenix, AZ	23	69.6%	69.6%	0.0%	4.3%	8.7%	4.3%	8.7%	4.3%
18	649	Prescott, AZ	86	90.7%	40.7%	0.0%	0.0%	44.2%	4.7%	10.5%	0.0%
18	678	Tucson, AZ	62	61.3%	53.2%	0.0%	1.6%	17.7%	4.8%	22.6%	0.0%
19	660	Salt Lake City, UT	22	81.8%	68.2%	13.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	18.2%	0.0%
19	666	Sheridan, WY	11	63.6%	36.4%	0.0%	9.1%	0.0%	0.0%	54.5%	0.0%
20	463	Anchorage, AK	22	68.2%	63.6%	0.0%	9.1%	13.6%	9.1%	4.5%	0.0%
20	648	Portland, OR	80	81.3%	50.0%	3.8%	12.5%	13.8%	8.8%	8.8%	2.5%
20	653	Roseburg, OR	32	90.6%	34.4%	0.0%	3.1%	40.6%	0.0%	18.8%	3.1%
20	663A4	American Lake, WA	56	68.5%	50.0%	8.9%	14.3%	16.1%	3.6%	7.1%	0.0%
20	692	White City, OR	87	67.8%	46.0%	0.0%	1.1%	31.0%	13.8%	6.9%	1.1%
21	459	Honolulu, HI	18	61.1%	55.6%	0.0%	16.7%	5.6%	11.1%	0.0%	11.1%
21	640	Palo Alto, CA	42	46.2%	45.2%	4.8%	0.0%	14.3%	14.3%	16.7%	4.8%
21	654	Reno, NV	12	16.7%	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	8.3%	16.7%	33.3%	0.0%
21	662	San Francisco, CA	42	35.7%	33.3%	2.4%	2.4%	21.4%	9.5%	28.6%	2.4%
22	600	Long Beach, CA	55	54.5%	41.8%	7.3%	1.8%	21.8%	9.1%	16.4%	1.8%
22	605	Loma Linda, CA	78	59.0%	30.8%	0.0%	33.3%	10.3%	12.8%	11.5%	1.3%
22	664	San Diego, CA	23	47.8%	47.8%	17.4%	4.3%	13.0%	4.3%	13.0%	0.0%
22	691	West LA, CA	46	73.3%	56.5%	0.0%	2.2%	13.0%	10.9%	17.4%	0.0%
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				60.4%	41.3%	1.5%	3.4%	24.8%	10.4%	14.6%	3.9%
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				61.4%	42.3%	2.4%	4.4%	22.9%	10.1%	13.8%	4.0%
SITE S.D.				18.8%	13.0%	4.0%	5.1%	14.3%	8.6%	11.2%	5.1%

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

Table 33. Veterans' Arrangements for Housing After Discharge by Site for FY00.†

VISN		SITE	N	Housed†† %	Transitional Housing or Halfway House %	Hospital, Nursing Home or Domiciliary %	Homeless / Unknown %	Other %
1	518	**Bedford, MA**	319	37.6%	10.7%	6.6%	42.0%	3.1%
1	523	**Boston, MA**	30	56.7%	3.3%	16.7%	23.3%	0.0%
1	523A5	**Brockton, MA**	65	46.2%	30.8%	4.6%	18.5%	0.0%
1	631	**Northampton, MA**	231	41.1%	10.0%	7.8%	39.4%	1.7%
1	650	**Providence, RI**	33	72.7%	0.0%	6.1%	12.1%	9.1%
1	689	**West Haven, CT**	92	60.4%	5.5%	2.2%	29.7%	2.2%
2	528	**Buffalo, NY**	44	88.6%	0.0%	0.0%	9.1%	2.3%
2	528A5	**Canandaigua, NY**	38	63.2%	2.6%	18.4%	15.8%	0.0%
2	528A6	**Bath, NY**	67	46.3%	31.3%	1.5%	16.4%	4.5%
2	528A7	**Syracuse, NY**	64	68.8%	17.2%	4.7%	9.4%	0.0%
2	528A8	**Albany, NY**	119	63.9%	3.4%	1.7%	31.1%	0.0%
3	526	**Bronx, NY**	93	68.8%	2.2%	0.0%	29.0%	0.0%
3	561	**E. Orange/Lyons, NJ**	160	43.0%	7.0%	15.8%	33.5%	0.6%
3	620	**Montrose, NY**	174	56.9%	2.3%	11.5%	27.6%	1.7%
3	630A4	**Brooklyn, NY**	49	42.9%	46.9%	4.1%	4.1%	2.0%
3	632	**Northport, NY**	13	30.8%	15.4%	15.4%	15.4%	23.1%
4	529	**Butler, PA**	49	28.6%	4.1%	67.3%	0.0%	0.0%
4	542	**Coatesville, PA**	265	17.0%	9.1%	53.2%	20.0%	0.8%
4	595	**Lebanon, PA**	100	66.0%	20.0%	4.0%	8.0%	2.0%
4	642	**Philadelphia, PA**	46	65.2%	26.1%	8.7%	0.0%	0.0%
4	646A5	**Pittsburgh (HD),PA**	168	60.7%	12.5%	8.3%	17.3%	1.2%
5	512	**Baltimore, MD**	21	47.6%	33.3%	4.8%	14.3%	0.0%
5	512A4	**Ft. Howard, MD**	15	26.7%	66.7%	6.7%	0.0%	0.0%
5	512A5	**Perry Point, MD**	13	15.4%	23.1%	23.1%	38.5%	0.0%
5	613	**Martinsburg, WV**	206	47.6%	15.5%	34.5%	2.4%	0.0%
5	688	**Washington, DC**	93	67.7%	19.4%	0.0%	10.8%	2.2%
6	558	**Durham, NC**	12	58.3%	16.7%	0.0%	25.0%	0.0%
6	590	**Hampton, VA**	75	58.7%	9.3%	9.3%	22.7%	0.0%
6	637	**Asheville, NC**	17	43.8%	25.0%	0.0%	31.3%	0.0%
6	658	**Salem, VA**	42	83.3%	7.1%	4.8%	2.4%	2.4%
7	508	**Atlanta, GA**	307	33.6%	22.8%	0.7%	42.7%	0.3%
7	509	**Augusta, GA**	31	54.8%	9.7%	6.5%	25.8%	3.2%
7	521	**Birmingham, AL**	47	66.0%	10.6%	4.3%	17.0%	2.1%
7	534	**Charleston, SC**	53	83.0%	0.0%	3.8%	9.4%	3.8%
7	557	**Dublin, GA**	15	73.3%	0.0%	20.0%	6.7%	0.0%
7	679	**Tuscaloosa, AL**	76	69.7%	3.9%	1.3%	19.7%	5.3%
8	516	**Bay Pines, FL**	165	39.4%	4.8%	21.8%	29.1%	4.8%
8	546	**Miami, FL**	56	70.9%	12.7%	7.3%	9.1%	0.0%
8	548	**West Palm Beach, FL**	25	36.0%	36.0%	4.0%	24.0%	0.0%
8	573	**Gainesville/Lake City, FL**	75	68.0%	4.0%	0.0%	26.7%	1.3%
8	673	**Tampa, FL**	117	70.1%	4.3%	3.4%	20.5%	1.7%
9	596	**Lexington, KY**	51	70.6%	3.9%	11.8%	13.7%	0.0%
9	614	**Memphis, TN**	39	76.9%	5.1%	5.1%	12.8%	0.0%
9	621	**Mountain Home, TN**	106	41.5%	4.7%	24.5%	29.2%	0.0%
9	626A4	**Murfreesboro, TN**	156	45.5%	21.2%	7.7%	25.0%	0.6%
10	538	**Chillicothe, OH**	85	44.7%	10.6%	31.8%	9.4%	3.5%
10	541	**Cleveland, OH**	145	63.4%	4.1%	8.3%	22.8%	1.4%
10	552	**Dayton, OH**	20	80.0%	10.0%	0.0%	10.0%	0.0%

Table 33 cont. Veterans' Arrangements for Housing After Discharge by Site for FY99.†

VISN		SITE	N	Housed†† %	Transitional Housing or Halfway House %	Hospital, Nursing Home or Domiciliary %	Homeless / Unknown %	Other %
11	515	**Battle Creek, MI**	61	54.1%	19.7%	9.8%	13.1%	3.3%
11	550	**Danville, IL**	34	44.1%	0.0%	8.8%	41.2%	5.9%
11	583	**Indianapolis, IN**	39	92.3%	0.0%	0.0%	2.6%	5.1%
12	556	**North Chicago, IL**	211	27.5%	18.5%	45.5%	8.1%	0.5%
12	578	**Hines, IL**	160	60.6%	15.6%	6.3%	16.9%	0.6%
12	676	**Tomah, WI**	50	38.8%	14.3%	8.2%	36.7%	2.0%
12	695	**Milwaukee, WI**	82	53.7%	4.9%	28.0%	13.4%	0.0%
13	568	**Ft. Meade, SD**	55	83.6%	3.6%	3.6%	5.5%	3.6%
13	568A4	**Hot Springs, SD**	75	70.7%	2.7%	13.3%	13.3%	0.0%
13	618	**Minneapolis, MN**	15	20.0%	33.3%	6.7%	33.3%	6.7%
13	656	**St. Cloud, MN**	181	57.5%	2.8%	22.1%	17.7%	0.0%
14	636A6	**Des Moines, IA**	26	73.1%	0.0%	26.9%	0.0%	0.0%
14	636A7	**Knoxville, IA**	44	81.8%	2.3%	9.1%	6.8%	0.0%
15	589	**Kansas City, MO**	110	47.3%	9.1%	4.5%	36.4%	2.7%
15	677A4	**Leavenworth, KS**	62	43.5%	4.8%	22.6%	29.0%	0.0%
16	520	**Biloxi, MS**	158	47.5%	0.6%	37.3%	13.9%	0.6%
16	580	**Houston, TX**	132	50.0%	32.6%	2.3%	14.4%	0.8%
16	586	**Jackson, MS**	44	68.2%	2.3%	2.3%	27.3%	0.0%
16	598	**Little Rock, AR**	250	67.2%	11.6%	11.6%	6.8%	2.8%
16	635	**Oklahoma City, OK**	51	46.0%	4.0%	8.0%	40.0%	2.0%
17	549	**Dallas, TX**	331	37.6%	6.4%	14.8%	39.4%	1.8%
17	549A4	**Bonham, TX**	65	49.2%	10.8%	23.1%	16.9%	0.0%
17	671	**San Antonio, TX**	25	88.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.0%	0.0%
17	674	**Temple, TX**	39	17.9%	0.0%	17.9%	61.5%	2.6%
18	644	**Phoenix, AZ**	23	69.6%	13.0%	0.0%	13.0%	4.3%
18	649	**Prescott, AZ**	86	64.0%	0.0%	9.3%	25.6%	1.2%
18	678	**Tucson, AZ**	62	43.5%	27.4%	4.8%	24.2%	0.0%
19	660	**Salt Lake City, UT**	22	86.4%	0.0%	0.0%	13.6%	0.0%
19	666	**Sheridan, WY**	11	63.6%	0.0%	0.0%	36.4%	0.0%
20	463	**Anchorage, AK**	22	72.7%	0.0%	9.1%	18.2%	0.0%
20	648	**Portland, OR**	80	47.5%	20.0%	23.8%	7.5%	1.3%
20	653	**Roseburg, OR**	32	65.6%	0.0%	0.0%	25.0%	9.4%
20	663A4	**American Lake, WA**	56	85.7%	12.5%	0.0%	1.8%	0.0%
20	692	**White City, OR**	87	27.6%	8.0%	46.0%	16.1%	2.3%
21	459	**Honolulu, HI**	18	61.1%	33.3%	0.0%	5.6%	0.0%
21	640	**Palo Alto, CA**	42	66.7%	9.5%	4.8%	14.3%	4.8%
21	654	**Reno, NV**	12	58.3%	0.0%	0.0%	41.7%	0.0%
21	662	**San Francisco, CA**	42	45.2%	9.5%	0.0%	40.5%	4.8%
22	600	**Long Beach, CA**	55	67.3%	9.1%	1.8%	21.8%	0.0%
22	605	**Loma Linda, CA**	78	75.6%	7.7%	1.3%	14.1%	1.3%
22	664	**San Diego, CA**	23	73.9%	13.0%	0.0%	8.7%	4.3%
22	691	**West LA, CA**	46	71.7%	6.5%	2.2%	17.4%	2.2%
VETERAN AVERAGE (N=7,414)				**52.1%**	**10.8%**	**13.6%**	**21.9%**	**1.5%**
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				**57.0%**	**11.3%**	**10.4%**	**19.5%**	**1.8%**
SITE S.D.				**17.9%**	**11.9%**	**12.8%**	**12.4%**	**3.0%**

† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

†† Includes own apartment, room or house; apartment, room or house of friend or family member.

Table 34. Percent and Direction From Median Performance of CWT/VI Sites: Critical Outcome Monitor Measures for FY00.†, ††, †††, ††††

| | | Site Median Value | | 1.60 | 66.1% | 74.2% | 51.3% | 24.5% | 43.6% | 24.7% | 13.6% |
| | | Veteran Average | | 1.60 | 63.6% | 63.1% | 47.3% | 35.2% | 41.4% | 24.8% | 14.6% |

VISN	SITE	SITE	#VETS	AVERAGE WORK IMPROVEMENT	ALCOHOL PROBLEMS IMPROVED††† %	DRUG PROBLEMS IMPROVED††† %	MENTAL HEALTH PROBLEMS IMPROVED††† %	MEDICAL PROBLEMS IMPROVED††† %	COMPETITIVELY EMPLOYED AT DISCHARGE %	UNEMPLOYED AT DISCHARGE %	EMPLOYMENT STATUS UNKNOWN AT DISCHARGE %
1	518	**Bedford, MA**	319	**-0.34**	**-32.8%**	**-29.6%**	**-36.3%**	**-11.5%**	**-9.6%**	16.3%	14.4%
1	523	**Boston, MA**	30	**-0.23**	**-69.3%**	**-64.8%**	**-86.8%**	-20.7%	-7.3%	23.8%	-14.3%
1	523A5	**Brockton, MA**	65	**-0.21**	0.0%	4.2%	1.7%	12.0%	-11.7%	2.9%	4.8%
1	631	**Northampton, MA**	231	0.07	12.9%	14.9%	**-26.8%**	-11.5%	6.4%	-2.0%	5.1%
1	650	**Providence, RI**	33	0.08	24.8%	28.7%	24.0%	20.3%	-14.3%	-7.0%	-2.8%
1	689	**West Haven, CT**	92	-0.04	1.8%	-9.9%	-15.3%	0.9%	1.7%	-7.1%	6.1%
2	528	**Buffalo, NY**	58	**-0.47**	-19.0%	-24.8%	**-45.9%**	**-35.7%**	-11.1%	16.7%	-8.9%
2	528A5	Canandaigua, NY	38	0.08	22.8%	7.1%	***	***	2.5%	-16.3%	8.6%
2	528A6	Bath, NY	67	0.19	38.7%	35.8%	40.3%	63.2%	11.8%	-3.4%	-2.6%
2	528A7	Syracuse, NY	64	0.00	1.2%	11.3%	-16.9%	0.2%	8.0%	-4.8%	-4.8%
2	528A8	Albany, NY	105	0.04	-9.1%	-11.9%	-13.0%	-12.1%	2.6%	-10.0%	7.6%
3	526	**Bronx, NY**	93	0.15	15.1%	-13.9%	**-46.1%**	**-54.8%**	-3.7%	23.5%	-13.2%
3	561	**E. Orange/Lyons, NJ**	160	0.01	15.9%	15.0%	**-33.6%**	-1.1%	11.8%	-1.4%	1.9%
3	620	**Montrose, NY**	174	-0.11	-5.0%	-11.5%	-11.3%	1.6%	-5.1%	0.0%	8.9%
3	630A4	**Brooklyn, NY**	49	**-0.23**	-4.3%	-8.3%	-12.8%	15.9%	2.9%	21.5%	-12.7%
3	632	**Northport, NY**	13	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	-15.2%
4	529	**Butler, PA**	49	0.37	44.3%	46.2%	34.5%	21.5%	-1.8%	15.4%	-13.9%
4	542	**Coatesville, PA**	265	0.27	42.1%	37.0%	14.5%	9.7%	**-9.3%**	-13.9%	8.6%
4	595	**Lebanon, PA**	100	0.17	22.8%	27.3%	**-35.1%**	-24.6%	4.7%	21.5%	-11.7%
4	642	Philadelphia, PA	46	0.42	35.7%	36.7%	33.2%	65.9%	**-19.2%**	56.0%	-14.0%
4	646A5	Pittsburgh (HD),PA	168	0.13	5.3%	0.0%	-11.3%	**-22.9%**	**-12.8%**	0.9%	6.9%
5	512	**Baltimore, MD**	21	-0.03	7.5%	18.7%	-20.3%	***	10.3%	16.6%	-13.0%
5	512A4	**Ft. Howard, MD**	15	0.08	14.8%	-5.4%	***	***	14.3%	15.9%	-14.1%
5	512A5	**Perry Point, MD**	13	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	17.2%
5	613	**Martinsburg, WV**	206	0.01	4.5%	6.2%	-0.7%	27.7%	6.4%	-0.6%	-10.5%
5	688	**Washington, DC**	93	**-0.28**	**-25.3%**	**-50.5%**	**-46.8%**	**-32.1%**	1.3%	21.6%	-13.4%
6	558	**Durham, NC**	12	0.23	***	***	***	***	-4.0%	-18.4%	13.2%
6	590	**Hampton, VA**	75	0.11	3.2%	-6.0%	**-21.0%**	**-21.2%**	7.1%	12.8%	-3.5%
6	637	**Asheville, NC**	17	***	***	***	***	***	***	***	34.3%
6	658	**Salem, VA**	42	0.28	30.8%	27.1%	17.0%	69.5%	-11.5%	38.4%	-12.8%
7	508	**Atlanta, GA**	307	**-0.26**	-0.1%	-0.2%	15.7%	10.9%	1.5%	-18.5%	28.5%
7	509	**Augusta, GA**	31	0.07	-10.7%	-12.8%	-5.2%	-24.9%	-7.8%	-6.3%	0.2%
7	521	**Birmingham, AL**	47	0.25	12.9%	19.6%	40.6%	60.9%	16.0%	-13.7%	6.2%
7	534	**Charleston, SC**	53	0.05	29.4%	32.1%	1.3%	6.5%	17.1%	-19.7%	-1.6%
7	557	**Dublin, GA**	15	0.09	32.1%	36.3%	***	***	9.6%	-12.1%	1.1%
7	679	**Tuscaloosa, AL**	76	0.11	-6.2%	-2.1%	-10.8%	18.1%	-10.8%	16.0%	2.1%

Table 34. Percent and Direction From Median Performance of CWT/VI Sites: Critical Outcome Monitor Measures for FY00.†, ††, †††, ††††

| | | Site Median Value | | 1.60 | 66.1% | 74.2% | 51.3% | 24.5% | 43.6% | 24.7% | 13.6% |
| | | Veteran Average | | 1.60 | 63.6% | 63.1% | 47.3% | 35.2% | 41.4% | 24.8% | 14.6% |

VISN	SITE	SITE	#VETS	AVERAGE WORK IMPROVEMENT	ALCOHOL PROBLEMS IMPROVED††† %	DRUG PROBLEMS IMPROVED††† %	MENTAL HEALTH PROBLEMS IMPROVED††† %	MEDICAL PROBLEMS IMPROVED††† %	COMPETITIVELY EMPLOYED AT DISCHARGE %	UNEMPLOYED AT DISCHARGE %	EMPLOYMENT STATUS UNKNOWN AT DISCHARGE %
8	516	**Bay Pines, FL**	165	-0.05	**-42.1%**	**-26.0%**	**-27.8%**	**-21.0%**	**-9.6%**	**11.0%**	-0.4%
8	546	Miami, FL	56	0.13	30.4%	-2.9%	0.0%	-0.5%	11.0%	-8.2%	2.7%
8	548	West Palm Beach, FL	25	**-0.24**	-24.8%	***	-31.6%	**-46.6%**	15.1%	4.4%	-4.6%
8	573	Gainesville/Lake City, FL	75	0.07	11.9%	1.0%	16.4%	12.0%	10.2%	-14.3%	9.3%
8	673	Tampa, FL	117	0.02	8.7%	10.2%	-4.2%	-11.1%	11.5%	-9.5%	3.1%
9	596	**Lexington, KY**	51	**-0.44**	**-32.0%**	**-41.5%**	**-48.6%**	**-24.5%**	**-18.4%**	11.6%	-0.6%
9	614	Memphis, TN	39	0.06	2.1%	10.0%	-0.2%	26.5%	-11.6%	4.6%	5.6%
9	621	**Mountain Home, TN**	106	0.02	**-23.1%**	**-55.6%**	**-45.7%**	**-33.3%**	**-11.3%**	8.7%	**11.1%**
9	626A4	**Murfreesboro, TN**	156	**-0.44**	**-25.4%**	**-23.9%**	**-52.9%**	**-29.0%**	**-12.5%**	**20.4%**	-9.6%
10	538	**Chillicothe, OH**	85	**-0.25**	-17.6%	**-27.4%**	**-26.7%**	-15.2%	7.7%	2.9%	-8.2%
10	541	Cleveland, OH	145	0.21	8.2%	10.2%	21.9%	***	-2.6%	**25.6%**	-7.6%
10	552	Dayton, OH	20	**-0.32**	0.0%	-2.7%	***	***	-6.9%	-1.1%	-13.3%
11	515	**Battle Creek, MI**	61	**-0.21**	-14.2%	**-24.6%**	**-23.6%**	-12.2%	-4.8%	11.6%	-11.9%
11	550	**Danville, IL**	34	**-0.26**	-30.1%	**-34.0%**	-12.5%	-1.2%	-18.4%	**19.1%**	**17.6%**
11	583	**Indianapolis, IN**	39	-0.19	**-42.6%**	**-56.7%**	**-42.4%**	-19.2%	-5.4%	9.4%	-0.8%
12	556	North Chicago, IL	211	0.32	25.6%	27.6%	11.3%	18.2%	22.4%	5.0%	-13.1%
12	578	**Hines, IL**	160	**-0.28**	**-29.2%**	**-26.6%**	**-28.8%**	**-23.2%**	5.0%	5.8%	-2.0%
12	676	**Tomah, WI**	50	**-0.59**	**-49.2%**	**-42.2%**	**-52.4%**	-19.9%	**-23.1%**	**28.1%**	-7.3%
12	695	Milwaukee, WI	82	-0.07	9.4%	1.7%	15.7%	21.2%	-3.4%	2.0%	-2.9%
13	568	**Ft. Meade, SD**	55	0.44	54.8%	41.9%	50.6%	71.3%	12.4%	-7.8%	-5.6%
13	568A4	**Hot Springs, SD**	75	0.36	-3.8%	28.5%	26.2%	69.2%	-7.6%	12.5%	-8.6%
13	618	**Minneapolis, MN**	15	-0.09	50.4%	***	44.4%	-11.2%	21.6%	-5.7%	-5.4%
13	656	**St. Cloud, MN**	181	0.01	2.2%	-0.8%	-13.4%	0.0%	-5.6%	8.1%	-0.6%
14	636A6	**Des Moines, IA**	26	0.19	45.1%	37.1%	16.5%	39.9%	13.5%	-11.8%	-9.0%
14	636A7	**Knoxville, IA**	44	0.30	25.9%	21.0%	36.7%	45.5%	6.6%	-4.9%	5.7%
15	589	**Kansas City, MO**	110	0.41	21.7%	11.8%	23.8%	42.6%	-7.3%	**23.5%**	0.0%
15	677A4	**Leavenworth, KS**	62	0.21	-10.8%	-9.0%	-12.5%	24.0%	-12.9%	0.1%	**17.2%**
16	520	**Biloxi, MS**	158	-0.01	0.6%	20.3%	-16.1%	11.3%	-4.7%	**28.1%**	-5.1%
16	580	**Houston, TX**	132	0.27	40.5%	40.9%	40.0%	36.6%	11.1%	-4.9%	-2.5%
16	586	**Jackson, MS**	44	0.17	**-39.7%**	**-34.5%**	-10.0%	-5.9%	-8.4%	-4.0%	11.5%
16	598	**Little Rock, AR**	250	**-0.31**	-2.8%	-7.8%	**-33.4%**	**-12.9%**	0.0%	**12.6%**	-11.7%
16	635	**Oklahoma City, OK**	51	**-0.28**	14.7%	-9.6%	**-75.5%**	**-64.6%**	**-30.8%**	4.5%	**33.4%**
17	549	**Dallas, TX**	331	-0.10	-1.2%	-12.3%	-12.9%	-4.5%	**-17.1%**	**20.1%**	5.2%
17	549A4	**Bonham, TX**	65	0.26	18.7%	19.9%	24.3%	57.8%	-9.0%	3.4%	-5.5%
17	671	**San Antonio, TX**	25	0.13	-11.9%	7.8%	***	-8.4%	-3.8%	13.3%	-0.2%
17	674	**Temple, TX**	39	0.23	-28.5%	-8.8%	***	***	**-20.0%**	2.3%	**24.5%**

Table 34. Percent and Direction From Median Performance of CWT/VI Sites: Critical Outcome Monitor Measures for FY00.†, ††, †††, ††††

| | | | | Site Median Value | 1.60 | 66.1% | 74.2% | 51.3% | 24.5% | 43.6% | 24.7% | 13.6% |
| | | | | Veteran Average | 1.60 | 63.6% | 63.1% | 47.3% | 35.2% | 41.4% | 24.8% | 14.6% |

VISN	SITE	SITE	#VETS	AVERAGE WORK IMPROVEMENT	ALCOHOL PROBLEMS IMPROVED††† %	DRUG PROBLEMS IMPROVED††† %	MENTAL HEALTH PROBLEMS IMPROVED††† %	MEDICAL PROBLEMS IMPROVED††† %	COMPETITIVELY EMPLOYED AT DISCHARGE %	UNEMPLOYED AT DISCHARGE %	EMPLOYMENT STATUS UNKNOWN AT DISCHARGE %
18	644	**Phoenix, AZ**	23	0.18	-10.4%	***	-22.8%	***	21.7%	-8.7%	-1.2%
18	649	**Prescott, AZ**	86	-0.03	18.9%	31.5%	7.6%	62.6%	-2.0%	**22.0%**	-0.6%
18	678	**Tucson, AZ**	62	0.01	0.8%	-3.8%	-3.7%	17.5%	9.6%	-3.9%	9.8%
19	660	**Salt Lake City, UT**	22	0.15	30.2%	***	41.5%	-6.6%	30.2%	-20.1%	8.6%
19	666	**Sheridan, WY**	11	0.32	-18.1%	***	***	***	5.8%	-20.7%	**41.4%**
20	463	**Anchorage, AK**	22	0.03	25.7%	19.9%	10.0%	18.2%	20.2%	-4.7%	-8.7%
20	648	**Portland, OR**	80	0.35	44.7%	35.5%	38.7%	68.8%	2.7%	-4.9%	-4.2%
20	653	**Roseburg, OR**	32	0.10	-26.1%	-18.6%	2.7%	10.1%	-10.7%	**20.5%**	6.3%
20	663A4	**American Lake, WA**	56	-0.03	-4.3%	-14.7%	0.0%	25.1%	11.7%	-0.5%	-7.4%
20	692	**White City, OR**	87	0.14	10.1%	13.3%	1.9%	32.5%	1.5%	**11.6%**	-6.3%
21	459	**Honolulu, HI**	18	0.21	14.5%	37.1%	28.2%	***	18.6%	-12.9%	-13.4%
21	640	**Palo Alto, CA**	42	-0.07	-8.0%	0.5%	-26.4%	-14.2%	2.7%	-3.1%	3.9%
21	654	**Reno, NV**	12	**-0.30**	***	***	5.3%	***	-15.0%	-11.2%	**22.4%**
21	662	**San Francisco, CA**	42	**-0.28**	-19.9%	**-29.2%**	**-53.0%**	**-23.1%**	-3.7%	1.6%	**15.0%**
22	600	**Long Beach, CA**	55	0.08	-7.1%	-2.4%	-5.0%	20.6%	0.6%	4.7%	4.3%
22	605	**Loma Linda, CA**	78	0.25	22.3%	18.3%	21.3%	27.7%	**-13.2%**	-8.6%	-1.7%
22	664	**San Diego, CA**	23	-0.02	6.0%	26.8%	-12.7%	2.1%	16.4%	-4.7%	0.7%
22	691	**West LA, CA**	46	0.10	-9.7%	-4.1%	-20.0%	11.6%	16.4%	-5.8%	5.0%

† Outcomes have been adjusted for the following veteran characteristics: Age, race, marital status, education, previous employment history, receipt of disability benefits, history of psychiatric hospitalizations, and clinical psychiatric diagnoses; including serious psychiatric illness and substance abuse problems.

†† The following sites were excluded from this table because they had data on fewer than 11 discharges during FY00: VISN 6, Richmond; VISN 11, Ann Arbor; VISN 15, Columbia, Poplar Bluff and Topeka; VISN 17, Waco; VISN 18, Albuquerque, El Paso; and VISN 20, Seattle.

††† Only veterans with a problem in the clinical area were included in this analysis.

†††† Sites that are statistically different from the median site in the undesireable direction, after adjusting for admission characteristics, are considered outliers and are shaded. In the table,

and direction from the median site.

*** Data is not recorded for site because the number of veterans with the clinical problem is fewer than 10.

Table 35. Summary of Outliers by Site for FY00.

VISN		SITE	N	PROGRAM PARTICIPATION CRITICAL MONITORS	ADJUSTED OUTCOME MONITORS	TOTAL NUMBER OF OUTLIERS
1	518	Bedford, MA	319	1	8	9
1	523	Boston, MA	30	1	5	6
1	523A5	Brockton, MA	65	0	1	1
1	631	Northampton, MA	231	2	1	3
1	650	Providence, RI	33	1	0	1
1	689	West Haven, CT	92	1	0	1
2	528	Buffalo, NY	58	2	4	6
2	528A5	Canandaigua, NY	38	0	0	0
2	528A6	Bath, NY	67	0	0	0
2	528A7	Syracuse, NY	64	0	0	0
2	528A8	Albany, NY	105	1	0	1
3	526	Bronx, NY	93	1	3	4
3	561	E. Orange/Lyons, NJ	160	0	1	1
3	620	Montrose, NY	174	0	1	1
3	630A4	Brooklyn, NY	49	1	2	3
3	632	Northport, NY	13	1	0	1
4	529	Butler, PA	49	1	1	2
4	542	Coatesville, PA	265	0	2	2
4	595	Lebanon, PA	100	0	2	2
4	642	Philadelphia, PA	46	1	2	3
4	646A5	Pittsburgh (HD),PA	168	1	2	3
5	512	Baltimore, MD	21	1	0	1
5	512A4	Ft. Howard, MD	15	0	0	0
5	512A5	Perry Point, MD	13	1	0	1
5	613	Martinsburg, WV	206	0	0	0
5	688	Washington, DC	93	1	6	7
6	558	Durham, NC	12	0	0	0
6	590	Hampton, VA	75	0	3	3
6	637	Asheville, NC	17	0	1	1
6	658	Salem, VA	42	0	1	1
7	508	Atlanta, GA	307	0	2	2
7	509	Augusta, GA	31	2	0	2
7	521	Birmingham, AL	47	0	0	0
7	534	Charleston, SC	53	1	0	1
7	557	Dublin, GA	15	0	0	0
7	679	Tuscaloosa, AL	76	1	1	2
8	516	Bay Pines, FL	165	0	6	6
8	546	Miami, FL	56	0	0	0
8	548	West Palm Beach, FL	25	0	2	2
8	573	Gainesville/Lake City, FL	75	0	0	0
8	673	Tampa, FL	117	0	0	0
9	596	Lexington, KY	51	2	6	8
9	614	Memphis, TN	39	1	0	1
9	621	Mountain Home, TN	106	2	6	8
9	626A4	Murfreesboro, TN	156	1	7	8
10	538	Chillicothe, OH	85	0	3	3
10	541	Cleveland, OH	145	0	1	1
10	552	Dayton, OH	20	0	1	1

Table 35. Summary of Outliers by Site for FY00.

VISN		SITE	N	PROGRAM PARTICIPATION CRITICAL MONITORS	ADJUSTED OUTCOME MONITORS	TOTAL NUMBER OF OUTLIERS
11	515	Battle Creek, MI	61	0	3	3
11	550	Danville, IL	34	1	4	5
11	583	Indianapolis, IN	39	0	3	3
12	556	North Chicago, IL	211	1	0	1
12	578	Hines, IL	160	1	5	6
12	676	Tomah, WI	50	1	6	7
12	695	Milwaukee, WI	82	1	0	1
13	568	Ft. Meade, SD	55	0	0	0
13	568A4	Hot Springs, SD	75	1	0	1
13	618	Minneapolis, MN	15	0	0	0
13	656	St. Cloud, MN	181	2	0	2
14	636A6	Des Moines, IA	26	1	0	1
14	636A7	Knoxville, IA	44	0	0	0
15	589	Kansas City, MO	110	0	1	1
15	677A4	Leavenworth, KS	62	1	1	2
16	520	Biloxi, MS	158	1	1	2
16	580	Houston, TX	132	1	0	1
16	586	Jackson, MS	44	0	2	2
16	598	Little Rock, AR	250	1	4	5
16	635	Oklahoma City, OK	51	1	5	6
17	549	Dallas, TX	331	2	2	4
17	549A4	Bonham, TX	65	0	0	0
17	671	San Antonio, TX	25	0	0	0
17	674	Temple, TX	39	3	0	3
18	644	Phoenix, AZ	23	0	0	0
18	649	Prescott, AZ	86	0	1	1
18	678	Tucson, AZ	62	0	0	0
19	660	Salt Lake City, UT	22	0	0	0
19	666	Sheridan, WY	11	2	1	3
20	463	Anchorage, AK	22	0	0	0
20	648	Portland, OR	80	0	0	0
20	653	Roseburg, OR	32	0	1	1
20	663A4	American Lake, WA	56	0	0	0
20	692	White City, OR	87	0	1	1
21	459	Honolulu, HI	18	1	0	1
21	640	Palo Alto, CA	42	0	0	0
21	654	Reno, NV	12	2	2	4
21	662	San Francisco, CA	42	2	5	7
22	600	Long Beach, CA	55	0	0	0
22	605	Loma Linda, CA	78	0	1	1
22	664	San Diego, CA	23	0	0	0
22	691	West LA, CA	46	0	0	0
SITE AVERAGE (N=90)				0.6	1.4	2.0
SITE S.D.				0.7	2.0	2.3

Table 36a. Adjusted Average Work Improvement, Direction from Median Site by Fiscal Year.†, ††

VISN	SITE	FY96 Average Work Improvement	FY98 Average Work Improvement	FY99 Average Work Improvement	FY00 Average Work Improvement
1	Bedford, MA	**-0.41**	-0.39	**-0.27**	**-0.34**
1	Boston, MA	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	**-0.23**
1	Brockton, MA	0.18	0.08	-0.05	**-0.21**
1	Manchester, NH	**-0.43**	***	*program closed*	*program closed*
1	Northampton, MA	0.03	0.09	0.03	0.07
1	Providence, RI	-0.12	-0.08	-0.09	0.08
1	West Haven, CT	-0.01	-0.11	0.21	-0.04
1	White River Junction, VT	*n.a.*	***	***	*program closed*
2	Buffalo, NY	**-0.42**	**-0.50**	**-0.54**	**-0.47**
2	Canandaigua, NY	0.00	**-0.19**	-0.01	0.08
2	Bath, NY	0.48	0.42	0.39	0.19
2	Syracuse, NY	0.08	0.03	**-0.24**	0.00
2	Albany, NY	**-0.34**	**-0.22**	-0.09	0.04
3	Bronx, NY	0.01	0.42	0.07	0.15
3	New Jersey HCS	*see below*	*see below*	-0.01	0.01
3	East Orange, NJ	-0.08	0.23	*see above*	*see above*
3	Lyons, NJ	0.12	0.28	*see above*	*see above*
3	Montrose, NY	**-0.35**	-0.10	**-0.16**	-0.11
3	Brooklyn, NY	*n.a.*	-0.08	-0.10	**-0.23**
3	Northport, NY	0.08	0.23	0.10	***
4	Butler, PA	***	0.24	0.36	0.37
4	Coatesville, PA	0.04	0.24	0.31	0.27
4	Lebanon, PA	0.07	0.00	0.10	0.17
4	Philadelphia, PA	***	0.46	0.46	0.42
4	Pittsburgh, PA	**-0.59**	**-0.14**	0.05	0.13
5	Baltimore, MD	***	0.17	0.32	-0.03
5	Fort Howard, MD	*n.a.*	***	0.02	0.08
5	Perry Point, MD	0.10	-0.12	0.25	***
5	Martinsburg, WV	*n.a.*	***	-0.08	0.01
5	Washington DC	**-0.54**	**-0.31**	-0.13	**-0.28**
6	Durham, NC	0.02	0.03	-0.02	0.23
6	Hampton, VA	0.18	-0.06	0.11	0.11
6	Asheville, NC	***	***	***	***
6	Richmond, VA	**-0.30**	**-0.28**	-0.01	*program closed*
6	Salem, VA	**-0.36**	**-0.62**	0.16	0.28
7	Atlanta, GA	**0.16**	**-0.23**	**-0.37**	**-0.26**
7	Augusta, GA	-0.01	-0.02	0.08	0.07
7	Birmingham, AL	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	0.38	0.25
7	Charleston, SC	**-0.21**	0.09	0.00	0.05
7	Dublin, GA	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	0.09
7	Tuscaloosa, AL	-0.05	0.11	0.02	0.11
8	Bay Pines, FL	-0.12	0.34	0.30	-0.05
8	Miami, FL	0.13	0.15	0.14	0.13
8	West Palm Beach, FL	*n.a.*	***	**-0.34**	**-0.24**
8	N.Florida/S.Georgia VHS	*see below*	*see below*	0.29	0.07
8	Gainesville, FL	***	0.27	*see above*	*see above*
8	Lake City, FL	***	0.13	*see above*	*see above*
8	Tampa, FL	0.00	0.07	-0.03	0.02
9	Lexington, KY	**-0.39**	**-0.45**	**-0.57**	**-0.44**
9	Memphis, TN	***	0.24	0.07	0.06
9	Mountain Home, TN	-0.03	0.10	0.08	0.02
9	Murfreesboro, TN	**-0.43**	**-0.48**	**-0.48**	**-0.44**
10	Chillicothe, OH	0.00	0.02	**-0.20**	**-0.25**
10	Cleveland, OH	0.28	0.13	0.09	0.21
10	Dayton, OH	**-0.54**	***	-0.15	**-0.32**

Table 36a cont. Adjusted Average Work Improvement, Direction from Median Site by Fiscal Year.†, ††

VISN	SITE	FY96 Average Work Improvement	FY98 Average Work Improvement	FY99 Average Work Improvement	FY00 Average Work Improvement
11	Ann Arbor, MI	*n.a.*	***	***	***
11	Battle Creek, MI	-0.07	-0.05	**-0.17**	**-0.21**
11	Danville, IL	-0.16	***	-0.10	**-0.26**
11	Indianapolis, IN	*n.a.*	0.24	-0.18	-0.19
12	North Chicago, IL	-0.06	***	0.27	0.32
12	Hines, IL	-0.14	**-0.59**	**-0.34**	**-0.28**
12	Tomah, WI	-0.11	0.02	0.07	**-0.59**
12	Milwaukee, WI	**-0.29**	0.02	0.10	-0.07
13	Fort Meade, SD	-0.02	**-0.39**	0.39	0.44
13	Hot Springs, SD	0.46	0.42	0.30	0.36
13	Minneapolis, MN	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	-0.09
13	St. Cloud, MN	0.05	0.00	0.05	0.01
14	Des Moines, IA	*n.a.*	***	0.06	0.19
14	Knoxville, IA	-0.08	**-0.41**	0.04	0.30
15	Columbia, MO	***	***	**-0.78**	***
15	Kansas City, KS	**-0.29**	0.39	0.43	0.41
15	Poplar Bluff, MO	***	-0.07	***	***
15	Topeka/Leavenworth, KS	-0.13	**-0.40**	0.24	0.21
16	Biloxi, MI	-0.16	-0.07	-0.09	-0.01
16	Houston, TX	**-0.40**	0.32	0.26	0.27
16	Jackson, MS	***	-0.05	-0.05	0.17
16	Little Rock, AR	**-0.68**	**-0.19**	**-0.28**	**-0.31**
16	Oklahoma City, OK	-0.08	-0.14	***	**-0.28**
17	Dallas, TX	-0.08	0.08	-0.03	-0.10
17	Bonham, TX	*n.a.*	0.23	0.25	0.26
17	San Antonio, TX	0.35	0.21	0.05	0.13
17	Temple, TX	-0.09	0.06	-0.03	0.23
17	Waco, TX	0.26	**-0.22**	***	***
18	Albuquerque, NM	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	***
18	Phoenix, AZ	*n.a.*	***	-0.09	0.18
18	Prescott, AZ	0.21	0.11	0.22	-0.03
18	Tucson, AZ	-0.09	-0.22	-0.02	0.01
18	El Paso	*n.a.*	***	***	***
19	Salt Lake City, UT	0.22	0.23	0.25	0.15
19	Sheridan, WY	*n.a.*	**-0.37**	**-0.33**	0.32
20	Anchorage, AK	**-0.37**	-0.01	-0.02	0.03
20	Portland, OR	-0.03	0.20	0.37	0.35
20	Roseburg, OR	**-0.30**	-0.23	-0.05	0.10
20	Seattle, WA	-0.20	**-0.30**	***	***
20	American Lake, WA	0.00	-0.05	0.19	-0.03
20	White City, OR	-0.11	-0.03	-0.03	0.14
21	Honolulu, HI	***	***	***	0.21
21	Palo Alto, CA	***	**-0.28**	-0.17	-0.07
21	Reno, NV	***	***	***	**-0.30**
21	San Francisco, CA	-0.13	**-0.35**	-0.15	**-0.28**
22	Long Beach, CA	-0.08	0.26	0.26	0.08
22	Loma Linda, CA	0.37	0.31	0.25	0.25
22	San Diego, CA	*n.a.*	***	-0.18	-0.02
22	West LA, CA	***	***	-0.13	0.10

† Average work improvement has been adjusted for veteran characteristics which vary within each fiscal year.

†† Sites that are statistically different from the median site in the undesireable direction, after adjusting for admission characteristics, are considered outliers and are shaded. In the table, the median site (the middle site where 50% of sites have values higher and 50% of sites have values lower) has a value of "0". Values reported for the remaining sites measure the distance and direction from the median site.

Table 36b. Adjusted Improvement in Alcohol Problems, Direction from Median Site by Fiscal Year.†, ††

VISN	SITE	FY96 Alcohol Problems Improved %	FY98 Alcohol Problems Improved %	FY99 Alcohol Problems Improved %	FY00 Alcohol Problems Improved %
1	Bedford, MA	-32.0%	-32.0%	-26.6%	-32.8%
1	Boston, MA	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	-69.3%
1	Brockton, MA	31.1%	22.6%	-2.9%	0.0%
1	Manchester, NH	-30.1%	***	*program closed*	*program closed*
1	Northampton, MA	1.1%	6.1%	4.2%	12.9%
1	Providence, RI	18.2%	-5.5%	-32.7%	24.8%
1	West Haven, CT	-29.2%	-20.4%	27.4%	1.8%
1	White River Junction, VT	*n.a.*	***	***	*program closed*
2	Buffalo, NY	-17.0%	-21.6%	-54.6%	-19.0%
2	Canandaigua, NY	40.7%	0.0%	4.7%	22.8%
2	Bath, NY	20.7%	65.9%	46.2%	38.7%
2	Syracuse, NY	-19.4%	21.0%	-42.7%	1.2%
2	Albany, NY	-35.6%	-9.3%	-7.3%	-9.1%
3	Bronx, NY	-43.6%	38.8%	8.9%	15.1%
3	New Jersey HCS	*see below*	*see below*	21.1%	-5.0%
3	East Orange, NJ	-24.1%	48.0%	*see above*	15.9%
3	Lyons, NJ	9.2%	55.5%	*see above*	*see above*
3	Montrose, NY	-23.9%	0.8%	-2.8%	*see above*
3	Brooklyn, NY	***	-3.4%	6.6%	-4.3%
3	Northport, NY	-17.1%	29.8%	-1.6%	***
4	Butler, PA	***	54.9%	52.7%	44.3%
4	Coatesville, PA	27.5%	46.7%	39.8%	42.1%
4	Lebanon, PA	0.0%	15.3%	28.5%	22.8%
4	Philadelphia, PA	***	61.3%	57.4%	35.7%
4	Pittsburgh, PA	-42.0%	9.6%	14.9%	5.3%
5	Baltimore, MD	***	25.5%	31.2%	7.5%
5	Fort Howard, MD	*n.a.*	***	16.3%	14.8%
5	Perry Point, MD	34.4%	-6.8%	27.5%	***
5	Martinsburg, WV	***	***	1.2%	4.5%
5	Washington DC	-39.3%	-5.1%	-1.4%	-25.3%
6	Durham, NC	55.0%	15.8%	14.2%	***
6	Hampton, VA	11.5%	-0.7%	7.5%	3.2%
6	Asheville, NC	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	***
6	Richmond, VA	-60.9%	-29.0%	-30.5%	*program closed*
6	Salem, VA	-14.9%	-89.9%	28.0%	30.8%
7	Atlanta, GA	-5.5%	-22.6%	-34.4%	-0.1%
7	Augusta, GA	-8.9%	-33.4%	-12.4%	-10.7%
7	Birmingham, AL	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	50.6%	12.9%
7	Charleston, SC	18.2%	33.5%	20.7%	29.4%
7	Dublin, GA	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	32.1%
7	Tuscaloosa, AL	-11.2%	11.4%	-5.3%	-6.2%
8	Bay Pines, FL	3.7%	39.8%	35.8%	-42.1%
8	Miami, FL	-10.3%	-11.7%	12.6%	30.4%
8	West Palm Beach, FL	*n.a.*	***	-25.9%	11.9%
8	N.Florida/S.Georgia VHS	*see below*	*see below*	31.7%	*see above*
8	Gainesville, FL	***	57.0%	*see above*	*see above*
8	Lake City, FL	***	32.8%	*see above*	8.7%
8	Tampa, FL	-4.4%	14.2%	-2.4%	-24.8%
9	Lexington, KY	-18.7%	-23.8%	-68.8%	-32.0%
9	Memphis, TN	***	11.3%	20.6%	2.1%
9	Mountain Home, TN	-46.6%	-27.3%	-24.6%	-23.1%
9	Murfreesboro, TN	-38.0%	-44.4%	-36.2%	-25.4%
10	Chillicothe, OH	2.1%	17.1%	-9.9%	-17.6%
10	Cleveland, OH	34.3%	16.2%	0.0%	8.2%
10	Dayton, OH	-10.6%	***	-21.7%	0.0%

Table 36b cont. Adjusted Improvement in Alcohol Problems, Direction from Median Site by Fiscal Year.†, ††

VISN	SITE	FY96 Alcohol Problems Improved %	FY98 Alcohol Problems Improved %	FY99 Alcohol Problems Improved %	FY00 Alcohol Problems Improved %
11	Ann Arbor, MI	*n.a.*	***	***	***
11	Battle Creek, MI	-12.5%	9.2%	-6.5%	-14.2%
11	Danville, IL	0.9%	***	-4.0%	-30.1%
11	Indianapolis, IN	*n.a.*	-28.5%	**-46.7%**	**-42.6%**
12	North Chicago, IL	12.4%	***	13.4%	25.6%
12	Hines, IL	9.1%	**-32.9%**	-11.6%	**-29.2%**
12	Tomah, WI	**-46.7%**	16.4%	5.1%	**-49.2%**
12	Milwaukee, WI	-18.6%	11.7%	42.9%	9.4%
13	Fort Meade, SD	3.9%	**-47.3%**	54.4%	54.8%
13	Hot Springs, SD	27.9%	25.1%	2.4%	-3.8%
13	Minneapolis, MN	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	50.4%
13	St. Cloud, MN	3.8%	6.9%	16.1%	2.2%
14	Des Moines, IA	*n.a.*	***	2.7%	45.1%
14	Knoxville, IA	-1.4%	**-38.1%**	9.6%	25.9%
15	Columbia, MO	***	***	**-49.9%**	***
15	Kansas City, KS	**-34.6%**	9.7%	-2.0%	21.7%
15	Poplar Bluff, MO	***	52.7%	***	***
15	Topeka/Leavenworth, KS	**-36.9%**	-2.8%	**-27.2%**	-10.8%
16	Biloxi, MI	**-50.4%**	32.4%	17.8%	0.6%
16	Houston, TX	**-27.6%**	44.4%	26.7%	40.5%
16	Jackson, MS	***	16.3%	-16.0%	**-39.7%**
16	Little Rock, AR	**-72.8%**	-4.0%	**-16.8%**	-2.8%
16	Oklahoma City, OK	-12.6%	-2.1%	***	14.7%
17	Dallas, TX	-8.4%	14.0%	7.1%	-1.2%
17	Bonham, TX	***	-3.1%	1.7%	18.7%
17	San Antonio, TX	8.0%	4.4%	-14.6%	-11.9%
17	Temple, TX	31.2%	13.8%	-23.8%	-28.5%
17	Waco, TX	25.6%	-2.5%	***	***
18	Albuquerque, NM	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	***
18	Phoenix, AZ	*n.a.*	***	**-44.0%**	-10.4%
18	Prescott, AZ	55.4%	41.7%	40.1%	18.9%
18	Tucson, AZ	5.4%	**-26.2%**	3.3%	0.8%
18	El Paso, TX	*n.a.*	***	***	***
19	Salt Lake City, UT	36.7%	-3.2%	***	30.2%
19	Sheridan, WY	*n.a.*	-12.0%	**-57.0%**	-18.1%
20	Anchorage, AK	**-45.7%**	-14.3%	-9.8%	25.7%
20	Portland, OR	3.3%	-2.3%	23.1%	44.7%
20	Roseburg, OR	**-38.8%**	-42.2%	-19.1%	-26.1%
20	Seattle, WA	-39.0%	-32.8%	***	***
20	American Lake, WA	6.4%	-16.4%	4.4%	-4.3%
20	White City, OR	**-37.4%**	5.9%	-11.1%	10.1%
21	Honolulu, HI	***	***	***	14.5%
21	Palo Alto, CA	***	-15.3%	1.6%	-8.0%
21	Reno, NV	***	***	***	***
21	San Francisco, CA	**-25.1%**	-19.9%	**-21.2%**	-19.9%
22	Long Beach, CA	**-39.5%**	40.9%	19.1%	-7.1%
22	Loma Linda, CA	49.8%	46.5%	28.7%	22.3%
22	San Diego, CA	*n.a.*	***	27.1%	6.0%
22	West LA, CA	***	***	-22.7%	-9.7%

† Average work improvement has been adjusted for veteran characteristics which vary within each fiscal year.

†† Sites that are statistically different from the median site in the undesireable direction, after adjusting for admission characteristics, are considered outliers and are shaded. In the table, the median site (the middle site where 50% of sites have values higher and 50% of sites have values lower) has a value of "0". Values reported for the remaining sites measure the distance and direction from the median site.

*** Data is not recorded for site because the number of veterans is fewer than eleven (11).

Table 36c. Adjusted Improvement in Drug Problems, Direction from Median Site by Fiscal Year.†, ††

VISN	SITE	FY96 Drug Problems Improved %	FY98 Drug Problems Improved %	FY99 Drug Problems Improved %	FY00 Drug Problems Improved %
1	Bedford, MA	**-26.4%**	**-28.1%**	**-20.1%**	-29.6%
1	Boston, MA	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	-64.8%
1	Brockton, MA	40.4%	24.7%	-12.8%	4.2%
1	Manchester, NH	-20.6%	***	*program closed*	*program closed*
1	Northampton, MA	2.1%	15.0%	10.6%	14.9%
1	Providence, RI	30.9%	0.1%	9.4%	28.7%
1	West Haven, CT	-18.6%	-20.5%	26.0%	-9.9%
1	White River Junction, VT	*n.a.*	***	***	*program closed*
2	Buffalo, NY	**-26.9%**	**-42.4%**	**-61.1%**	-24.8%
2	Canandaigua, NY	30.2%	**-31.1%**	-3.6%	7.1%
2	Bath, NY	47.3%	62.1%	52.5%	35.8%
2	Syracuse, NY	-21.4%	10.3%	**-27.6%**	11.3%
2	Albany, NY	-19.9%	2.3%	-12.6%	-11.9%
3	Bronx, NY	**-54.2%**	25.5%	-2.7%	-13.9%
3	New Jersey HCS	*see below*	*see below*	26.1%	15.0%
3	East Orange, NJ	-22.4%	43.4%	*see above*	*see above*
3	Lyons, NJ	15.7%	54.1%	*see above*	*see above*
3	Montrose, NY	-15.8%	0.1%	-4.5%	-11.5%
3	Brooklyn, NY	*n.a.*	-6.5%	-1.1%	-8.3%
3	Northport, NY	-0.2%	29.7%	12.5%	***
4	Butler, PA	***	49.3%	42.1%	46.2%
4	Coatesville, PA	35.4%	47.1%	41.3%	37.0%
4	Lebanon, PA	16.0%	21.2%	20.8%	27.3%
4	Philadelphia, PA	***	63.7%	59.8%	36.7%
4	Pittsburgh, PA	**-34.7%**	5.6%	17.6%	0.0%
5	Baltimore, MD	***	-4.2%	48.1%	18.7%
5	Fort Howard, MD	*n.a.*	***	20.6%	-5.4%
5	Perry Point, MD	10.3%	-17.1%	45.9%	***
5	Martinsburg, WV	*n.a.*	***	7.5%	6.2%
5	Washington DC	**-44.4%**	-9.2%	-20.1%	**-50.5%**
6	Durham, NC	50.1%	2.4%	19.5%	***
6	Hampton, VA	0.0%	**-17.5%**	7.5%	-6.0%
6	Asheville, NC	***	***	***	***
6	Richmond, VA	**-64.3%**	-23.1%	-23.1%	*program closed*
6	Salem, VA	-13.5%	**-102.9%**	14.6%	27.1%
7	Atlanta, GA	-5.4%	**-28.8%**	**-38.0%**	-0.2%
7	Augusta, GA	-22.9%	-30.0%	-17.9%	-12.8%
7	Birmingham, AL	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	36.5%	19.6%
7	Charleston, SC	40.1%	8.8%	-17.1%	32.1%
7	Dublin, GA	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	36.3%
7	Tuscaloosa, AL	-10.9%	8.7%	-11.4%	-2.1%
8	Bay Pines, FL	31.9%	48.1%	39.0%	**-26.0%**
8	Miami, FL	-22.4%	-21.4%	-14.8%	-2.9%
8	N.Florida/S.Georgia VHS	*see below*	*see below*	16.3%	1.0%
8	Gainesville, FL	***	49.7%	*see above*	*see above*
8	Lake City, FL	***	5.9%	*see above*	*see above*
8	West Palm Beach, FL	*n.a.*	***	-28.3%	***
8	Tampa, FL	-3.3%	29.2%	**-39.3%**	10.2%
9	Lexington, KY	-8.4%	**-26.0%**	**-47.5%**	**-41.5%**
9	Memphis, TN	***	11.4%	20.3%	10.0%
9	Mountain Home, TN	**-24.3%**	**-20.7%**	**-45.4%**	**-55.6%**
9	Murfreesboro, TN	**-32.1%**	**-44.2%**	**-32.1%**	**-23.9%**
10	Chillicothe, OH	4.1%	16.2%	3.5%	**-27.4%**
10	Cleveland, OH	41.4%	17.5%	6.1%	10.2%
10	Dayton, OH	-0.5%	***	**-33.2%**	-2.7%

Table 36c cont. Adjusted Improvement in Drug Problems, Direction from Median Site by Fiscal Year.†, ††

VISN	SITE	FY96 Drug Problems Improved %	FY98 Drug Problems Improved %	FY99 Drug Problems Improved %	FY00 Drug Problems Improved %
11	Ann Arbor, MI	*n.a.*	***	***	***
11	Battle Creek, MI	**-17.4%**	0.2%	-12.8%	**-24.6%**
11	Danville, IL	10.0%	***	-14.6%	**-34.0%**
11	Indianapolis, IN	*n.a.*	17.8%	**-37.5%**	**-56.7%**
12	North Chicago, IL	8.9%	***	10.7%	27.6%
12	Hines, IL	12.4%	**-33.0%**	-18.2%	**-26.6%**
12	Tomah, WI	-24.1%	12.2%	12.2%	**-42.2%**
12	Milwaukee, WI	-6.7%	8.7%	41.0%	1.7%
13	Fort Meade, SD	-7.0%	**-32.7%**	33.0%	41.9%
13	Hot Springs, SD	53.6%	28.4%	***	28.5%
13	Minneapolis, MN	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	***
13	St. Cloud, MN	2.6%	9.8%	2.4%	-0.8%
14	Des Moines, IA	*n.a.*	***	-7.6%	37.1%
14	Knoxville, IA	-0.8%	**-36.5%**	-1.7%	21.0%
15	Columbia, MO	***	***	***	***
15	Kansas City, KS	**-32.2%**	9.3%	4.7%	11.8%
15	Poplar Bluff, MO	***	-41.1%	***	***
15	Topeka/Leavenworth, KS	**-47.6%**	-33.1%	**-23.2%**	-9.0%
16	Biloxi, MI	**-38.0%**	30.3%	14.1%	20.3%
16	Houston, TX	**-24.3%**	51.8%	31.2%	40.9%
16	Jackson, MS	***	**-47.2%**	-32.0%	**-34.5%**
16	Little Rock, AR	**-68.2%**	-0.2%	-11.8%	-7.8%
16	Oklahoma City, OK	34.6%	-10.3%	***	-9.6%
17	Dallas, TX	**-15.5%**	0.0%	-5.5%	-12.3%
17	Bonham, TX	*n.a.*	43.0%	18.7%	19.9%
17	San Antonio, TX	-19.0%	-38.7%	***	7.8%
17	Temple, TX	20.3%	-6.0%	1.7%	-8.8%
17	Waco, TX	41.2%	-20.8%	***	***
18	Albuquerque, NM	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	***
18	Phoenix, AZ	*n.a.*	***	***	***
18	Prescott, AZ	38.2%	53.6%	52.9%	31.5%
18	Tucson, AZ	13.3%	-43.5%	0.5%	-3.8%
18	El Paso, TX	*n.a.*	***	***	***
19	Salt Lake City, UT	13.8%	61.1%	***	***
19	Sheridan, WY	*n.a.*	-14.3%	-29.8%	***
20	Anchorage, AK	-25.7%	-13.1%	-1.7%	19.9%
20	Portland, OR	-11.3%	26.3%	37.0%	35.5%
20	Roseburg, OR	-21.5%	-25.0%	-17.7%	-18.6%
20	Seattle, WA	-10.9%	-54.3%	***	***
20	American Lake, WA	26.9%	-12.8%	6.7%	-14.7%
20	White City, OR	-21.4%	11.5%	0.0%	13.3%
21	Honolulu, HI	***	***	***	37.1%
21	Palo Alto, CA	***	-26.1%	19.7%	0.5%
21	Reno, NV	***	***	***	***
21	San Francisco, CA	-20.5%	-16.3%	**-24.9%**	**-29.2%**
22	Long Beach, CA	-33.0%	28.7%	19.3%	-2.4%
22	Loma Linda, CA	54.5%	45.7%	30.8%	18.3%
22	San Diego, CA	*n.a.*	***	***	26.8%
22	West LA, CA	***	***	-26.6%	-4.1%

† Average work improvement has been adjusted for veteran characteristics and these characteristics vary within each

†† Sites that are statistically different from the median site in the undesireable direction, after adjusting for admission characteristics, are considered outliers and are shaded. In the table, the median site (the middle site where 50% of sites have values higher and 50% of sites have values lower) has a value of "0". Values reported for the remaining sites measure the distance and direction from the median site.

*** Data is not recorded for site because the number of veterans is fewer than eleven (11).

Table 36d. Adjusted Improvement in Mental Health Problems, Direction from Median Site by Fiscal Year.†, ††

VISN	SITE	FY96 Mental Health Problems Improved %	FY98 Mental Health Problems Improved %	FY99 Mental Health Problems Improved %	FY00 Mental Health Problems Improved %
1	Bedford, MA	-20.3%	-19.3%	-33.4%	-36.3%
1	Boston, MA	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	-86.8%
1	Brockton, MA	15.1%	14.8%	-17.3%	1.7%
1	Manchester, NH	-23.1%	***	program closed	program closed
1	Northampton, MA	-3.7%	4.3%	-8.5%	-26.8%
1	Providence, RI	-19.4%	-22.8%	-7.4%	24.0%
1	West Haven, CT	-13.0%	-9.1%	9.0%	-15.3%
1	White River Junction, VT	n.a.	***	***	program closed
2	Buffalo, NY	-21.7%	-14.2%	-47.6%	-45.9%
2	Canandaigua, NY	-2.1%	3.8%	14.3%	***
2	Bath, NY	43.9%	79.0%	55.9%	40.3%
2	Syracuse, NY	-11.5%	11.8%	-38.8%	-16.9%
2	Albany, NY	-48.4%	1.0%	-3.4%	-13.0%
3	Bronx, NY	-42.2%	47.9%	16.9%	-46.1%
3	New Jersey HCS	see below	see below	-2.7%	-33.6%
3	East Orange, NJ	-19.4%	65.7%	see above	see above
3	Lyons, NJ	20.7%	61.1%	see above	see above
3	Montrose, NY	-9.5%	-0.9%	-18.3%	-11.3%
3	Brooklyn, NY	n.a.	0.0%	0.0%	-12.8%
3	Northport, NY	-9.9%	-1.3%	-21.6%	***
4	Butler, PA	***	48.1%	60.5%	34.5%
4	Coatesville, PA	16.7%	47.9%	36.5%	14.5%
4	Lebanon, PA	2.2%	10.0%	11.4%	-35.1%
4	Philadelphia, PA	***	71.5%	62.1%	33.2%
4	Pittsburgh, PA	-30.5%	-10.5%	-13.5%	-11.3%
5	Baltimore, MD	***	0.3%	14.8%	-20.3%
5	Fort Howard, MD	n.a.	***	***	***
5	Perry Point, MD	-10.3%	-38.2%	33.4%	***
5	Martinsburg, WV	n.a.	***	-10.2%	-0.7%
5	Washington DC	-33.7%	-23.7%	-29.0%	-46.8%
6	Durham, NC	32.2%	32.5%	***	***
6	Hampton, VA	-7.4%	-17.9%	22.3%	-21.0%
6	Asheville, NC	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	***
6	Richmond, VA	-60.3%	-57.1%	-5.7%	program closed
6	Salem, VA	-28.6%	-35.8%	21.0%	17.0%
7	Atlanta, GA	4.4%	-6.2%	-18.7%	15.7%
7	Augusta, GA	10.8%	-20.2%	-7.1%	-5.2%
7	Birmingham, AL	n.a.	n.a.	***	40.6%
7	Charleston, SC	-0.7%	36.0%	7.6%	1.3%
7	Dublin, GA	n.a.	n.a.	n.a.	***
7	Tuscaloosa, AL	4.9%	7.6%	-7.6%	-10.8%
8	Bay Pines, FL	12.3%	63.5%	49.6%	-27.8%
8	Miami, FL	14.8%	-0.3%	-2.5%	0.0%
8	West Palm Beach, FL	n.a.	***	-31.9%	-31.6%
8	N.Florida/S.Georgia VHS	see below	see below	16.4%	16.4%
8	Gainesville, FL	***	34.0%	see above	see above
8	Lake City, FL	***	-9.7%	see above	see above
8	Tampa, FL	-20.7%	21.1%	-27.1%	-4.2%
9	Lexington, KY	-17.7%	-33.0%	-34.8%	-48.6%
9	Memphis, TN	***	8.9%	***	-0.2%
9	Mountain Home, TN	-21.6%	-7.4%	-36.4%	-45.7%
9	Murfreesboro, TN	-30.4%	-31.3%	-39.3%	-52.9%
10	Chillicothe, OH	0.0%	5.7%	-15.2%	-26.7%
10	Cleveland, OH	-10.7%	12.2%	-19.2%	21.9%
10	Dayton, OH	-40.5%	***	0.3%	***

Table 36d cont. Adjusted Improvement in Mental Health Problems, Direction from Median Site by Fiscal Year.†, ††

VISN	SITE	FY96 Mental Health Problems Improved %	FY98 Mental Health Problems Improved %	FY99 Mental Health Problems Improved %	FY00 Mental Health Problems Improved %
11	Ann Arbor, MI	*n.a.*	***	***	***
11	Battle Creek, MI	-8.1%	7.7%	**-23.7%**	**-23.6%**
11	Danville, IL	24.9%	***	11.3%	-12.5%
11	Indianapolis, IN	*n.a.*	31.2%	**-44.3%**	**-42.4%**
12	North Chicago, IL	11.6%	***	-5.8%	11.3%
12	Hines, IL	-19.4%	**-48.3%**	**-30.4%**	**-28.8%**
12	Tomah, WI	**-34.8%**	-18.1%	7.9%	**-52.4%**
12	Milwaukee, WI	-25.3%	4.8%	28.1%	15.7%
13	Fort Meade, SD	-1.6%	**-41.1%**	53.0%	50.6%
13	Hot Springs, SD	35.8%	60.2%	0.6%	26.2%
13	Minneapolis, MN	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	44.4%
13	St. Cloud, MN	6.9%	23.8%	-0.5%	-13.4%
14	Des Moines, IA	*n.a.*	***	7.6%	16.5%
14	Knoxville, IA	5.2%	**-19.8%**	6.6%	36.7%
15	Columbia, MO	***	***	***	***
15	Kansas City, KS	**-21.5%**	21.7%	6.6%	23.8%
15	Poplar Bluff, MO	***	55.4%	***	***
15	Topeka/Leavenworth, KS	**-39.9%**	-12.1%	-7.1%	-12.5%
16	Biloxi, MI	**-28.6%**	33.0%	23.3%	-16.1%
16	Houston, TX	**-21.4%**	53.1%	34.6%	40.0%
16	Jackson, MS	***	-15.5%	***	-10.0%
16	Little Rock, AR	**-57.1%**	-2.3%	**-23.9%**	**-33.4%**
16	Oklahoma City, OK	**-65.4%**	12.6%	***	**-75.5%**
17	Dallas, TX	**-17.1%**	6.6%	-10.1%	-12.9%
17	Bonham, TX	*n.a.*	34.3%	29.9%	24.3%
17	San Antonio, TX	-8.3%	-14.2%	7.6%	***
17	Temple, TX	8.1%	-1.0%	-5.5%	***
17	Waco, TX	30.0%	-7.9%	***	***
18	Albuquerque, NM	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	***
18	Phoenix, AZ	*n.a.*	***	-32.0%	-22.8%
18	Prescott, AZ	29.9%	19.3%	35.3%	7.6%
18	Tucson, AZ	-22.8%	**-36.3%**	-10.9%	-3.7%
18	El Paso, TX	*n.a.*	***	***	***
19	Salt Lake City, UT	24.8%	44.9%	2.4%	41.5%
19	Sheridan, WY	*n.a.*	-8.6%	**-48.2%**	***
20	Anchorage, AK	**-47.9%**	-3.2%	-16.0%	10.0%
20	Portland, OR	**-29.1%**	**-79.9%**	50.8%	38.7%
20	Roseburg, OR	-0.6%	**-54.6%**	-28.5%	2.7%
20	Seattle, WA	**-41.1%**	-10.3%	***	***
20	American Lake, WA	13.8%	**-24.1%**	2.9%	0.0%
20	White City, OR	-18.7%	17.3%	-13.0%	1.9%
21	Honolulu, HI	***	***	***	28.2%
21	Palo Alto, CA	***	**-26.7%**	-25.8%	-26.4%
21	Reno, NV	***	***	***	5.3%
21	San Francisco, CA	-19.0%	-16.8%	**-34.5%**	**-53.0%**
22	Long Beach, CA	18.4%	54.9%	32.1%	-5.0%
22	Loma Linda, CA	37.8%	52.7%	16.3%	21.3%
22	San Diego, CA	*n.a.*	***	**-46.3%**	-12.7%
22	West LA, CA	***	***	-22.8%	-20.0%

† Average work improvement has been adjusted for veteran characteristics and these characteristics vary within each

†† Sites that are statistically different from the median site in the undesireable direction, after adjusting for admission characteristics, are considered outliers and are shaded. In the table, the median site (the middle site where 50% of sites have values higher and 50% of sites have values lower) has a value of "0". Values reported for the remaining sites measure the distance and direction from the median site.

Table 36e. Adjusted Improvement in Medical Problems, Direction from Median Site by Fiscal Year.†, ††

VISN	SITE	FY96 Medical Problems Improved %	FY98 Medical Problems Improved %	FY99 Medical Problems Improved %	FY00 Medical Problems Improved %
1	Bedford, MA	-8.8%	-1.7%	-7.9%	**-11.5%**
1	Boston, MA	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	-20.7%
1	Brockton, MA	26.2%	24.2%	-5.8%	12.0%
1	Manchester, NH	**-33.8%**	***	*program closed*	*program closed*
1	Northampton, MA	1.6%	18.9%	0.0%	-11.5%
1	Providence, RI	2.2%	13.2%	0.0%	20.3%
1	West Haven, CT	-18.6%	-3.9%	20.3%	0.9%
1	White River Junction, VT	*n.a.*	***	***	*program closed*
2	Buffalo, NY	-19.3%	-12.2%	**-27.1%**	**-35.7%**
2	Canandaigua, NY	17.7%	13.4%	15.3%	***
2	Bath, NY	26.4%	-104.6%	76.2%	63.2%
2	Syracuse, NY	**-27.8%**	19.6%	-9.0%	0.2%
2	Albany, NY	**-26.9%**	1.6%	-11.1%	-12.1%
3	Bronx, NY	-7.9%	96.7%	***	**-54.8%**
3	New Jersey HCS	*see below*	*see below*	10.9%	-1.1%
3	East Orange, NJ	1.9%	75.3%	*see above*	*see above*
3	Lyons, NJ	-9.5%	80.6%	*see above*	*see above*
3	Montrose, NY	-12.1%	4.3%	-12.0%	1.6%
3	Brooklyn, NY	*n.a.*	-4.2%	-1.9%	15.9%
3	Northport, NY	-23.2%	26.7%	5.8%	***
4	Butler, PA	***	29.3%	***	21.5%
4	Coatesville, PA	-5.2%	42.5%	50.5%	9.7%
4	Lebanon, PA	**-30.3%**	3.3%	-13.5%	-24.6%
4	Philadelphia, PA	***	87.6%	79.5%	65.9%
4	Pittsburgh, PA	**-28.4%**	-8.8%	**-27.3%**	**-22.9%**
5	Baltimore, MD	***	-2.5%	18.0%	***
5	Fort Howard, MD	*n.a.*	***	***	***
5	Perry Point, MD	18.6%	-10.4%	-14.9%	***
5	Martinsburg, WV	*n.a.*	***	-5.7%	27.7%
5	Washington DC	**-23.0%**	1.0%	-21.4%	**-32.1%**
6	Durham, NC	-5.3%	15.2%	16.5%	***
6	Hampton, VA	-3.7%	-4.2%	-6.9%	**-21.2%**
6	Asheville, NC	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	***
6	Richmond, VA	**-26.1%**	-18.7%	-11.9%	*closed*
6	Salem, VA	-24.9%	-16.6%	42.2%	69.5%
7	Atlanta, GA	4.2%	0.0%	11.8%	10.9%
7	Augusta, GA	-7.0%	-11.3%	4.1%	-24.9%
7	Birmingham, AL	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	70.2%	60.9%
7	Charleston, SC	-5.9%	18.3%	2.0%	6.5%
7	Dublin, GA	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	***
7	Tuscaloosa, AL	9.6%	28.9%	-9.4%	18.1%
8	Bay Pines, FL	**-19.5%**	74.0%	67.4%	**-21.0%**
8	Miami, FL	-15.8%	6.7%	12.5%	-0.5%
8	West Palm Beach, FL	*n.a.*	***	***	**-46.6%**
8	N.Florida/S.Georgia VHS	*see below*	*see below*	-2.1%	12.0%
8	Gainesville, FL	***	11.7%	*see above*	*see above*
8	Lake City, FL	***	-4.6%	*see above*	*see above*
8	Tampa, FL	-0.6%	26.4%	2.7%	-11.1%
9	Lexington, KY	-16.1%	-16.7%	**-33.7%**	**-24.5%**
9	Memphis, TN	***	30.0%	30.1%	26.5%
9	Mountain Home, TN	-9.2%	1.4%	**-21.4%**	**-33.3%**
9	Murfreesboro, TN	-11.6%	-11.7%	**-19.4%**	**-29.0%**
10	Chillicothe, OH	4.9%	3.2%	-16.3%	-15.2%
10	Cleveland, OH	6.7%	3.3%	-18.8%	***
10	Dayton, OH	3.9%	***	-5.1%	***

Table 36e cont. Adjusted Improvement in Medical Problems, Direction from Median Site by Fiscal Year.†, ††

VISN	SITE	FY96 Medical Problems Improved %	FY98 Medical Problems Improved %	FY99 Medical Problems Improved %	FY00 Medical Problems Improved %
11	Ann Arbor, MI	*n.a.*	***	***	***
11	Battle Creek, MI	5.2%	13.5%	-0.7%	-12.2%
11	Danville, IL	-11.0%	***	20.8%	-1.2%
11	Indianapolis, IN	*n.a.*	13.3%	-22.9%	-19.2%
12	North Chicago, IL	15.0%	***	30.9%	18.2%
12	Hines, IL	6.0%	**-25.6%**	**-18.8%**	**-23.2%**
12	Tomah, WI	0.0%	30.7%	38.4%	-19.9%
12	Milwaukee, WI	-19.3%	30.1%	26.8%	21.2%
13	Fort Meade, SD	12.3%	-1.1%	43.7%	71.3%
13	Hot Springs, SD	26.6%	37.9%	***	69.2%
13	Minneapolis, MN	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	-11.2%
13	St. Cloud, MN	9.2%	31.3%	1.2%	0.0%
14	Des Moines, IA	*n.a.*	***	2.3%	39.9%
14	Knoxville, IA	11.9%	-12.8%	16.1%	45.5%
15	Columbia, MO	***	***	***	***
15	Kansas City, KS	-8.3%	59.2%	33.1%	42.6%
15	Poplar Bluff, MO	***	68.3%	***	***
15	Topeka, KS	2.1%	17.2%	30.4%	24.0%
16	Biloxi, MI	-16.6%	4.5%	27.1%	11.3%
16	Houston, TX	**-22.3%**	50.4%	33.7%	36.6%
16	Jackson, MS	***	27.7%	-18.8%	-5.9%
16	Little Rock, AR	-15.2%	6.3%	-13.5%	**-12.9%**
16	Oklahoma City, OK	47.9%	**-28.0%**	***	**-64.6%**
17	Dallas, TX	-0.1%	10.6%	-5.6%	-4.5%
17	Bonham, TX	*n.a.*	45.8%	41.9%	57.8%
17	San Antonio, TX	-13.9%	33.7%	29.0%	-8.4%
17	Temple, TX	15.6%	2.5%	***	***
17	Waco, TX	12.5%	3.8%	***	***
18	Albuquerque, NM	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	***
18	Phoenix, AZ	*n.a.*	***	***	***
18	Prescott, AZ	29.7%	60.6%	51.5%	62.6%
18	Tucson, AZ	**-25.7%**	-11.0%	-17.1%	17.5%
18	El Paso, TX	*n.a.*	***	***	***
19	Salt Lake City, UT	18.8%	-4.4%	**-35.4%**	-6.6%
19	Sheridan, WY	*n.a.*	-2.6%	-21.2%	***
20	Anchorage, AK	-13.2%	19.0%	9.1%	18.2%
20	Portland, OR	-26.6%	21.9%	54.4%	68.8%
20	Roseburg, OR	-18.1%	-36.6%	-2.7%	10.1%
20	Seattle, WA	-9.1%	-12.2%	***	***
20	American Lake, WA	-2.7%	1.6%	27.4%	25.1%
20	White City, OR	-5.0%	16.3%	17.4%	32.5%
21	Honolulu, HI	***	***	***	***
21	Palo Alto, CA	***	4.5%	-17.3%	-14.2%
21	Reno, NV	***	***	***	***
21	San Francisco, CA	-10.3%	**-20.8%**	-16.0%	**-23.1%**
22	Long Beach, CA	-4.7%	34.6%	36.8%	20.6%
22	Loma Linda, CA	12.1%	39.5%	15.0%	27.7%
22	San Diego, CA	*n.a.*	***	-22.7%	2.1%
22	West LA, CA	***	***	6.9%	11.6%

† Average work improvement has been adjusted for veteran characteristics which vary within each fiscal year.

†† Sites that are statistically different from the median site in the undesireable direction, after adjusting for admission characteristics, are considered outliers and are shaded. In the table, the median site (the middle site where 50% of sites have values higher and 50% of sites have values lower) has a value of "0". Values reported for the remaining sites measure the distance and direction from the median site.

*** Data is not recorded for site because the number of veterans is fewer than eleven (11).

Table 36f. Adjusted Competitively Employed at Discharge, Direction from Median Site by Fiscal Year.†, ††

VISN	SITE	FY96 Competitively Employed at Discharge %	FY98 Competitively Employed at Discharge %	FY99 Competitively Employed at Discharge %	FY00 Competitively Employed at Discharge %
1	Bedford, MA	**-31.9%**	**-25.8%**	**-14.0%**	**-9.6%**
1	Boston, MA	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	-7.3%
1	Brockton, MA	1.3%	-8.8%	-9.7%	-11.7%
1	Manchester, NH	-8.3%	***	*program closed*	*program closed*
1	Northampton, MA	-0.2%	**-15.2%**	-4.3%	6.4%
1	Providence, RI	**-18.4%**	**-22.0%**	**-18.6%**	-14.3%
1	West Haven, CT	-3.5%	-7.7%	25.8%	1.7%
1	White River Junction, VT	*n.a.*	***	***	*program closed*
2	Buffalo, NY	-9.8%	-12.1%	-10.5%	-11.1%
2	Canandaigua, NY	-4.8%	**-21.4%**	**-18.2%**	2.5%
2	Bath, NY	4.8%	**-43.5%**	-1.4%	11.8%
2	Syracuse, NY	**-16.4%**	-10.5%	-1.5%	8.0%
2	Albany, NY	**-16.7%**	-8.9%	-2.2%	2.6%
3	Bronx, NY	-23.8%	10.0%	-7.6%	-3.7%
3	New Jersey HCS	*see below*	*see below*	14.9%	11.8%
3	East Orange, NJ	-4.1%	20.1%	*see above*	*see above*
3	Lyons, NJ	9.8%	**20.1%**	*see above*	*see above*
3	Montrose, NY	5.6%	**-13.1%**	**-12.4%**	-5.1%
3	Brooklyn, NY	*n.a.*	4.7%	23.3%	2.9%
3	Northport, NY	-12.8%	-3.9%	9.6%	***
4	Butler, PA	***	-3.6%	-10.9%	-1.8%
4	Coatesville, PA	-5.4%	21.6%	2.2%	**-9.3%**
4	Lebanon, PA	1.6%	-2.3%	0.5%	4.7%
4	Philadelphia, PA	***	-6.6%	31.2%	**-19.2%**
4	Pittsburgh, PA	-0.9%	-10.0%	1.7%	**-12.8%**
5	Baltimore, MD	***	-6.0%	-9.0%	10.3%
5	Fort Howard, MD	*n.a.*	***	21.9%	14.3%
5	Perry Point, MD	23.9%	-6.3%	-12.0%	***
5	Martinsburg, WV	*n.a.*	***	21.2%	6.4%
5	Washington DC	20.3%	-15.2%	8.6%	1.3%
6	Durham, NC	29.9%	9.3%	5.2%	-4.0%
6	Hampton, VA	20.0%	-10.3%	3.3%	7.1%
6	Asheville, NC	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	***
6	Richmond, VA	**-24.9%**	3.4%	-18.5%	*program closed*
6	Salem, VA	**-20.9%**	-6.0%	-2.8%	-11.5%
7	Atlanta, GA	-1.7%	-9.7%	0.0%	1.5%
7	Augusta, GA	**-23.9%**	**-24.2%**	3.0%	-7.8%
7	Birmingham, AL	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	21.4%	16.0%
7	Charleston, SC	-5.6%	11.1%	2.4%	17.1%
7	Dublin, GA	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	9.6%
7	Tuscaloosa, AL	0.0%	-10.5%	-5.7%	-10.8%
8	Bay Pines, FL	-1.0%	-7.9%	-4.8%	**-9.6%**
8	Miami, FL	14.9%	-18.7%	-11.8%	11.0%
8	West Palm Beach, FL	*n.a.*	***	-3.9%	15.1%
8	N.Florida/S.Georgia VHS	*see below*	*see below*	10.3%	10.2%
8	Gainesville, FL	***	13.8%	*see above*	*see above*
8	Lake City, FL	***	9.4%	*see above*	*see above*
8	Tampa, FL	-4.8%	10.0%	4.8%	11.5%
9	Lexington, KY	**-13.3%**	**-22.8%**	-6.5%	**-18.4%**
9	Memphis, TN	***	-12.8%	0.2%	-11.6%
9	Mountain Home, TN	-5.0%	**-25.1%**	**-11.0%**	**-11.3%**
9	Murfreesboro, TN	-6.6%	-11.5%	-5.2%	**-12.5%**
10	Chillicothe, OH	-5.9%	9.4%	-2.2%	7.7%
10	Cleveland, OH	-0.4%	-2.3%	10.3%	-2.6%
10	Dayton, OH	6.9%	***	10.3%	-6.9%

Table 36f cont. Adjusted Competitively Employed at Discharge, Direction from Median Site by Fiscal Year.†, ††

VISN	SITE	FY96 Competitively Employed at Discharge %	FY98 Competitively Employed at Discharge %	FY99 Competitively Employed at Discharge %	FY00 Competitively Employed at Discharge %
11	Ann Arbor, MI	*n.a.*	***	***	***
11	Battle Creek, MI	-7.3%	-4.6%	-0.2%	-4.8%
11	Danville, IL	**-26.9%**	***	8.3%	-18.4%
11	Indianapolis, IN	*n.a.*	14.6%	-17.0%	-5.4%
12	North Chicago, IL	**-16.4%**	***	13.6%	22.4%
12	Hines, IL	2.8%	**-28.3%**	4.0%	5.0%
12	Tomah, WI	-10.0%	**-23.8%**	1.6%	**-23.1%**
12	Milwaukee, WI	12.0%	**-17.7%**	-6.3%	-3.4%
13	Fort Meade, SD	-12.7%	**-30.7%**	4.7%	12.4%
13	Hot Springs, SD	-4.4%	-12.1%	-11.0%	-7.6%
13	Minneapolis, MN	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	21.6%
13	St. Cloud, MN	-1.8%	-5.2%	6.5%	-5.6%
14	Des Moines, IA	*n.a.*	***	5.7%	13.5%
14	Knoxville, IA	**-18.7%**	0.9%	5.3%	6.6%
15	Columbia, MO	***	***	-7.9%	***
15	Kansas City, KS	**-19.1%**	-9.8%	-7.2%	-7.3%
15	Poplar Bluff, MO	***	0.0%	***	***
15	Topeka/Leavenworth, KS	**-22.2%**	-6.3%	-6.8%	-12.9%
16	Biloxi, MI	**-20.2%**	-9.2%	7.6%	-4.7%
16	Houston, TX	5.6%	16.2%	3.5%	11.1%
16	Jackson, MS	***	-20.4%	-11.3%	-8.4%
16	Little Rock, AR	-19.5%	-5.0%	2.1%	0.0%
16	Oklahoma City, OK	-18.1%	**-27.2%**	***	**-30.8%**
17	Dallas, TX	**-21.1%**	**-21.7%**	**-25.5%**	**-17.1%**
17	Bonham, TX	*n.a.*	-1.1%	2.1%	-9.0%
17	San Antonio, TX	-1.9%	-17.0%	14.3%	-3.8%
17	Temple, TX	-9.1%	**-30.2%**	**-24.8%**	**-20.0%**
17	Waco, TX	4.3%	**-18.8%**	***	***
18	Albuquerque, NM	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	***
18	Phoenix, AZ	*n.a.*	***	5.2%	21.7%
18	Prescott, AZ	-8.6%	-12.9%	5.9%	-2.0%
18	Tucson, AZ	-8.5%	**-29.7%**	-0.6%	9.6%
18	El Paso, TX	*n.a.*	***	***	***
19	Salt Lake City, UT	14.1%	18.4%	13.9%	30.2%
19	Sheridan, WY	*n.a.*	-12.0%	-26.8%	5.8%
20	Anchorage, AK	-13.8%	-10.2%	-13.5%	20.2%
20	Portland, OR	-10.5%	**-25.7%**	-0.5%	2.7%
20	Roseburg, OR	-3.2%	**-34.5%**	-5.4%	-10.7%
20	Seattle, WA	17.8%	**-36.0%**	***	***
20	American Lake, WA	0.9%	-9.3%	8.3%	11.7%
20	White City, OR	11.1%	0.7%	5.5%	1.5%
21	Honolulu, HI	***	***	***	18.6%
21	Palo Alto, CA	***	**-17.7%**	3.6%	2.7%
21	Reno, NV	***	***	***	-15.0%
21	San Francisco, CA	6.1%	-1.7%	-1.6%	-3.7%
22	Long Beach, CA	-7.9%	5.2%	22.5%	0.6%
22	Loma Linda, CA	24.1%	8.5%	-4.7%	**-13.2%**
22	San Diego, CA	*n.a.*	***	-6.3%	16.4%
22	West LA, CA	***	***	**-25.5%**	16.4%

† Average work improvement has been adjusted for veteran characteristics and these characteristics vary within each fisca
†† Sites that are statistically different from the median site in the undesireable direction, after adjusting for admission characteristics, are considered outliers and are shaded. In the table, the median site (the middle site where 50% of sites have values higher and 50% of sites have values lower) has a value of "0". Values reported for the remaining sites measure the distance and direction from the median site.
*** Data is not recorded for site because the number of veterans is fewer than eleven (11).

Table 36g. Adjusted Unemployed at Discharge, Direction from Median Site by Fiscal Year.†, ††

VISN	SITE	FY96 Unemployed at Discharge %	FY98 Unemployed at Discharge %	FY99 Unemployed at Discharge %	FY00 Unemployed at Discharge %
1	Bedford, MA	-8.9%	0.6%	6.9%	**16.3%**
1	Boston, MA	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	**23.8%**
1	Brockton, MA	7.6%	-10.4%	-2.8%	2.9%
1	Manchester, NH	11.1%	***	*program closed*	*program closed*
1	Northampton, MA	2.8%	9.2%	-1.8%	-2.0%
1	Providence, RI	-5.7%	-6.9%	-10.7%	-7.0%
1	West Haven, CT	-13.5%	0.5%	-4.6%	-7.1%
1	White River Junction, VT	*n.a.*	***	***	*program closed*
2	Buffalo, NY	**31.7%**	**18.9%**	**25.2%**	**16.7%**
2	Canandaigua, NY	-18.1%	7.3%	0.0%	-16.3%
2	Bath, NY	**17.4%**	15.2%	-8.5%	-3.4%
2	Syracuse, NY	6.8%	10.9%	11.4%	-4.8%
2	Albany, NY	13.1%	-3.5%	-15.3%	-10.0%
3	Bronx, NY	**43.6%**	0.0%	**17.6%**	**23.5%**
3	New Jersey HCS	*see below*	*see below*	-3.5%	-1.4%
3	East Orange, NJ	**26.7%**	-6.8%	*see above*	*see above*
3	Lyons, NJ	0.9%	-10.3%	*see above*	*see above*
3	Montrose, NY	7.1%	0.8%	8.0%	0.0%
3	Brooklyn, NY	*n.a.*	8.8%	5.3%	**21.5%**
3	Northport, NY	**26.2%**	1.9%	9.1%	***
4	Butler, PA	***	**22.9%**	**36.6%**	**15.4%**
4	Coatesville, PA	-3.3%	0.4%	8.0%	-13.9%
4	Lebanon, PA	**17.4%**	**24.5%**	**27.2%**	**21.5%**
4	Philadelphia, PA	***	**30.3%**	2.6%	**56.0%**
4	Pittsburgh, PA	0.0%	-2.2%	-6.3%	0.9%
5	Baltimore, MD	***	**21.1%**	**23.8%**	16.6%
5	Fort Howard, MD	*n.a.*	***	-15.0%	15.9%
5	Perry Point, MD	-4.9%	15.7%	11.0%	***
5	Martinsburg, WV	*n.a.*	***	-14.7%	-0.6%
5	Washington DC	0.5%	**39.4%**	12.4%	**21.6%**
6	Durham, NC	-13.9%	-16.2%	-13.0%	**-18.4%**
6	Hampton, VA	-9.4%	**16.9%**	-3.2%	**12.8%**
6	Asheville, NC	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	***
6	Richmond, VA	**41.6%**	4.2%	**32.4%**	*program closed*
6	Salem, VA	14.8%	-0.8%	**20.6%**	**38.4%**
7	Atlanta, GA	-17.6%	-15.9%	-8.5%	-18.5%
7	Augusta, GA	-18.9%	-3.4%	-2.3%	-6.3%
7	Birmingham, AL	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	-12.1%	-13.7%
7	Charleston, SC	-4.3%	-14.5%	-11.4%	-19.7%
7	Dublin, GA	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	-12.1%
7	Tuscaloosa, AL	0.4%	9.1%	6.0%	**16.0%**
8	Bay Pines, FL	2.3%	-6.4%	-6.6%	**11.0%**
8	Miami, FL	-7.1%	-13.3%	-9.6%	-8.2%
8	West Palm Beach, FL	*n.a.*	***	-7.3%	4.4%
8	N.Florida/S.Georgia VHS	*see below*	*see below*	-8.8%	-14.3%
8	Gainesville, FL	***	-2.9%	*see above*	*see above*
8	Lake City, FL	***	-2.6%	*see above*	*see above*
8	Tampa, FL	10.5%	-18.2%	-19.6%	-9.5%
9	Lexington, KY	-6.3%	7.0%	3.9%	11.6%
9	Memphis, TN	***	**25.0%**	-1.6%	4.6%
9	Mountain Home, TN	-1.8%	**15.3%**	6.0%	8.7%
9	Murfreesboro, TN	1.9%	**22.9%**	**20.4%**	**20.4%**
10	Chillicothe, OH	-1.4%	-12.7%	2.4%	2.9%
10	Cleveland, OH	**22.7%**	7.5%	0.7%	**25.6%**
10	Dayton, OH	-14.1%	***	0.2%	-1.1%

Table 36g cont. Adjusted Unemployed at Discharge, Direction from Median Site by Fiscal Year.†, ††

VISN	SITE	FY96 Unemployed at Discharge %	FY98 Unemployed at Discharge %	FY99 Unemployed at Discharge %	FY00 Unemployed at Discharge %
11	Ann Arbor, MI	*n.a.*	***	***	***
11	Battle Creek, MI	**11.5%**	11.7%	12.2%	11.6%
11	Danville, IL	**16.8%**	***	-18.4%	**19.1%**
11	Indianapolis, IN	*n.a.*	-4.1%	1.0%	9.4%
12	North Chicago, IL	-5.2%	***	9.2%	5.0%
12	Hines, IL	-17.6%	7.8%	-0.9%	5.8%
12	Tomah, WI	**16.7%**	7.5%	-2.3%	**28.1%**
12	Milwaukee, WI	7.9%	4.7%	3.4%	2.0%
13	Fort Meade, SD	4.4%	0.4%	-16.6%	-7.8%
13	Hot Springs, SD	**17.4%**	10.2%	**18.8%**	12.5%
13	Minneapolis, MN	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	-5.7%
13	St. Cloud, MN	-0.5%	**10.4%**	0.9%	8.1%
14	Des Moines, IA	*n.a.*	***	-2.6%	-11.8%
14	Knoxville, IA	4.8%	-12.0%	-2.4%	-4.9%
15	Columbia, MO	***	***	18.2%	***
15	Kansas City, KS	-0.5%	**20.3%**	**32.1%**	**23.5%**
15	Poplar Bluff, MO	***	-2.4%	***	***
15	Topeka/Leavenworth, KS	**19.9%**	0.1%	-9.0%	0.1%
16	Biloxi, MI	**35.5%**	**15.8%**	10.4%	**28.1%**
16	Houston, TX	-12.7%	-12.1%	-6.6%	-4.9%
16	Jackson, MS	***	**30.6%**	**28.6%**	-4.0%
16	Little Rock, AR	-3.1%	8.5%	9.9%	**12.6%**
16	Oklahoma City, OK	**24.8%**	-10.0%	***	4.5%
17	Dallas, TX	6.2%	3.6%	6.9%	**20.1%**
17	Bonham, TX	*n.a.*	-1.2%	-1.8%	3.4%
17	San Antonio, TX	12.8%	11.4%	1.4%	13.3%
17	Temple, TX	-2.0%	**28.2%**	**37.3%**	2.3%
17	Waco, TX	6.3%	-2.9%	***	***
18	Albuquerque, NM	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	***
18	Phoenix, AZ	*n.a.*	***	-6.6%	-8.7%
18	Prescott, AZ	**22.8%**	**37.8%**	**24.4%**	**22.0%**
18	Tucson, AZ	-4.9%	3.8%	-3.2%	-3.9%
18	El Paso, TX	*n.a.*	***	***	***
19	Salt Lake City, UT	-18.9%	-9.0%	-18.7%	-20.1%
19	Sheridan, WY	*n.a.*	-3.3%	21.1%	-20.7%
20	Anchorage, AK	**26.3%**	**21.0%**	-3.5%	-4.7%
20	Portland, OR	**18.8%**	**26.3%**	11.7%	-4.9%
20	Roseburg, OR	11.0%	**28.0%**	**19.0%**	**20.5%**
20	Seattle, WA	-6.6%	21.0%	***	***
20	American Lake, WA	**13.1%**	**14.2%**	-0.9%	-0.5%
20	White City, OR	-6.7%	3.0%	-3.7%	**11.6%**
21	Honolulu, HI	***	***	***	-12.9%
21	Palo Alto, CA	***	**30.4%**	2.9%	-3.1%
21	Reno, NV	***	***	***	-11.2%
21	San Francisco, CA	4.0%	6.7%	**14.2%**	1.6%
22	Long Beach, CA	15.8%	-2.0%	-3.5%	4.7%
22	Loma Linda, CA	-22.6%	-10.2%	-6.3%	-8.6%
22	San Diego, CA	*n.a.*	***	-23.8%	-4.7%
22	West LA, CA	***	***	1.1%	-5.8%

† Average work improvement has been adjusted for veteran characteristics which vary within each fiscal year.

†† Sites that are statistically different from the median site in the undesireable direction, after adjusting for admission characteristics, are considered outliers and are shaded. In the table, the median site (the middle site where 50% of sites have values higher and 50% of sites have values lower) has a value of "0". Values reported for the remaining sites measure the distance and direction from the median site.

*** Data is not recorded for site because the number of veterans is fewer than eleven (11).

Table 36h. Adjusted Employment Status Unknown at Discharge, Direction from Median Site by Fiscal Year.†, ††

VISN	SITE	FY96 Employment Status Unknown at Discharge %	FY98 Employment Status Unknown at Discharge %	FY99 Employment Status Unknown at Discharge %	FY00 Employment Status Unknown at Discharge %
1	Bedford, MA	53.6%	42.8%	22.6%	14.4%
1	Boston, MA	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	-14.3%
1	Brockton, MA	-2.7%	6.9%	5.8%	4.8%
1	Manchester, NH	-6.1%	***	*program closed*	*program closed*
1	Northampton, MA	4.5%	15.1%	13.3%	5.1%
1	Providence, RI	13.8%	17.4%	6.1%	-2.8%
1	West Haven, CT	17.6%	10.6%	-7.3%	6.1%
1	White River Junction	*n.a.*	***	***	*program closed*
2	Buffalo, NY	-11.1%	-5.4%	-7.5%	-8.9%
2	Canandaigua, NY	28.2%	20.9%	8.0%	8.6%
2	Bath, NY	-14.7%	49.5%	-7.9%	-2.6%
2	Syracuse, NY	-8.2%	-0.6%	4.8%	-4.8%
2	Albany, NY	4.6%	12.4%	19.4%	7.6%
3	Bronx, NY	-5.8%	-9.7%	-10.9%	-13.2%
3	New Jersey HCS	*see below*	*see below*	0.1%	1.9%
3	East Orange, NJ	-15.1%	-2.3%	*see above*	*see above*
3	Lyons, NJ	-0.6%	0.0%	*see above*	*see above*
3	Montrose, NY	1.9%	11.8%	9.9%	8.9%
3	Brooklyn, NY	*n.a.*	-4.7%	-13.0%	-12.7%
3	Northport, NY	-4.5%	19.4%	-10.6%	-15.2%
4	Butler, PA	***	-5.1%	-13.2%	-13.9%
4	Coatesville, PA	0.9%	-8.1%	-11.4%	8.6%
4	Lebanon, PA	-11.1%	-4.7%	-11.3%	-11.7%
4	Philadelphia, PA	***	-10.2%	-13.9%	-14.0%
4	Pittsburgh, PA	-1.8%	10.8%	0.9%	6.9%
5	Baltimore, MD	***	-10.0%	-6.7%	-13.0%
5	Fort Howard, MD	*n.a.*	***	-12.9%	-14.1%
5	Perry Point, MD	-8.2%	13.7%	-10.8%	17.2%
5	Martinsburg, WV	*n.a.*	***	-5.6%	-10.5%
5	Washington DC	-8.3%	-9.4%	-13.1%	-13.4%
6	Durham, NC	-2.3%	3.2%	-3.1%	13.2%
6	Hampton, VA	0.0%	-2.9%	-3.0%	-3.5%
6	Asheville, NC	***	***	***	34.3%
6	Richmond, VA	-11.4%	4.0%	-12.9%	*program closed*
6	Salem, VA	18.1%	5.0%	-12.7%	-12.8%
7	Atlanta, GA	28.5%	34.8%	22.4%	28.5%
7	Augusta, GA	-5.9%	19.4%	5.7%	0.2%
7	Birmingham, AL	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	-8.8%	6.2%
7	Charleston, SC	20.6%	17.5%	12.9%	-1.6%
7	Dublin, GA	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	***	1.1%
7	Tuscaloosa, AL	2.7%	13.6%	8.2%	2.1%
8	Bay Pines, FL	1.5%	18.7%	19.0%	-0.4%
8	Miami, FL	-6.9%	24.1%	11.8%	2.7%
8	West Palm Beach, FL	*n.a.*	***	24.2%	-4.6%
8	N.Florida/S.Georgia VHS	*see below*	*see below*	5.0%	9.3%
8	Gainesville, FL	***	5.1%	*see above*	*see above*
8	Lake City, FL	***	-1.6%	*see above*	*see above*
8	Tampa, FL	1.3%	11.4%	15.8%	3.1%
9	Lexington, KY	14.9%	23.3%	11.9%	-0.6%
9	Memphis, TN	***	-1.6%	2.9%	5.6%
9	Mountain Home, TN	12.5%	19.9%	19.1%	11.1%
9	Murfreesboro, TN	12.8%	-0.4%	-8.8%	-9.6%
10	Chillicothe, OH	2.3%	-0.1%	-1.5%	-8.2%
10	Cleveland, OH	-10.1%	5.7%	-0.8%	-7.6%
10	Dayton, OH	15.6%	***	2.0%	-13.3%

Table 36h cont. Adjusted Employment Status Unknown at Discharge, Direction from Median Site by Fiscal Year.†, ††

		FY96	FY98	FY99	FY00
		Employment Status Unknown at Discharge	Employment Status Unknown at Discharge	Employment Status Unknown at Discharge	Employment Status Unknown at Discharge
VISN	SITE	%	%	%	%
11	Ann Arbor, MI	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	***	***
11	Battle Creek, MI	1.0%	-2.4%	-6.1%	-11.9%
11	Danville, IL	**21.8%**	***	5.2%	**17.6%**
11	Indianapolis, IN	*n.a.*	-0.4%	2.4%	-0.8%
12	North Chicago, IL	**41.8%**	***	-8.2%	-13.1%
12	Hines, IL	**18.3%**	**28.0%**	10.5%	-2.0%
12	Tomah, WI	-2.0%	0.6%	-3.1%	-7.3%
12	Milwaukee, WI	-10.1%	4.3%	-5.9%	-2.9%
13	Fort Meade, SD	**18.9%**	**27.7%**	1.6%	-5.6%
13	Hot Springs, SD	-6.3%	-1.3%	-10.3%	-8.6%
13	Minneapolis, MN	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	-5.4%
13	St. Cloud, MN	**15.5%**	**8.2%**	4.5%	-0.6%
14	Des Moines, IA	*n.a.*	***	2.6%	-9.0%
14	Knoxville, IA	**22.3%**	**10.9%**	-1.1%	5.7%
15	Columbia, MO	***	***	8.0%	***
15	Kansas City, KS	**26.2%**	-6.3%	-9.2%	0.0%
15	Poplar Bluff, MO	***	**36.8%**	***	***
15	Topeka/Leavenworth, KS	11.7%	9.6%	**22.8%**	**17.2%**
16	Biloxi, MI	-6.9%	2.4%	-2.1%	-5.1%
16	Houston, TX	2.6%	-2.2%	2.9%	-2.5%
16	Jackson, MS	***	-4.5%	-4.3%	11.5%
16	Little Rock, AR	17.1%	-3.8%	-5.4%	-11.7%
16	Oklahoma City, OK	-0.9%	**48.3%**	***	**33.4%**
17	Dallas, TX	**10.1%**	**15.4%**	**15.8%**	5.2%
17	Bonham, TX	*n.a.*	-1.1%	-6.8%	-5.5%
17	San Antonio, TX	-11.8%	4.6%	3.6%	-0.2%
17	Temple, TX	13.5%	**18.2%**	0.0%	**24.5%**
17	Waco, TX	-4.4%	**16.5%**	***	***
18	Albuquerque, NM	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	*n.a.*	***
18	Phoenix, AZ	*n.a.*	***	-7.7%	-1.2%
18	Prescott, AZ	-7.5%	-4.0%	-10.2%	-0.6%
18	Tucson, AZ	14.1%	**27.3%**	8.3%	9.8%
18	El Paso, TX	*n.a.*	***	***	***
19	Salt Lake City, UT	9.6%	0.6%	-5.7%	8.6%
19	Sheridan, WY	*n.a.*	8.3%	5.3%	**41.1%**
20	Anchorage, AK	0.0%	2.1%	**34.9%**	-8.7%
20	Portland, OR	-3.4%	-8.4%	-6.1%	-4.2%
20	Roseburg, OR	-6.0%	0.9%	3.2%	6.3%
20	Seattle, WA	5.6%	-9.3%	***	***
20	American Lake, WA	-11.7%	-1.2%	-2.8%	-7.4%
20	White City, OR	9.1%	3.3%	3.3%	-6.3%
21	Honolulu, HI	***	***	***	-13.4%
21	Palo Alto, CA	***	-0.8%	3.4%	3.9%
21	Reno, NV	***	***	***	**22.4%**
21	San Francisco, CA	0.6%	-0.6%	1.6%	**15.0%**
22	Long Beach, CA	0.5%	4.7%	-10.4%	4.3%
22	Loma Linda, CA	-5.4%	0.7%	2.0%	-1.7%
22	San Diego, CA	*n.a.*	***	-3.0%	0.7%
22	West LA, CA	***	***	**45.3%**	5.0%

† Average work improvement has been adjusted for veteran characteristics and these characteristics vary within each fiscal year.

characteristics, are considered outliers and are shaded. In the table, the median site (the middle site where 50% of sites have values higher and 50% of sites have values lower) has a value of "0". Values reported for the remaining sites measure the distance and direction from the median site.

**** Sepulveda did not submit any VI monitoring forms to NEPEC for FY 2000.

114

Appendix D
Psychosocial Rehabilitation Services Data Tables

Appendix D.1 Psychosocial Rehabilitation Services Delivered to Veterans during FY 2000 by VISN.†

VISN	# of Veterans Treated	Average # of PSR Stops	Average Duration (in days) among Veterans with more than 1 Stop
1	2,213	63.15	149.40
2	1,888	28.47	90.24
3	2,092	56.79	123.38
4	1,451	29.99	121.58
5	1,288	44.52	98.76
6	1,167	32.28	86.59
7	2,143	35.85	87.50
8	1,335	34.28	83.64
9	473	28.92	90.64
10	3,571	22.71	68.90
11	443	6.83	50.71
12	1,764	42.72	106.46
13	1,660	33.45	89.94
14	460	57.36	81.32
15	2,128	29.48	80.09
16	2,236	26.34	71.98
17	1,066	46.36	93.30
18	1,101	18.75	62.68
19	202	46.58	110.42
20	1,071	34.16	75.43
21	427	38.92	100.25
22	1,574	35.06	91.54
All VA	**31,753**	**35.84**	**92.55**
VISN Avg	**1,443**	**36.04**	**91.58**
VISN SD	**783**	**12.80**	**21.29**

† Includes stop codes 574 - group CWT, 532 individual Psychosocial Rehabilitation, 559 - group Psychosocial Rehabilitation, 573 group IT, 535 individual Vocational Assistance and 575 - group Vocational Assistance.

Appendix D.2 CWT and CWT/TR Services Delivered to Veterans during FY 2000 by VISN.†

VISN	# of Veterans Treated	Average # of CWT &/or CWT/TR Stops	Average Duration (in days) among Veterans with more than 1 Stop
1	1,802	67.31	168.64
2	1,058	30.72	112.75
3	1,361	49.94	125.43
4	1,087	24.80	156.58
5	756	38.06	120.84
6	416	55.59	124.60
7	1,058	46.26	118.10
8	531	50.17	114.84
9	342	35.76	112.17
10	3,437	16.71	98.62
11	65	13.25	94.50
12	1,234	31.43	107.83
13	911	33.87	127.11
14	126	58.48	116.06
15	1,300	17.45	83.02
16	772	31.01	86.37
17	803	53.22	119.00
18	560	23.19	91.97
19	49	49.37	115.98
20	428	36.34	102.62
21	356	36.97	134.00
22	1,099	37.48	104.56
All VA	**19,551**	**35.72**	**117.74**
VISN Avg	**889**	**38.06**	**115.25**
VISN SD	**715**	**14.08**	**19.96**

† Includes stop codes 574 - group CWT, 532 - individual Psychosocial Rehabilitation and 559 - group Psychosocial Rehabilitation..

Appendix D.3 Incentive Work Therapy (IT) Services Delivered to Veterans during FY 2000 by VISN.†

VISN	# of Veterans Treated	Average # of IT Stops	Average Duration among Veterans with more than 1 Stop
1	415	38.44	171.69
2	545	23.52	106.32
3	633	53.73	129.92
4	337	32.40	60.97
5	527	48.51	115.09
6	367	26.89	107.39
7	552	46.26	141.03
8	208	42.26	99.17
9	230	6.13	135.46
10	669	34.31	84.19
11	36	12.67	53.03
12	670	50.42	142.76
13	404	50.33	111.89
14	90	74.99	148.24
15	575	62.05	106.90
16	716	30.67	68.64
17	165	33.29	94.86
18	169	20.31	92.26
19	107	56.34	137.01
20	379	44.55	101.42
21	68	47.28	123.64
22	116	71.98	147.26
All VA	**7,978**	**41.39**	**111.12**
VISN Avg	**363**	**41.24**	**112.69**
VISN SD	**218**	**17.20**	**29.63**

† Includes stop code 573 - group IT.

Appendix D.4 Vocational Assistance Services Delivered to Veterans during FY 2000 by VISN.†

VISN	# of Veterans Treated	Average # of Vocational Assistance Stops	Average Duration (in days) among Veterans with more than 1 Stop
1	314	7.95	119.17
2	1,582	5.33	94.00
3	1,276	13.19	94.94
4	596	9.46	74.85
5	723	4.15	79.60
6	970	4.82	98.57
7	898	2.61	73.08
8	1,008	10.26	98.91
9	10	3.80	38.50
10	231	3.06	28.64
11	437	3.91	68.03
12	689	4.04	116.40
13	966	4.50	77.14
14	436	28.14	108.40
15	982	4.45	51.55
16	1,725	7.54	78.62
17	323	3.68	46.94
18	775	5.44	67.05
19	162	5.94	138.85
20	684	6.06	80.30
21	83	2.94	52.73
22	902	6.24	106.49
All VA	**15,772**	**6.93**	**84.90**
VISN Avg	**717**	**6.70**	**81.49**
VISN SD	**445**	**5.33**	**27.22**

† Includes stop codes 535 - individual Vocational Assistance and 575 - group Vocational ssistance..

Appendix D.5 Type of Service Veterans First Received in the Psychosocial Rehabilitation Services Continuum of Care by VISN.

VISN	# of Veterans Treated	% Received CWT &/or CWT/TR Services First	% Received IT Services First	% Received Vocational Assistance Services First
1	2213	77.5%	16.6%	7.1%
2	1888	43.2%	6.6%	52.4%
3	2092	40.5%	19.8%	45.1%
4	1451	60.9%	9.0%	33.4%
5	1288	43.6%	21.4%	37.7%
6	1167	16.6%	23.1%	62.2%
7	2143	42.7%	20.7%	37.1%
8	1335	28.3%	14.5%	58.4%
9	473	53.9%	45.9%	0.4%
10	3571	92.7%	7.6%	5.6%
11	443	2.0%	0.7%	97.7%
12	1764	46.7%	29.7%	28.8%
13	1660	42.8%	9.5%	50.2%
14	460	17.0%	14.8%	86.1%
15	2128	56.0%	11.9%	33.0%
16	2236	17.8%	19.2%	64.4%
17	1066	64.2%	11.4%	24.8%
18	1101	37.6%	10.0%	55.7%
19	202	7.9%	37.6%	59.4%
20	1071	21.5%	23.9%	56.0%
21	427	77.5%	10.3%	12.6%
22	1574	51.6%	6.2%	43.5%
All VA	**31,753**	**49.0%**	**15.3%**	**38.5%**
VISN Avg	**1443**	**42.8%**	**16.8%**	**43.3%**
VISN SD	**783**	**23.0%**	**10.5%**	**24.2%**

Appendix D.6 Psychosocial Rehabilitation Services Delivered to Veterans during FY 2000 by Site.†

VISN	SITE	# of Veterans Treated	Average # of PSR Stops	Average Duration (days) among Veterans with more than 1 Stop†
1	Togus, ME	32	90.72	204.13
1	White River Junction, VT	14	2.93	11.50
1	Bedford, MA	751	73.43	160.35
1	Boston, MA	528	46.56	145.46
1	Northampton, MA	382	65.44	124.21
1	Providence, RI	196	58.23	153.15
1	West Haven, CT	310	66.64	158.81
2	Albany, NY	417	29.23	97.79
2	Bath, NY	511	34.15	125.99
2	Buffalo, NY	807	22.55	55.67
2	Syracuse, NY	153	38.70	132.63
3	Bronx, NY	201	59.85	89.88
3	East Orange, NJ	617	40.31	92.99
3	Montrose, NY	403	81.54	139.92
3	New York, NY	294	21.17	93.32
3	Northport, NY	577	74.22	171.29
4	Butler, PA	36	2.94	18.94
4	Coatesville, PA	364	27.35	79.46
4	Lebanon, PA	560	28.92	180.27
4	Philadelphia, PA	121	6.64	101.31
4	Pittsburgh, PA	370	44.46	90.81
5	Baltimore, MD	403	52.83	106.67
5	Martinsburg, WV	627	34.24	86.51
5	Washington DC	258	56.53	116.19
6	Durham, NC	155	43.07	80.55
6	Hampton, VA	880	23.60	78.14
6	Richmond, VA	1	105.00	205.00
6	Salem, VA	130	77.82	150.74
6	Salisbury, NC	1	1.00	0.00
7	Atlanta, GA	563	60.49	100.25
7	Augusta, GA	442	42.64	116.58
7	Birmingham, AL	133	10.38	125.33
7	Charleston, SC	123	4.52	68.41
7	Columbia, SC	180	2.32	42.44
7	Dublin, GA	36	11.42	24.14
7	Montgomery, AL	83	32.14	109.33
7	Tuscaloosa, AL	583	31.70	63.24
8	Bay Pines, FL	508	40.53	86.43
8	Miami, FL	82	69.95	116.37
8	West Palm Beach, FL	72	18.36	32.81
8	Gainesville, FL	488	19.12	74.58
8	San Juan, PR	1	1.00	0.00
8	Tampa, FL	184	47.77	105.74

Appendix D.6 cont. Psychosocial Rehabilitation Services Delivered to Veterans during FY 2000 by Site.†

VISN	SITE	# of Veterans Treated	Average # of PSR Stops	Average Duration (days) among Veterans with more than 1 Stop†
9	Lexington, KY	1	21.00	124.00
9	Memphis, TN	31	13.35	84.68
9	Mountain Home, TN	269	4.56	71.73
9	Murfreesboro, TN	172	69.86	121.11
10	538 Chillicothe, OH	615	33.66	90.47
10	Cincinnati, OH	311	11.66	72.26
10	Cleveland, OH	2,158	19.65	60.20
10	Dayton, OH	487	29.47	78.02
11	Battle Creek, MI	6	12.17	28.00
11	Danville, IL	4	22.75	58.00
11	Indianapolis, IN	233	10.58	86.94
11	Marion, IL	200	1.97	9.04
12	North Chicago, IL	499	24.99	90.85
12	Hines, IL	353	37.41	90.71
12	Iron Mountain, MI	114	14.33	36.81
12	Madison, WI	136	11.13	96.57
12	Tomah, WI	230	56.53	136.93
12	Milwaukee, WI	432	77.60	142.63
13	Fargo, ND	1	1.00	0.00
13	Fort Meade, SD	643	53.89	126.42
13	Minneapolis, MN	57	1.32	13.40
13	St. Cloud, MN	959	21.70	70.13
14	Des Moines, IA	312	82.27	107.85
14	Lincoln, NE	16	2.56	74.19
14	Omaha, NE	132	5.13	19.46
15	Columbia, MO	305	15.07	46.71
15	Kansas City, MO	399	10.20	57.86
15	Poplar Bluff, MO	7	91.71	117.86
15	St. Louis, MO	120	7.60	56.16
15	Topeka, KS	1,297	40.48	96.78
16	Alexandria, LA	199	5.37	72.73
16	Biloxi, MS	386	39.75	77.18
16	Houston, TX	5	16.80	29.20
16	Jackson, MS	6	36.17	64.17
16	Little Rock, AR	1,267	27.31	73.32
16	Oklahoma City, OK	139	35.40	89.40
16	Shreveport, LA	234	11.41	46.25
17	Dallas, TX	792	51.29	104.58
17	San Antonio, TX	263	32.61	61.56
17	Temple, TX	11	20.09	39.55
18	Albuquerque, NM	304	6.12	35.93
18	Phoenix, AZ	164	30.18	63.21
18	Prescott, AZ	496	12.09	67.10
18	Tucson, AZ	137	57.17	105.44

Appendix D.6 cont. Psychosocial Rehabilitation Services Delivered to Veterans during FY 2000 by Site.†

VISN	SITE	# of Veterans Treated	Average # of PSR Stops	Average Duration (days) among Veterans with more than 1 Stop†
19	Cheyenne, WY	7	5.14	28.14
19	Fort Lyon, CO	5	45.20	58.40
19	Salt Lake City, UT	190	48.14	114.82
20	Anchorage, AK	25	41.80	54.56
20	Portland, OR	298	42.46	91.22
20	Roseburg, OR	326	18.99	52.07
20	Seattle, WA	330	49.98	105.70
20	Spokane, WA	84	2.26	4.20
20	White City, OR	8	1.25	3.38
21	Honolulu, HI	70	45.73	120.57
21	Palo Alto, CA	65	29.82	80.57
21	Reno, NV	81	55.38	136.26
21	San Francisco, CA	211	33.15	85.74
22	Las Vegas, NV	75	26.24	183.83
22	Long Beach, CA	563	8.05	29.42
22	Loma Linda, CA	246	42.92	116.54
22	San Diego, CA	193	44.54	99.03
22	West LA, CA	497	59.40	132.68
All VA		**31,753**	**35.84**	**92.55**
Site Avg		**302**	**33.24**	**85.81**
Site SD		**320**	**24.33**	**45.45**

† Includes stop codes 574 - CWT group, 532 - individual Psychosocial Rehabilitation, 559 - group Psychosocial Rehabilitation, 573 - group IT, 535 - individual Vocational Assistance and 575 - group Vocational Assistance.

Appendix D.7 CWT and CWT/TR Services Delivered to Veterans during FY 2000 by Site.†

VISN	SITE	# of Veterans Treated	Average # of CWT &/or CWT/TR Stops	Average Duration among Veterans with more than 1 Stop†
1	Togus, ME	2	22.00	36.50
1	White River Junction, VT	14	2.43	40.25
1	Bedford, MA	747	73.53	182.92
1	Boston, MA	350	52.15	152.87
1	Northampton, MA	274	67.19	137.91
1	Providence, RI	196	58.17	201.41
1	West Haven, CT	219	83.26	165.84
2	Albany, NY	201	45.20	137.04
2	Bath, NY	499	27.11	121.65
2	Buffalo, NY	290	16.24	65.67
2	Syracuse, NY	68	76.09	166.79
3	Bronx, NY	195	59.02	102.45
3	East Orange, NJ	377	27.57	88.06
3	Montrose, NY	216	59.61	109.01
3	New York, NY	286	20.95	146.45
3	Northport, NY	287	94.78	185.07
4	Butler, PA	1	27.00	38.00
4	Coatesville, PA	254	21.57	78.41
4	Lebanon, PA	508	20.22	209.73
4	Philadelphia, PA	121	6.29	192.03
4	Pittsburgh, PA	203	51.32	92.74
5	Baltimore, MD	95	55.35	103.99
5	Martinsburg, WV	453	20.36	98.46
5	Washington DC	208	68.72	165.27
6	Durham, NC	62	103.11	174.52
6	Hampton, VA	288	42.39	104.47
6	Richmond, VA	1	85.00	200.00
6	Salem, VA	65	68.31	161.95
6	Salisbury, NC	0		
7	Atlanta, GA	555	61.11	132.63
7	Augusta, GA	231	19.55	63.95
7	Birmingham, AL	133	9.75	158.79
7	Charleston, SC	1	4.00	5.00
7	Columbia, SC	0		
7	Dublin, GA	12	31.08	61.82
7	Montgomery, AL	0		
7	Tuscaloosa, AL	126	70.10	119.72
8	Bay Pines, FL	205	60.98	116.22
8	Miami, FL	76	67.34	114.03
8	West Palm Beach, FL	24	46.88	74.17
8	Gainesville, FL	82	18.17	183.32
8	San Juan, PR	0		
8	Tampa, FL	144	44.48	88.87

Appendix D.7 cont.CWT and CWT/TR Services Delivered to Veterans during FY 2000 by Site.†

VISN	SITE	# of Veterans Treated	Average # of CWT &/or CWT/TR Stops	Average Duration among Veterans with more than 1 Stop†
9	Lexington, KY	1	21.00	124.00
9	Memphis, TN	29	13.07	106.46
9	Mountain Home, TN	149	6.16	109.76
9	Murfreesboro, TN	163	66.94	114.85
10	Chillicothe, OH	604	28.15	102.84
10	Cincinnati, OH	311	11.49	100.38
10	Cleveland, OH	2,121	15.04	104.02
10	Dayton, OH	401	12.38	70.52
11	Battle Creek, MI	4	13.25	45.00
11	Danville, IL	2	29.00	44.00
11	Indianapolis, IN	56	12.66	89.66
11	Marion, IL	3	13.67	239.00
12	North Chicago, IL	331	24.89	92.92
12	Hines, IL	275	43.31	109.55
12	Iron Mountain, MI	114	14.01	39.79
12	Madison, WI	136	11.13	135.39
12	Tomah, WI	113	33.82	120.98
12	Milwaukee, WI	265	44.15	145.32
13	Fargo, ND	0		
13	Fort Meade, SD	614	36.32	143.46
13	Minneapolis, MN	2	1.00	
13	St. Cloud, MN	295	28.99	93.50
14	Des Moines, IA	112	64.27	128.58
14	Lincoln, NE	0		
14	Omaha, NE	14	12.14	16.86
15	Columbia, MO	216	14.04	43.77
15	Kansas City, MO	399	7.10	65.98
15	Poplar Bluff, MO	7	91.71	117.86
15	St. Louis, MO	119	7.65	79.26
15	Topeka, KS	559	27.30	114.89
16	Alexandria, LA	8	65.00	141.00
16	Biloxi, MS	172	33.73	66.16
16	Houston, TX	4	15.75	27.50
16	Jackson, MS	5	22.40	36.20
16	Little Rock, AR	318	40.37	97.81
16	Oklahoma City, OK	136	31.34	96.40
16	Shreveport, LA	129	2.68	44.05
17	Dallas, TX	722	54.80	118.67
17	San Antonio, TX	74	41.70	132.55
17	Temple, TX	7	12.14	37.25
18	Albuquerque, NM	4	14.25	38.75
18	Phoenix, AZ	42	39.17	100.41
18	Prescott, AZ	377	9.25	74.95
18	Tucson, AZ	137	56.94	139.19

Appendix D.7 cont.CWT and CWT/TR Services Delivered to Veterans during FY 2000 by Site.†

VISN	SITE	# of Veterans Treated	Average # of CWT &/or CWT/TR Stops	Average Duration among Veterans with more than 1 Stop†
19	Cheyenne, WY	7	5.14	49.25
19	Fort Lyon, CO	0		
19	Salt Lake City, UT	42	56.74	122.65
20	Anchorage, AK	0		
20	Portland, OR	131	49.70	96.19
20	Roseburg, OR	54	41.00	100.89
20	Seattle, WA	159	42.30	109.46
20	Spokane, WA	84	1.25	82.50
20	White City, OR	0		
21	Honolulu, HI	32	42.56	141.21
21	Palo Alto, CA	46	40.46	144.47
21	Reno, NV	67	44.33	154.33
21	San Francisco, CA	211	33.02	122.52
22	Las Vegas, NV	75	26.24	241.88
22	Long Beach, CA	408	9.26	19.68
22	Loma Linda, CA	163	42.93	129.90
22	San Diego, CA	41	71.54	126.25
22	West LA, CA	412	61.94	149.61
All VA		**19,551**	**35.72**	**117.74**
Site Avg		**186**	**36.47**	**109.67**
Site SD		**258**	**24.38**	**49.49**

† Includes stop codes 574- CWT group, 532 - individual Psychosocial Rehabilitation and 559 - group Psychosocial Rehabilitation..

Appendix D.8 Incentive Work Therapy (IT) Services Delivered to Veterans during FY 2000 by Site.

VISN	SITE	# of Veterans Treated	Average # of IT Stops	Average Duration (days) among Veterans with more than 1 Stop†
1	Togus, ME	31	92.23	211.17
1	White River Junction, VT	0		
1	Bedford, MA	15	8.87	173.40
1	Boston, MA	203	30.95	177.58
1	Northampton, MA	51	84.33	163.40
1	Providence, RI	0		
1	West Haven, CT	115	20.65	153.44
2	Albany, NY	14	2.29	15.50
2	Bath, NY	279	3.91	101.46
2	Buffalo, NY	247	47.27	110.32
2	Syracuse, NY	5	3.80	20.00
3	Bronx, NY	78	6.32	49.64
3	East Orange, NJ	97	50.89	132.77
3	Montrose, NY	321	47.67	109.92
3	New York, NY	3	8.00	31.00
3	Northport, NY	134	98.92	211.04
4	Butler, PA	1	7.00	8.00
4	Coatesville, PA	48	66.54	161.54
4	Lebanon, PA	152	19.28	34.70
4	Philadelphia, PA	4	2.00	6.00
4	Pittsburgh, PA	132	36.21	65.42
5	Baltimore, MD	194	72.84	139.08
5	Martinsburg, WV	330	34.39	99.00
5	Washington DC	3	27.67	38.33
6	Durham, NC	2	1.00	
6	Hampton, VA	324	13.39	76.75
6	Richmond, VA	0		
6	Salem, VA	41	134.78	249.13
6	Salisbury, NC	0		
7	Atlanta, GA	3	12.33	19.33
7	Augusta, GA	331	43.27	144.26
7	Birmingham, AL	2	34.00	95.00
7	Charleston, SC	0		
7	Columbia, SC	1	5.00	4.00
7	Dublin, GA	0		
7	Montgomery, AL	83	32.14	174.50
7	Tuscaloosa, AL	132	63.89	122.84
8	Bay Pines, FL	169	38.44	80.47
8	Miami, FL	0		
8	West Palm Beach, FL	0		
8	Gainesville, FL	1	19.00	37.00
8	San Juan, PR	0		
8	Tampa, FL	38	59.87	198.66

Appendix D.8 cont. Incentive Work Therapy (IT) Services Delivered to Veterans during FY 2000 by Site.

VISN	SITE	# of Veterans Treated	Average # of IT Stops	Average Duration (days) among Veterans with more than 1 Stop†
9	Lexington, KY	0		
9	Memphis, TN	2	8.00	21.00
9	Mountain Home, TN	216	1.34	97.00
9	Murfreesboro, TN	12	92.00	212.30
10	Chillicothe, OH	101	35.84	82.47
10	Cincinnati, OH	6	5.50	51.75
10	Cleveland, OH	347	30.27	75.73
10	Dayton, OH	215	40.90	99.48
11	Battle Creek, MI	1	3.00	20.00
11	Danville, IL	2	11.00	49.50
11	Indianapolis, IN	33	13.06	54.52
11	Marion, IL	0		
12	North Chicago, IL	414	10.18	87.63
12	Hines, IL	2	14.00	85.00
12	Iron Mountain, MI	1	36.00	50.00
12	Madison, WI	0		
12	Tomah, WI	84	106.90	226.25
12	Milwaukee, WI	169	121.44	206.51
13	Fargo, ND	0		
13	Fort Meade, SD	190	59.31	110.39
13	Minneapolis, MN	39	1.08	66.50
13	St. Cloud, MN	175	51.56	114.26
14	Des Moines, IA	83	80.33	159.69
14	Lincoln, NE	0		
14	Omaha, NE	7	11.71	15.71
15	Columbia, MO	14	33.21	52.69
15	Kansas City, MO	30	35.40	54.30
15	Poplar Bluff, MO	0		
15	St. Louis, MO	1	1.00	
15	Topeka, KS	530	64.44	111.46
16	Alexandria, LA	0		
16	Biloxi, MS	259	31.44	68.90
16	Houston, TX	1	12.00	16.00
16	Jackson, MS	4	21.25	38.75
16	Little Rock, AR	440	30.34	69.47
16	Oklahoma City, OK	8	30.13	46.88
16	Shreveport, LA	4	32.00	52.75
17	Dallas, TX	3	21.00	42.50
17	San Antonio, TX	158	33.66	97.07
17	Temple, TX	4	28.00	55.50
18	Albuquerque, NM	0		
18	Phoenix, AZ	103	30.47	115.16
18	Prescott, AZ	65	4.46	59.97
18	Tucson, AZ	1	5.00	14.00

Appendix D.8 cont. Incentive Work Therapy (IT) Services Delivered to Veterans during FY 2000 by Site.

VISN	SITE	# of Veterans Treated	Average # of IT Stops	Average Duration (days) among Veterans with more than 1 Stop†
19	Cheyenne, WY	0		
19	Fort Lyon, CO	5	45.20	58.40
19	Salt Lake City, UT	102	56.88	140.90
20	Anchorage, AK	25	41.80	54.56
20	Portland, OR	123	43.17	100.85
20	Roseburg, OR	32	31.13	83.06
20	Seattle, WA	197	47.96	111.37
20	Spokane, WA	2	42.50	63.00
20	White City, OR	0		
21	Honolulu, HI	29	57.76	159.74
21	Palo Alto, CA	0		
21	Reno, NV	38	39.87	96.52
21	San Francisco, CA	1	25.00	44.00
22	Las Vegas, NV	0		
22	Long Beach, CA	1	10.00	11.00
22	Loma Linda, CA	0		
22	San Diego, CA	91	50.92	108.55
22	West LA, CA	24	154.42	306.71
All VA		**7,978**	**41.39**	**111.12**
Site Avg		**76**	**36.42**	**93.02**
Site SD		**114**	**31.64**	**63.87**

† Includes stop code 573 - group IT.

Appendix D.9 Vocational Assistance Services Delivered to Veterans during FY 2000 by Site.

VISN	SITE	# of Veterans Treated	Average # of Vocational Assistance Stops	Average Duration (days) among Veterans with more than 1 Stop†
1	Togus, ME	0		
1	White River Junction, VT	1	7.00	31.00
1	Bedford, MA	30	2.93	35.77
1	Boston, MA	14	3.64	85.00
1	Northampton, MA	266	8.61	126.75
1	Providence, RI	1	13.00	72.00
1	West Haven, CT	2	23.50	123.00
2	Albany, NY	393	7.81	126.03
2	Bath, NY	426	6.64	96.69
2	Buffalo, NY	626	2.89	50.96
2	Syracuse, NY	137	5.31	153.57
3	Bronx, NY	9	3.11	61.67
3	East Orange, NJ	508	18.78	72.42
3	Montrose, NY	305	15.35	97.18
3	New York, NY	41	5.07	31.71
3	Northport, NY	413	5.74	140.07
4	Butler, PA	36	2.00	46.15
4	Coatesville, PA	180	7.13	95.72
4	Lebanon, PA	197	15.20	92.34
4	Philadelphia, PA	7	4.86	36.40
4	Pittsburgh, PA	176	7.13	43.38
5	Baltimore, MD	336	5.65	85.48
5	Martinsburg, WV	280	3.19	61.41
5	Washington DC	107	1.93	111.00
6	Durham, NC	119	2.36	86.80
6	Hampton, VA	774	5.46	100.29
6	Richmond, VA	1	20.00	197.00
6	Salem, VA	75	2.01	82.41
6	Salisbury, NC	1	1.00	
7	Atlanta, GA	75	1.39	75.59
7	Augusta, GA	4	1.75	15.00
7	Birmingham, AL	6	2.50	24.75
7	Charleston, SC	123	4.49	126.76
7	Columbia, SC	180	2.29	85.67
7	Dublin, GA	24	1.58	31.50
7	Montgomery, AL	0		
7	Tuscaloosa, AL	486	2.51	54.95
8	Bay Pines, FL	384	4.15	97.13
8	Miami, FL	60	10.30	83.25
8	West Palm Beach, FL	72	2.74	59.48
8	Gainesville, FL	450	17.38	114.63
8	San Juan, PR	1	1.00	
8	Tampa, FL	41	2.66	30.78

Appendix D.9 cont. Vocational Assistance Services Delivered to Veterans during FY 2000 by Site.

VISN	SITE	# of Veterans Treated	Average # of Vocational Assistance Stops	Average Duration (days) among Veterans with more than 1 Stop†
9	Lexington, KY	0		
9	Memphis, TN	4	4.75	37.33
9	Mountain Home, TN	5	3.60	39.20
9	Murfreesboro, TN	1	1.00	
10	Chillicothe, OH	9	8.89	64.86
10	Cincinnati, OH	5	3.80	211.00
10	Cleveland, OH	4	3.75	52.00
10	Dayton, OH	213	2.78	24.94
11	Battle Creek, MI	3	5.67	17.67
11	Danville, IL	4	2.75	60.00
11	Indianapolis, IN	233	5.69	107.89
11	Marion, IL	197	1.79	10.09
12	North Chicago, IL	3	5.00	52.50
12	Hines, IL	317	4.00	101.16
12	Iron Mountain, MI	1	1.00	
12	Madison, WI	0		
12	Tomah, WI	111	1.81	54.09
12	Milwaukee, WI	257	5.05	161.20
13	Fargo, ND	1	1.00	
13	Fort Meade, SD	41	26.39	62.37
13	Minneapolis, MN	19	1.63	61.33
13	St. Cloud, MN	905	3.57	78.13
14	Des Moines, IA	293	40.28	128.99
14	Lincoln, NE	16	2.56	131.89
14	Omaha, NE	127	3.35	36.40
15	Columbia, MO	167	6.57	83.84
15	Kansas City, MO	47	3.79	28.91
15	Poplar Bluff, MO	0		
15	St. Louis, MO	1	1.00	
15	Topeka, KS	767	4.03	48.90
16	Alexandria, LA	195	2.82	160.44
16	Biloxi, MS	363	3.85	78.20
16	Houston, TX	5	1.80	51.00
16	Jackson, MS	6	3.33	39.00
16	Little Rock, AR	941	8.94	63.77
16	Oklahoma City, OK	91	4.59	86.90
16	Shreveport, LA	124	17.72	95.56
17	Dallas, TX	202	4.91	49.88
17	San Antonio, TX	115	1.50	28.46
17	Temple, TX	6	4.00	33.00
18	Albuquerque, NM	304	5.93	77.64
18	Phoenix, AZ	86	1.93	28.62
18	Prescott, AZ	384	5.79	66.98
18	Tucson, AZ	1	26.00	136.00

Appendix D.9 cont. Vocational Assistance Services Delivered to Veterans during FY 2000 by Site.

VISN	SITE	# of Veterans Treated	Average # of Vocational Assistance Stops	Average Duration (days) among Veterans with more than 1 Stop†
19	Cheyenne, WY	0		
19	Fort Lyon, CO	0		
19	Salt Lake City, UT	162	5.94	138.85
20	Anchorage, AK	0		
20	Portland, OR	256	3.25	77.05
20	Roseburg, OR	314	9.49	71.73
20	Seattle, WA	106	3.03	125.79
20	Spokane, WA	0		
20	White City, OR	8	1.25	13.50
21	Honolulu, HI	57	2.88	73.45
21	Palo Alto, CA	24	3.21	26.43
21	Reno, NV	1	1.00	
21	San Francisco, CA	1	2.00	4.00
22	Las Vegas, NV	0		
22	Long Beach, CA	300	2.48	44.65
22	Loma Linda, CA	224	15.90	147.21
22	San Diego, CA	182	5.66	148.69
22	West LA, CA	196	1.51	43.86
All VA		**15,772**	**6.93**	**84.90**
Site Avg		**150**	**5,98**	**76.22**
Site SD		**200**	**6.51**	**43.41**

† Includes stop codes 535 - individual Vocational Assistance and 575 - group Vocational Assistance.

Appendix D.10 Type of Service Veterans First Received in the Psychosocial Rehabilitation Teatment Services Continuum of Care by Site.

VISN	SITE	# of Veterans Treated	% Received CWT &/or CWT/TR Services First	% Received IT Services First	% Received Vocational Assistance Services First
1	Togus, ME	32	3.1%	96.9%	0.0%
1	White River Junction, VT	14	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
1	Bedford, MA	751	99.3%	0.5%	0.3%
1	Boston, MA	528	62.5%	37.5%	0.0%
1	Northampton, MA	382	57.6%	8.4%	40.8%
1	Providence, RI	196	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
1	West Haven, CT	310	67.1%	32.9%	0.0%
2	Albany, NY	417	27.8%	0.0%	76.5%
2	Bath, NY	511	93.0%	1.4%	8.4%
2	Buffalo, NY	807	22.7%	14.5%	63.7%
2	Syracuse, NY	153	27.5%	0.0%	73.9%
3	Bronx, NY	201	84.6%	17.4%	3.5%
3	East Orange, NJ	617	29.5%	11.7%	64.2%
3	Montrose, NY	403	12.7%	50.1%	51.6%
3	New York, NY	294	97.3%	0.0%	2.7%
3	Northport, NY	577	27.4%	18.4%	56.2%
4	Butler, PA	36	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
4	Coatesville, PA	364	64.3%	1.6%	35.7%
4	Lebanon, PA	560	70.9%	8.4%	27.9%
4	Philadelphia, PA	121	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
4	sburgh, PA	370	35.7%	20.8%	44.1%
5	Baltimore, MD	403	7.7%	26.3%	68.7%
5	Martinsburg, WV	627	53.0%	27.0%	23.8%
5	Washington DC	258	77.1%	0.0%	22.9%
6	Durham, NC	155	30.3%	0.0%	71.0%
6	Hampton, VA	880	11.6%	26.5%	64.0%
6	Richmond, VA	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
6	Salem, VA	130	33.8%	27.7%	40.0%
6	Salisbury, NC	1	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
7	Atlanta, GA	563	98.2%	0.0%	2.0%
7	Augusta, GA	442	37.3%	64.3%	0.0%
7	Birmingham, AL	133	100.0%	0.0%	0.8%
7	Charleston, SC	123	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
7	Columbia, SC	180	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
7	Dublin, GA	36	33.3%	0.0%	66.7%
7	Montgomery, AL	83	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
7	Tuscaloosa, AL	583	9.1%	13.2%	78.4%
8	Bay Pines, FL	508	23.8%	31.3%	47.2%
8	Miami, FL	82	76.8%	0.0%	24.4%
8	West Palm Beach, FL	72	20.8%	0.0%	79.2%
8	Gainesville, FL	488	11.5%	0.0%	88.9%
8	San Juan, PR	1	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
8	Tampa, FL	184	66.8%	19.0%	15.2%

Appendix D.10 cont. Type of Service Veterans First Received in the Psychosocial Rehabilitation Teatment Services Continuum of Care by Site.

VISN	SITE	# of Veterans Treated	% Received CWT &/or CWT/TR Services First	% Received IT Services First	% Received Vocational Assistance Services First
9	Lexington, KY	1	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
9	Memphis, TN	31	90.3%	3.2%	6.5%
9	Mountain Home, TN	269	23.4%	77.0%	0.0%
9	Murfreesboro, TN	172	94.8%	5.2%	0.0%
10	Chillicothe, OH	615	95.8%	4.7%	0.0%
10	Cincinnati, OH	311	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
10	Cleveland, OH	2,158	95.4%	5.2%	0.0%
10	Dayton, OH	487	72.1%	26.5%	40.9%
11	Battle Creek, MI	6	66.7%	0.0%	33.3%
11	Danville, IL	4	0.0%	50.0%	50.0%
11	Indianapolis, IN	233	0.9%	0.4%	99.6%
11	Marion, IL	200	1.5%	0.0%	98.5%
12	North Chicago, IL	499	41.9%	69.3%	0.0%
12	Hines, IL	353	30.9%	0.3%	70.5%
12	Iron Mountain, MI	114	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	Madison, WI	136	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
12	Tomah, WI	230	33.0%	27.4%	40.9%
12	Milwaukee, WI	432	41.4%	26.4%	38.2%
13	Fargo, ND	1	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
13	Fort Meade, SD	643	89.1%	11.2%	1.1%
13	Minneapolis, MN	57	1.8%	64.9%	33.3%
13	St. Cloud, MN	959	14.2%	5.1%	84.2%
14	Des Moines, IA	312	22.1%	19.6%	82.1%
14	Lincoln, NE	16	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
14	Omaha, NE	132	6.8%	5.3%	93.9%
15	Columbia, MO	305	67.5%	0.0%	33.1%
15	Kansas City, MO	399	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
15	Poplar Bluff, MO	7	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
15	St. Louis, MO	120	99.2%	0.0%	0.8%
15	Topeka, KS	1,297	35.5%	19.6%	46.3%
16	Alexandria, LA	199	3.5%	0.0%	96.5%
16	Biloxi, MS	386	10.4%	25.1%	68.4%
16	Houston, TX	5	60.0%	0.0%	40.0%
16	Jackson, MS	6	16.7%	16.7%	66.7%
16	Little Rock, AR	1,267	9.2%	26.1%	65.5%
16	Oklahoma City, OK	139	82.7%	0.0%	19.4%
16	Shreveport, LA	234	48.7%	0.0%	51.3%
17	Dallas, TX	792	80.7%	0.0%	19.6%
17	San Antonio, TX	263	15.2%	45.2%	39.9%
17	Temple, TX	11	45.5%	27.3%	36.4%
18	Albuquerque, NM	304	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
18	Phoenix, AZ	164	18.9%	47.6%	40.2%
18	Prescott, AZ	496	49.6%	6.5%	49.0%
18	Tucson, AZ	137	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%

Appendix D.10 cont. Type of Service Veterans First Received in the Psychosocial Rehabilitation Teatment Services Continuum of Care by Site.

VISN	SITE	# of Veterans Treated	% Received CWT &/or CWT/TR Services First	% Received IT Services First	% Received Vocational Assistance Services First
19	Cheyenne, WY	7	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
19	Fort Lyon, CO	5	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
19	Salt Lake City, UT	190	4.7%	37.4%	63.2%
20	Anchorage, AK	25	0.0%	100.0%	0.0%
20	Portland, OR	298	12.4%	13.4%	75.5%
20	Roseburg, OR	326	10.4%	5.5%	87.1%
20	Seattle, WA	330	22.7%	52.4%	25.2%
20	Spokane, WA	84	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
20	White City, OR	8	0.0%	0.0%	100.0%
21	Honolulu, HI	70	25.7%	31.4%	44.3%
21	Palo Alto, CA	65	64.6%	0.0%	35.4%
21	Reno, NV	81	74.1%	27.2%	0.0%
21	San Francisco, CA	211	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
22	Las Vegas, NV	75	100.0%	0.0%	0.0%
22	Long Beach, CA	563	71.6%	0.0%	28.6%
22	Loma Linda, CA	246	11.8%	0.0%	88.6%
22	San Diego, CA	193	4.7%	39.4%	60.1%
22	West LA, CA	497	59.6%	4.4%	38.0%
All VA		**31,753**	**49.0%**	**15.0%**	**38.0%**
Site Avg		**302**	**46.7%**	**16.0%**	**39.4%**
Site SD		**320**	**37.5%**	**24.4%**	**35.5%**